Subject: Re: [ckrm-tech] [PATCH 0/2] resource control file system - aka containers on top of nsproxy!

Posted by serue on Thu, 22 Mar 2007 14:39:09 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Quoting Srivatsa Vaddagiri (vatsa@in.ibm.com):

- > On Fri, Mar 09, 2007 at 10:50:17AM -0600, Serge E. Hallyn wrote:
- > > The nsproxy container subsystem could be said to be that unification.
- >> If we really wanted to I suppose we could now always mount the nsproxy
- >> subsystem, get rid of tsk->nsproxy, and always get thta through it's
- >> nsproxy subsystem container. But then that causes trouble with being
- > > able to mount a hierarachy like

> >

>> mount -t container -o ns,cpuset

- > What troubles will mounting both cpuset and ns in the same hierarchy
- > cause?

Wow, don't recall the full context here. But at least with Paul's container patchset, a subsystem can only be mounted once. So if the nsproxy container subsystem is always mounted by itself, then you cannot remount it to bind it with cpusets.

- > IMO that may be a good feature by itself, which makes it convenient to
- > bind different containers to different cpusets.

Absolutely.

-serge

- > In this case, we want 'ns' subsystem to override all decisions wrt
- > mkdir of directories and also movement of tasks b/n different
- > groups. This is automatically accomplished in the patches, by having ns
- > subsystem veto mkdir/can_attach request which aren't allowed as per
- > namespace semantics (but which may be allowed as per cpuset semantics).

>> so we'd have to fix something. It also slows things down...

>

> Regards,

> vatsa

Containers mailing list

Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org

https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers

Subject: Re: [ckrm-tech] [PATCH 0/2] resource control file system - aka containers on top of nsproxy!

Posted by Srivatsa Vaddagiri on Thu, 22 Mar 2007 14:56:49 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On Thu, Mar 22, 2007 at 09:39:09AM -0500, Serge E. Hallyn wrote:

- > > What troubles will mounting both cpuset and ns in the same hierarchy
- > > cause?

>

> Wow, don't recall the full context here.

Sorry to have come back so late on this:)

- > But at least with Paul's container patchset, a subsystem can only be mounted
- > once. So if the nsproxy container subsystem is always mounted by itself,
- > then you cannot remount it to bind it with cpusets.

Sure ...I was thinking of mounting both ns and cpuset in same hierarchy for first time itself.

--

Regards,

vatsa

Containers mailing list

Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org

https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers