

---

Subject: Re: [ckrm-tech] [PATCH 7/7] containers (V7): Container interface to nsproxy subsystem

Posted by [Paul Menage](#) on Thu, 05 Apr 2007 08:01:21 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On 4/5/07, Pavel Emelianov <xemul@sw.ru> wrote:

>  
> Right now I do not. Calling container\_init\_early() is OK from  
> my POV. What I do not like is that I have to reinitialize  
> container->create() callback.

I don't think you should need to do that. The way cpuset\_create() handles it is for the root cpuset to be statically allocated, so the code looks like:

```
if (!cont->parent) {  
    /* This is early initialization for the top container */  
    set_container_cs(cont, &top_cpuset);  
    top_cpuset.css.container = cont;  
    top_cpuset.mems_generation = cpuset_mems_generation++;  
    return 0;  
}
```

... other normal code that allocates a cpuset ...

Mildly ugly, but it solves the problem.

Paul

---

Containers mailing list

[Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org](mailto:Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org)

<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

---

---

Subject: Re: [ckrm-tech] [PATCH 7/7] containers (V7): Container interface to nsproxy subsystem

Posted by [xemul](#) on Fri, 06 Apr 2007 12:59:25 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Paul Menage wrote:

> On 4/5/07, Pavel Emelianov <xemul@sw.ru> wrote:

>>  
>> Right now I do not. Calling container\_init\_early() is OK from  
>> my POV. What I do not like is that I have to reinitialize  
>> container->create() callback.

>  
> I don't think you should need to do that. The way cpuset\_create() handles it is for the root cpuset to be statically allocated, so the

```
> code looks like:
>
> if (!cont->parent) {
>     /* This is early initialization for the top container */
>     set_container_cs(cont, &top_cpuset);
>     top_cpuset.css.container = cont;
>     top_cpuset.mems_generation = cpuset_mems_generation++;
>     return 0;
> }
>
> ... other normal code that allocates a cpuset ...
>
> Mildly ugly, but it solves the problem.
```

Well, it's less ugly than resetting pointer but it's worse from performance POV. See, we have an if (xxx) that is true only once during system lifetime. This is not that good...

Anyway. When are you going to send your patches with containers and what kernel will it be built upon? I'll try to prepare the RSS patches soon after this.

```
> Paul
>
```

---

Containers mailing list  
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org  
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

---