

---

Subject: Re: [RFC][PATCH 0/4] Container Freezer  
Posted by [Dave Hansen](#) on Wed, 20 Jun 2007 16:12:57 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On Wed, 2007-06-20 at 17:07 +0200, Cedric Le Goater wrote:  
> This patchset is a prototype using the container infrastructure and  
> the swsusp freezer to freeze a group of tasks.

And this is useful because you don't want processes doing anything while  
you try to checkpoint them, right? ;)

-- Dave

---

Containers mailing list  
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org  
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

---

---

Subject: Re: [RFC][PATCH 0/4] Container Freezer  
Posted by [Cedric Le Goater](#) on Wed, 20 Jun 2007 16:22:49 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Dave Hansen wrote:  
> On Wed, 2007-06-20 at 17:07 +0200, Cedric Le Goater wrote:  
>> This patchset is a prototype using the container infrastructure and  
>> the swsusp freezer to freeze a group of tasks.  
>  
> And this is useful because you don't want processes doing anything while  
> you try to checkpoint them, right? ;)

right there's always a reason for a patchset :) The freezer is something  
already used by openvz. IBM's Metacluster uses a from kernel SIGSTOP signal.

I would be in favor of adding a new c/r signal like other operating systems  
have done today and in the past. This is something to discuss.

C.

---

Containers mailing list  
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org  
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

---