Subject: Grr sysfs networking changes... Posted by ebiederm on Tue, 03 Apr 2007 07:46:58 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I've almost got my netns patchset rebased against linus's latest tree. The sysfs changes were extensive and while I finally have something working with them. Every time I stop and think about my sysfs code I spot more issues that need to be resolved.

With any luck I should have something I can live with soon and I can finish getting an updated version of my network namespace patchset out...

Eric

Containers mailing list Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers

Subject: Re: Grr sysfs networking changes... Posted by dev on Tue, 03 Apr 2007 08:05:09 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Eric,

can you please describe a bit what sysfs problems you experience and what approach you want to you to sysfs virtualization?

Thanks,

Kirill

Eric W. Biederman wrote:

> I've almost got my netns patchset rebased against linus's latest tree.

> The sysfs changes were extensive and while I finally have something working with

> them. Every time I stop and think about my sysfs code I spot more issues that

> need to be resolved.

>

> With any luck I should have something I can live with soon and I can finish

> getting an updated version of my network namespace patchset out...

>

> Eric

>

>

>

- > >
- > Containers mailing list
- > Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
- > https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers
- >
- >

Containers mailing list Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers

## Subject: Re: Grr sysfs networking changes... Posted by Daniel Lezcano on Tue, 03 Apr 2007 11:19:56 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

## Kirill Korotaev wrote:

> Eric,

>

> can you please describe a bit what sysfs problems you experience and

> what approach you want to you to sysfs virtualization?

>

> Thanks,

> Kirill

>

> Eric W. Biederman wrote:

>

>> I've almost got my netns patchset rebased against linus's latest tree.

>> The sysfs changes were extensive and while I finally have something working with

>> them. Every time I stop and think about my sysfs code I spot more issues that

>> need to be resolved.

>>

>> With any luck I should have something I can live with soon and I can finish

>> getting an updated version of my network namespace patchset out...

Great !

Can you describe what you are experiencing ?

I did a try to port the netris patchset to the latest -mm kernel and I fall into some sysfs problems. It can be related to sysfs changes or I could have made some mistakes while doing the port. Having the sysfs problems you experience can help to find them :) Can be the problems related to the usage of struct device instead of

struct class\_device in some cases ?

Regards.

-- Daniel

Containers mailing list Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers

Subject: Re: Grr sysfs networking changes... Posted by ebiederm on Tue, 03 Apr 2007 15:57:30 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Daniel Lezcano <dlezcano@fr.ibm.com> writes:

> Kirill Korotaev wrote:

>> Eric,

>>

>> can you please describe a bit what sysfs problems you experience and >> what approach you want to you to sysfs virtualization?

Yes. Although I refuse to think of it as virtualization. :)

> Great !

>

- > Can you describe what you are experiencing ?
- > I did a try to port the netns patchset to the latest -mm kernel and I fall into
- > some sysfs problems. It can be related to sysfs changes or I could have made
- > some mistakes while doing the port. Having the sysfs problems you experience can
- > help to find them :)
- > Can be the problems related to the usage of struct device instead of struct
- > class\_device in some cases ?

My problem starts with the usage of struct device instead of struct class\_device.

The implementation of sysfs with respect to network devices seems very brittle and redundant.

When everything was struct class\_device how the network devices showed up in sysfs was very simple. They were all in a directory under /sys/class/net/. So all I did was to implement a magic follow link method so I could have multiple copies of that directory.

Now if you disable CONFIG\_SYSFS\_DEPRECATED they don't just show up under /sys/class/net but also under:

> # find /sys -name 'net' | xargs ls -l

> /sys/class/net:

> total 0

> Irwxrwxrwx 1 root root 0 Apr 3 09:27 eth0 ->

../../devices/pci0000:00/0000:00:1c.5/0000:04:00.0/net/eth0

> Irwxrwxrwx 1 root root 0 Apr 3 09:20 lo -> ../../devices/virtual/net/lo

> Irwxrwxrwx 1 root root 0 Apr 3 09:20 sit0 -> ../../devices/virtual/net/sit0 >

> /sys/devices/pci0000:00/0000:00:1c.5/0000:04:00.0/net:

> total 0

> drwxr-xr-x 4 root root 0 Apr 3 09:27 eth0

>

> /sys/devices/virtual/net:

> total 0

> drwxr-xr-x 4 root root 0 Apr 3 09:27 lo

> drwxr-xr-x 4 root root 0 Apr 3 09:27 sit0

So the network devices that have real parents are all over the place, in lots of different directories all over sysfs, ugh. Plus we have to cope with directories like

"/sys/devices/pci0000:00/0000:00:1c.5/0000:04:00.0/net" coming and going (hot plugged devices you know) while the network namespace is mounted. Which can easily lead to all kinds of interesting races.

Another piece of the problem is that sysfs just seems inherently to have a lot of duplicate information and to be very fragile. Which makes modifying the sysfs code hard and more work than it should be.

I really don't care what the solution is so long as it is reasonably simple, efficient and maintainable.

I'm open to suggestions.

Currently adopting the technique of mounting the sysfs multiple times (which was the solution for proc) looks hard as the sysfs representation in memory is partly dentry based.

Having a filter method on directory contents sounds good but it fails because the dcache can't cope with two directory entries with the same name. Hmm.. If I can replace d\_compare and have that also compare by the network namespace I might be able to make filtering work.

So mostly I am looking at fixing up the techniques that I used for one sysfs directory. But the races and corner cases are nasty.

Eric

Page 5 of 5 ---- Generated from OpenVZ Forum