Subject: Re: [RFC] [PATCH 0/3] Add group fairness to CFS Posted by William Lee Irwin III on Wed, 23 May 2007 19:04:48 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

* William Lee Irwin III <wli@holomorphy.com> wrote:

On Wed, May 23, 2007 at 08:40:35PM +0200, Ingo Molnar wrote:

- > that's nonsense, sched_yield() semantics are totally uninteresting. It
- > is a fundamentally broken interface.

They're not totally uninteresting. People will complain when apps break or perform poorly due to changes in its semantics. As an interface it may be poor and worse yet poorly specified, but it has non-negligible effects on performance issues that can't be ignored and that will remain the case for the foreseeable future.

The content of my comment was that the patch does something to sched_yield() semantics, so it raises the question of what will happen in benchmarks and other performance affairs that are sensitive to sched_yield() semantics changes.

-- wli

Containers mailing list Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers

Subject: Re: [RFC] [PATCH 0/3] Add group fairness to CFS Posted by Ingo Molnar on Wed, 23 May 2007 19:26:54 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

- * William Lee Irwin III <wli@holomorphy.com> wrote:
- > * William Lee Irwin III <wli@holomorphy.com> wrote:
- >>> [...] sched_yield() semantics are yet another twist.

>

- > On Wed, May 23, 2007 at 08:40:35PM +0200, Ingo Molnar wrote:
- > > that's nonsense, sched_yield() semantics are totally uninteresting. It
- > > is a fundamentally broken interface.
- > [...] As an interface it may be poor and worse yet poorly specified,

> [...]

that's the only thing that matters to fundamental design questions like this.

>> [...] sched_yield() semantics are yet another twist.

- > The content of my comment was that the patch does something to
- > sched_yield() semantics, so it raises the question of what will happen
- > in benchmarks and other performance affairs that are sensitive to
- > sched_yield() semantics changes.

the correct aproach to the "sys_sched_yield() is an API that sucks" problem is to simply _not use it_. User-space is figuring that out now, fortunately.

Ingo

Containers mailing list Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers