Subject: Re: [RFC][PATCH 1/2] add user namespace [try #2] Posted by Dave Hansen on Mon, 28 Aug 2006 15:40:47 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On Mon, 2006-08-28 at 17:32 +0200, Cedric Le Goater wrote: > Dave Hansen wrote: > > On Mon, 2006-08-28 at 16:56 +0200, Cedric Le Goater wrote: > >> +#define UIDHASH\_BITS (CONFIG\_BASE\_SMALL ? 3 : 8) > >

> > How about an actual #ifdef here?

>

> yep. because i moved from a .c to .h and/or to improve readability ?

Just for readability. Maybe my brain is defective, but I just don't parse the foo?bar:baz stuff very well.

> > Or, should we just do this one in Kconfig?

>

> do you mean defining UIDHASH\_BITS in KCONFIG ? We would need to that for > other constant then and a fast grep gives me :

Something like

#define UIDHASH\_BITS CONFIG\_UID\_HASH\_BITS

config UID\_HASH\_BITS int default 3 if BASE\_SMALL default 8

But, I guess the incentive is pretty small when there are really only two values, and the logic is extremely simple.

> include/asm-i386/mach-default/mach\_mpspec.h:#if CONFIG\_BASE\_SMALL == 0

> include/linux/threads.h:#define PID\_MAX\_DEFAULT (CONFIG\_BASE\_SMALL ? 0x1000

> : 0x8000)

> include/linux/threads.h:#define PID\_MAX\_LIMIT (CONFIG\_BASE\_SMALL ?

> PAGE\_SIZE \* 8 : \

> include/linux/user.h:#define UIDHASH\_BITS (CONFIG\_BASE\_SMALL ? 3 : 8)

> include/linux/vt\_kern.h:#define CON\_BUF\_SIZE (CONFIG\_BASE\_SMALL ? 256 :

> PAGE\_SIZE)

- > include/linux/autoconf.h:#define CONFIG\_BASE\_SMALL 0
- > include/config/auto.conf:CONFIG\_BASE\_SMALL=0
- > kernel/futex.c:#define FUTEX\_HASHBITS (CONFIG\_BASE\_SMALL ? 4 : 8)
- > kernel/timer.c:#define TVN\_BITS (CONFIG\_BASE\_SMALL ? 4 : 6)
- > kernel/timer.c:#define TVR\_BITS (CONFIG\_BASE\_SMALL ? 6 : 8)
- > lib/radix-tree.c:#define RADIX\_TREE\_MAP\_SHIFT (CONFIG\_BASE\_SMALL ? 4 : 6)

>

> we should probably keep it that way.

I know, I know. ;) But, a lot of these definitions were from before we had our nice, pretty Kconfig language. I don't think it's much of a crime to break tradition on these.

Anyway, it's not a big deal either way.

-- Dave

Containers mailing list Containers@lists.osdl.org https://lists.osdl.org/mailman/listinfo/containers

Subject: Re: [RFC][PATCH 1/2] add user namespace [try #2] Posted by Cedric Le Goater on Mon, 28 Aug 2006 16:16:11 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Dave Hansen wrote:

> Something like

>

> #define UIDHASH\_BITS CONFIG\_UID\_HASH\_BITS

>

> config UID\_HASH\_BITS

- > int
- > default 3 if BASE\_SMALL

> default 8

>

> But, I guess the incentive is pretty small when there are really only

> two values, and the logic is extremely simple.

limiting the number of users per container could be something useful. we could use such a configuration variable to define more appropriately the size of the hash table.

using a hlist\_head in user\_namespace should also save some space.

C.

Containers mailing list Containers@lists.osdl.org https://lists.osdl.org/mailman/listinfo/containers