
Subject: Re: [RFC][PATCH 0/4] Container Freezer
Posted by [Dave Hansen](#) on Wed, 20 Jun 2007 16:12:57 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Wed, 2007-06-20 at 17:07 +0200, Cedric Le Goater wrote:
> This patchset is a prototype using the container infrastructure and
> the swsusp freezer to freeze a group of tasks.

And this is useful because you don't want processes doing anything while
you try to checkpoint them, right? ;)

-- Dave

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

Subject: Re: [RFC][PATCH 0/4] Container Freezer
Posted by [Cedric Le Goater](#) on Wed, 20 Jun 2007 16:22:49 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dave Hansen wrote:
> On Wed, 2007-06-20 at 17:07 +0200, Cedric Le Goater wrote:
>> This patchset is a prototype using the container infrastructure and
>> the swsusp freezer to freeze a group of tasks.
>
> And this is useful because you don't want processes doing anything while
> you try to checkpoint them, right? ;)

right there's always a reason for a patchset :) The freezer is something
already used by openvz. IBM's Metacluster uses a from kernel SIGSTOP signal.

I would be in favor of adding a new c/r signal like other operating systems
have done today and in the past. This is something to discuss.

C.

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>
