## Subject: [PATCH] namespaces: fix race at task exit Posted by serue on Thu, 25 Jan 2007 15:05:42 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

In do\_exit(), the exit\_task\_namespaces() was placed after exit\_notify() because exit\_notify ends up using the pid namespace both to access the reaper, and for detaching the pid. However, this placement allows an nfs server to reap the task before exit\_task\_namespaces() completes.

This patch moves the exit\_task\_namespaces() into release\_task, below release\_thread() which puts the pids(), and just above the call\_rcu(delayed\_put\_task\_struct). I believe this should solve both problems.

```
Signed-off-by: Serge E. Hallyn <serue@us.ibm.com>
kernel/exit.c | 2 +-
1 files changed, 1 insertions(+), 1 deletions(-)
765277a4170d7bbd1c4613de661ec6ac64d5580a
diff --git a/kernel/exit.c b/kernel/exit.c
index 3540172..ab9ae30 100644
--- a/kernel/exit.c
+++ b/kernel/exit.c
@ @ -174,6 +174,7 @ @ repeat:
 write unlock irg(&tasklist lock);
 proc_flush_task(p);
 release thread(p);
+ exit_task_namespaces(p);
 call_rcu(&p->rcu, delayed_put_task_struct);
 p = leader:
@ @ -939.7 +940.6 @ @ fastcall NORET TYPE void do exit(long co
 tsk->exit code = code;
 proc exit connector(tsk);
 exit notify(tsk);
exit task namespaces(tsk);
#ifdef CONFIG NUMA
 mpol_free(tsk->mempolicy);
 tsk->mempolicy = NULL;
1.1.6
Containers mailing list
```

Containers@lists.osdl.org

Subject: Re: [PATCH] namespaces: fix race at task exit Posted by Cedric Le Goater on Thu, 25 Jan 2007 15:20:23 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Serge E. Hallyn wrote:

- > In do exit(), the exit task namespaces() was placed after
- > exit\_notify() because exit\_notify ends up using the pid
- > namespace both to access the reaper, and for detaching the
- > pid. However, this placement allows an nfs server to reap
- > the task before exit\_task\_namespaces() completes.

>

- > This patch moves the exit\_task\_namespaces() into release\_task,
- > below release\_thread() which puts the pids(), and just above
- > the call\_rcu(delayed\_put\_task\_struct). I believe this should
- > solve both problems.

>

> Signed-off-by: Serge E. Hallyn <serue@us.ibm.com>

I've run some tests on x86 and x86\_64: mounted a NFS share after having unshare(CLONE\_NEWNS) and I didn't reproduce the bug Daniel had found.

it looks safe.

C.

Containers mailing list Containers@lists.osdl.org https://lists.osdl.org/mailman/listinfo/containers

Subject: Re: [PATCH] namespaces: fix race at task exit Posted by ebiederm on Thu, 25 Jan 2007 16:29:13 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

"Serge E. Hallyn" <serue@us.ibm.com> writes:

- > In do exit(), the exit task namespaces() was placed after
- > exit\_notify() because exit\_notify ends up using the pid
- > namespace both to access the reaper, and for detaching the
- > pid. However, this placement allows an nfs server to reap
- > the task before exit task namespaces() completes.

>

- > This patch moves the exit\_task\_namespaces() into release\_task,
- > below release\_thread() which puts the pids(), and just above
- > the call\_rcu(delayed\_put\_task\_struct). I believe this should
- > solve both problems.

For the pid namespace this seems to be correct placement. For the mount namespace this would seem to exacerbate the problem because it now gets called after the task has been reaped!

I'd love to be convinced otherwise but I do not believe we can safely exit both the mount and the pid namespace at the same location in the code.

The NFS unmount currently wants a killable thread as it uses interruptible sleeps. How does starting that process after the process in which it lives aid this?

But thanks for remembering this. This is a real problem we do need to solve.

Eric

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.osdl.org

https://lists.osdl.org/mailman/listinfo/containers

Subject: Re: [PATCH] namespaces: fix race at task exit Posted by Oleg Nesterov on Thu, 25 Jan 2007 16:39:36 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On 01/25, Serge E. Hallyn wrote:

>

- > In do\_exit(), the exit\_task\_namespaces() was placed after
- > exit\_notify() because exit\_notify ends up using the pid
- > namespace both to access the reaper, and for detaching the
- > pid. However, this placement allows an nfs server to reap
- > the task before exit\_task\_namespaces() completes.

>

- > This patch moves the exit\_task\_namespaces() into release\_task,
- > below release\_thread() which puts the pids(), and just above
- > the call\_rcu(delayed\_put\_task\_struct). I believe this should
- > solve both problems.

>

> Signed-off-by: Serge E. Hallyn <serue@us.ibm.com>

>

```
> ---
> kernel/exit.c | 2 +-
> 1 files changed, 1 insertions(+), 1 deletions(-)
> 765277a4170d7bbd1c4613de661ec6ac64d5580a
> diff --git a/kernel/exit.c b/kernel/exit.c
> index 3540172..ab9ae30 100644
> --- a/kernel/exit.c
> +++ b/kernel/exit.c
> @ @ -174,6 +174,7 @ @ repeat:
> write unlock irg(&tasklist lock);
> proc_flush_task(p);
> release_thread(p);
> + exit_task_namespaces(p);
> call_rcu(&p->rcu, delayed_put_task_struct);
Probably I missed some other patches in this area, but I can't understand
this fix.
With this change we are doing __put_mnt_ns() when we surely don't have ->sighand,
no? Could you please explain?
Oleg.
Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.osdl.org
https://lists.osdl.org/mailman/listinfo/containers
```

Subject: Re: [PATCH] namespaces: fix race at task exit Posted by serue on Thu, 25 Jan 2007 17:35:56 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
Quoting Eric W. Biederman (ebiederm@xmission.com):

> "Serge E. Hallyn" <serue@us.ibm.com> writes:

> > In do_exit(), the exit_task_namespaces() was placed after

> > exit_notify() because exit_notify ends up using the pid

> > namespace both to access the reaper, and for detaching the

> > pid. However, this placement allows an nfs server to reap

> > the task before exit_task_namespaces() completes.

> >

> > This patch moves the exit_task_namespaces() into release_task,

> > below release_thread() which puts the pids(), and just above

> > the call_rcu(delayed_put_task_struct). I believe this should

> > solve both problems.
```

>

> For the pid namespace this seems to be correct placement.

- > For the mount namespace this would seem to exacerbate the problem
- > because it now gets called after the task has been reaped!

>

- > I'd love to be convinced otherwise but I do not believe we
- > can safely exit both the mount and the pid namespace at the
- > same location in the code.

>

- > The NFS unmount currently wants a killable thread as it
- > uses interruptible sleeps. How does starting that process
- > after the process in which it lives aid this?

I should have mentioned I'm unable to reproduce the original oops myself, so i wanted confirmation about whether this fixed the problem.

I had thought the mount problem was that the nfs server causes the task\_struct to be freed before exit\_task\_namespaces() completes, so that exit\_task\_namespaces() dereferences a bad pointer. If that were the case, this would fix it by not putting the final reference to the task\_struct (with delayed\_put\_task\_struct()) until after exit\_task\_namespaces(). It sounds like I misunderstood the nfs server problem though.

- > But thanks for remembering this. This is a real problem we
- > do need to solve.

If it is confirmed that my patch is wrong, then I guess we simply need a two-stage namespace exit, where the first stage happens above exit\_notify() and exits the mounts namespace, and the second stage can happen in the location I used in this patch.

-serge

Containers mailing list Containers@lists.osdl.org https://lists.osdl.org/mailman/listinfo/containers

Subject: Re: [PATCH] namespaces: fix race at task exit Posted by serue on Thu, 25 Jan 2007 17:36:55 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Quoting Oleg Nesterov (oleg@tv-sign.ru):

> On 01/25, Serge E. Hallyn wrote:

> >

```
>> In do_exit(), the exit_task_namespaces() was placed after
>> exit_notify() because exit_notify ends up using the pid
> > namespace both to access the reaper, and for detaching the
> > pid. However, this placement allows an nfs server to reap
> > the task before exit_task_namespaces() completes.
> >
>> This patch moves the exit_task_namespaces() into release_task,
> > below release_thread() which puts the pids(), and just above
>> the call rcu(delayed put task struct). I believe this should
> > solve both problems.
> > Signed-off-by: Serge E. Hallyn <serue@us.ibm.com>
>> ---
> >
>> kernel/exit.c | 2 +-
>> 1 files changed, 1 insertions(+), 1 deletions(-)
> > 765277a4170d7bbd1c4613de661ec6ac64d5580a
> > diff --git a/kernel/exit.c b/kernel/exit.c
> > index 3540172..ab9ae30 100644
>> --- a/kernel/exit.c
> > +++ b/kernel/exit.c
>> @ @ -174,6 +174,7 @ @ repeat:
>> write_unlock_irq(&tasklist_lock);
> > proc_flush_task(p);
> release_thread(p);
>> + exit task namespaces(p);
>> call rcu(&p->rcu, delayed put task struct);
> Probably I missed some other patches in this area, but I can't understand
> this fix.
> With this change we are doing __put_mnt_ns() when we surely don't have -> sighand,
> no? Could you please explain?
Explanation: it's wrong :)
we'll just need to break exit_task_namespaces() up.
thanks,
-serge
Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.osdl.org
https://lists.osdl.org/mailman/listinfo/containers
```

## Subject: Re: [PATCH] namespaces: fix race at task exit Posted by serue on Thu, 25 Jan 2007 20:36:44 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
Quoting Serge E. Hallyn (serue@us.ibm.com):
> Quoting Eric W. Biederman (ebiederm@xmission.com):
> > "Serge E. Hallyn" <serue@us.ibm.com> writes:
>>> In do_exit(), the exit_task_namespaces() was placed after
>> exit_notify() because exit_notify ends up using the pid
>> namespace both to access the reaper, and for detaching the
>> pid. However, this placement allows an nfs server to reap
>>> the task before exit_task_namespaces() completes.
>>> This patch moves the exit_task_namespaces() into release_task,
>>> below release_thread() which puts the pids(), and just above
>>> the call_rcu(delayed_put_task_struct). I believe this should
>> solve both problems.
> >
> > For the pid namespace this seems to be correct placement.
> > For the mount namespace this would seem to exacerbate the problem
> > because it now gets called after the task has been reaped!
> > I'd love to be convinced otherwise but I do not believe we
>> can safely exit both the mount and the pid namespace at the
> > same location in the code.
> >
> > The NFS unmount currently wants a killable thread as it
> > uses interruptible sleeps. How does starting that process
> > after the process in which it lives aid this?
> I should have mentioned I'm unable to reproduce the original
> oops myself, so i wanted confirmation about whether this fixed
> the problem.
> I had thought the mount problem was that the nfs server causes
> the task_struct to be freed before exit_task_namespaces() completes,
> so that exit_task_namespaces() dereferences a bad pointer. If
> that were the case, this would fix it by not putting the final
> reference to the task_struct (with delayed_put_task_struct())
> until after exit_task_namespaces(). It sounds like I misunderstood
> the nfs server problem though.
>> But thanks for remembering this. This is a real problem we
> > do need to solve.
> If it is confirmed that my patch is wrong, then I guess we simply
> need a two-stage namespace exit, where the first stage happens
```

- > above exit\_notify() and exits the mounts namespace, and the second
- > stage can happen in the location I used in this patch.

Of course the problem with this is that the mounts and proc namespaces now have slightly different lifetimes, and we cannot use one use count to track both because it's quite possible that the two last tasks in a namespace could both come to the release\_mounts\_namespaces() point at the same time, then both come to the exit\_tasks\_namespaces().

So it seems to me we need to either pull one of the two out of the nsproxy, or add a second use count to the nsproxy. The second use count looks kludgier, but uses less space and seems safer to maintain because at least the lifetime management happens somewhat close to each other, whereas moving moutns namespace back outside of nsproxy means going back to a completely differnet meaning of mnt\_ns->count.

Opinions, or other ideas?

thanks, -serge

Containers mailing list Containers@lists.osdl.org https://lists.osdl.org/mailman/listinfo/containers