
Subject: [PATCH] Make access to task's nsproxy liter
Posted by [Pavel Emelianov](#) on Thu, 09 Aug 2007 09:51:31 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

When someone wants to deal with some other task's namespaces it has to lock the task and then to get the desired namespace if the one exists. This is slow on read-only paths and may be impossible in some cases.

E.g. Oleg recently noticed a race between `unshare()` and the (sent for review in containers) `pid` namespaces - when the task notifies the parent it has to know the parent's namespace, but taking the `task_lock()` is impossible there - the code is under write locked tasklist lock.

On the other hand switching the namespace on task (`daemonize`) and releasing the namespace (after the last task exit) is rather rare operation and we can sacrifice its speed to solve the issues above.

The access to other task namespaces is proposed to be performed like this:

```
rcu_read_lock();
nsproxy = task_nsproxy(tsk);
if (nsproxy != NULL) {
    /*
     * work with the namespaces here
     * e.g. get the reference on one of them
     */
} /*
 * NULL task_nsproxy() means that this task is
 * almost dead (zombie)
 */
rcu_read_unlock();
```

This patch has passed the review by Eric and Oleg :) and, of course, tested.

Signed-off-by: Pavel Emelyanov <xemul@openvz.org>
Cc: Eric W. Biederman <ebiederm@xmission.com>
Cc: Oleg Nesterov <oleg@tv-sign.ru>
Cc: Paul E. McKenney <paulmck@linux.vnet.ibm.com>
Cc: Serge Hallyn <serue@us.ibm.com>

fs/proc/base.c | 27 ++++++

```
include/linux/nsproxy.h | 44 ++++++-----
kernel/exit.c          | 4 +---
kernel/fork.c          | 11 +++++-----
kernel/nsproxy.c       | 39 ++++++-----
5 files changed, 86 insertions(+), 39 deletions(-)
```

```
diff --git a/fs/proc/base.c b/fs/proc/base.c
```

```
index ed2b224..614851a 100644
```

```
--- a/fs/proc/base.c
```

```
+++ b/fs/proc/base.c
```

```
@@ -371,18 +371,21 @@ struct proc_mounts {
static int mounts_open(struct inode *inode, struct file *file)
{
    struct task_struct *task = get_proc_task(inode);
+ struct nsproxy *nsp;
    struct mnt_namespace *ns = NULL;
    struct proc_mounts *p;
    int ret = -EINVAL;
```

```
    if (task) {
- task_lock(task);
- if (task->nsproxy) {
- ns = task->nsproxy->mnt_ns;
+ rcu_read_lock();
+ nsp = task_nsproxy(task);
+ if (nsp) {
+ ns = nsp->mnt_ns;
+ if (ns)
+ get_mnt_ns(ns);
+ }
- task_unlock(task);
+ rcu_read_unlock();
+
+ put_task_struct(task);
+ }
}
```

```
@@ -445,16 +448,20 @@ static int mountstats_open(struct inode
```

```
if (!ret) {
    struct seq_file *m = file->private_data;
+ struct nsproxy *nsp;
    struct mnt_namespace *mnt_ns = NULL;
    struct task_struct *task = get_proc_task(inode);

    if (task) {
- task_lock(task);
- if (task->nsproxy)
- mnt_ns = task->nsproxy->mnt_ns;
```

```

- if (mnt_ns)
-  get_mnt_ns(mnt_ns);
-  task_unlock(task);
+  rcu_read_lock();
+  nsp = task_nsproxy(task);
+  if (nsp) {
+   mnt_ns = nsp->mnt_ns;
+   if (mnt_ns)
+    get_mnt_ns(mnt_ns);
+  }
+  rcu_read_unlock();
+
+  put_task_struct(task);
+ }

```

diff --git a/include/linux/nsproxy.h b/include/linux/nsproxy.h

index 525d8fc..ef4e863 100644

--- a/include/linux/nsproxy.h

+++ b/include/linux/nsproxy.h

```

@@ -32,8 +32,39 @@ struct nsproxy {
};
extern struct nsproxy init_nsproxy;

```

```

+/*
+ * the namespaces access rules are:
+ *
+ * 1. only current task is allowed to change tsk->nsproxy pointer or
+ *    any pointer on the nsproxy itself
+ *
+ * 2. when accessing (i.e. reading) current task's namespaces - no
+ *    precautions should be taken - just dereference the pointers
+ *
+ * 3. the access to other task namespaces is performed like this
+ *    rcu_read_lock();
+ *    nsproxy = task_nsproxy(tsk);
+ *    if (nsproxy != NULL) {
+ *        / *
+ *          * work with the namespaces here
+ *          * e.g. get the reference on one of them
+ *        */
+ *    } / *
+ *    * NULL task_nsproxy() means that this task is
+ *    * almost dead (zombie)
+ *    */
+ *    rcu_read_unlock();
+ */
+
+

```

```

+static inline struct nsproxy *task_nsproxy(struct task_struct *tsk)
+{
+ return rcu_dereference(tsk->nsproxy);
+}
+
int copy_namespaces(unsigned long flags, struct task_struct *tsk);
-void get_task_namespaces(struct task_struct *tsk);
+void exit_task_namespaces(struct task_struct *tsk);
+void switch_task_namespaces(struct task_struct *tsk, struct nsproxy *new);
void free_nsproxy(struct nsproxy *ns);
int unshare_nsproxy_namespaces(unsigned long, struct nsproxy **,
    struct fs_struct *);
@@ -45,17 +76,6 @@ static inline void put_nsproxy(struct ns
    }
}

-static inline void exit_task_namespaces(struct task_struct *p)
-{
- struct nsproxy *ns = p->nsproxy;
- if (ns) {
- task_lock(p);
- p->nsproxy = NULL;
- task_unlock(p);
- put_nsproxy(ns);
- }
-}
-
#ifdef CONFIG_CONTAINER_NS
int ns_container_clone(struct task_struct *tsk);
#else
diff --git a/kernel/exit.c b/kernel/exit.c
index 2f4f35e..a2aef1f 100644
--- a/kernel/exit.c
+++ b/kernel/exit.c
@@ -409,9 +409,7 @@ void daemonize(const char *name, ...)
    current->fs = fs;
    atomic_inc(&fs->count);

- exit_task_namespaces(current);
- current->nsproxy = init_task.nsproxy;
- get_task_namespaces(current);
+ switch_task_namespaces(current, init_task.nsproxy);

    exit_files(current);
    current->files = init_task.files;
diff --git a/kernel/fork.c b/kernel/fork.c
index bc48e0f..0c6dd67 100644
--- a/kernel/fork.c

```

```

+++ b/kernel/fork.c
@@ -1618,7 +1618,7 @@ asmlinkage long sys_unshare(unsigned lon
    struct mm_struct *mm, *new_mm = NULL, *active_mm = NULL;
    struct files_struct *fd, *new_fd = NULL;
    struct sem_undo_list *new_ulist = NULL;
- struct nsproxy *new_nsproxy = NULL, *old_nsproxy = NULL;
+ struct nsproxy *new_nsproxy = NULL;

    check_unshare_flags(&unshare_flags);

@@ -1647,14 +1647,13 @@ asmlinkage long sys_unshare(unsigned lon

    if (new_fs || new_mm || new_fd || new_ulist || new_nsproxy) {

- task_lock(current);
-
    if (new_nsproxy) {
- old_nsproxy = current->nsproxy;
- current->nsproxy = new_nsproxy;
- new_nsproxy = old_nsproxy;
+ switch_task_namespaces(current, new_nsproxy);
+ new_nsproxy = NULL;
    }

+ task_lock(current);
+
    if (new_fs) {
        fs = current->fs;
        current->fs = new_fs;
diff --git a/kernel/nsproxy.c b/kernel/nsproxy.c
index 58843ae..48bc070 100644
--- a/kernel/nsproxy.c
+++ b/kernel/nsproxy.c
@@ -30,14 +30,6 @@ static inline void get_nsproxy(struct ns
    atomic_inc(&ns->count);
}

-void get_task_namespaces(struct task_struct *tsk)
-{
- struct nsproxy *ns = tsk->nsproxy;
- if (ns) {
- get_nsproxy(ns);
- }
-}
-
/*
 * creates a copy of "orig" with refcount 1.
 */

```

@@ -205,6 +197,37 @@ out:

```
    return err;
}

+void switch_task_namespaces(struct task_struct *p, struct nsproxy *new)
+{
+ struct nsproxy *ns;
+
+ might_sleep();
+
+ ns = p->nsproxy;
+ if (ns == new)
+ return;
+
+ if (new)
+ get_nsproxy(new);
+ rcu_assign_pointer(p->nsproxy, new);
+
+ if (ns && atomic_dec_and_test(&ns->count)) {
+ /*
+  * wait for others to get what they want from this nsproxy.
+  *
+  * cannot release this nsproxy via the call_rcu() since
+  * put_mnt_ns() will want to sleep
+  */
+ synchronize_rcu();
+ free_nsproxy(ns);
+ }
+}
+
+void exit_task_namespaces(struct task_struct *p)
+{
+ switch_task_namespaces(p, NULL);
+}
+
+static int __init nsproxy_cache_init(void)
+{
+ nsproxy_cachep = kmem_cache_create("nsproxy", sizeof(struct nsproxy),
```

Subject: Re: [PATCH] Make access to task's nsproxy liter

Posted by [serue](#) on Fri, 10 Aug 2007 13:40:03 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Quoting Pavel Emelyanov (xemul@openvz.org):

> When someone wants to deal with some other task's namespaces
> it has to lock the task and then to get the desired namespace
> if the one exists. This is slow on read-only paths and may be

> impossible in some cases.

>

> E.g. Oleg recently noticed a race between unshare() and the

> (sent for review in containers) pid namespaces - when the task notifies the

> parent it has to know the parent's namespace, but taking the task_lock() is

> impossible there - the code is under write locked tasklist lock.

>

> On the other hand switching the namespace on task (daemonize) and releasing

> the namespace (after the last task exit) is rather

> rare operation and we can sacrifice its speed to solve the

> issues above.

>

> The access to other task namespaces is proposed to be performed

> like this:

>

```
> rcu_read_lock();
> nsproxy = task_nsproxy(tsk);
> if (nsproxy != NULL) {
>     /*
>      * work with the namespaces here
>      * e.g. get the reference on one of them
>      */
> } /*
>  * NULL task_nsproxy() means that this task is
>  * almost dead (zombie)
>  */
> rcu_read_unlock();
```

>

> This patch has passed the review by Eric and Oleg :) and,

> of course, tested.

>

> Signed-off-by: Pavel Emelyanov <xemul@openvz.org>

> Cc: Eric W. Biederman <ebiederm@xmission.com>

> Cc: Oleg Nesterov <oleg@tv-sign.ru>

> Cc: Paul E. McKenney <paulmck@linux.vnet.ibm.com>

> Cc: Serge Hallyn <serue@us.ibm.com>

>

> ---

>

```
> fs/proc/base.c      | 27 ++++++-----
> include/linux/nsproxy.h | 44 ++++++-----
> kernel/exit.c       |  4 +---
> kernel/fork.c        | 11 +++++-----
> kernel/nsproxy.c     | 39 ++++++-----
> 5 files changed, 86 insertions(+), 39 deletions(-)
```

>

> diff --git a/fs/proc/base.c b/fs/proc/base.c

> index ed2b224..614851a 100644

```

> --- a/fs/proc/base.c
> +++ b/fs/proc/base.c
> @@ -371,18 +371,21 @@ struct proc_mounts {
> static int mounts_open(struct inode *inode, struct file *file)
> {
>     struct task_struct *task = get_proc_task(inode);
>     struct nsproxy *nsp;
>     struct mnt_namespace *ns = NULL;
>     struct proc_mounts *p;
>     int ret = -EINVAL;
>
>     if (task) {
>         task_lock(task);
>         if (task->nsproxy) {
>             ns = task->nsproxy->mnt_ns;
>             rcu_read_lock();
>             nsp = task_nsproxy(task);
>             if (nsp) {
>                 ns = nsp->mnt_ns;
>                 if (ns)
>                     get_mnt_ns(ns);
>             }
>             task_unlock(task);
>             rcu_read_unlock();
>         }
>
>         @@ -445,16 +448,20 @@ static int mountstats_open(struct inode
>         if (!ret) {
>             struct seq_file *m = file->private_data;
>             struct nsproxy *nsp;
>             struct mnt_namespace *mnt_ns = NULL;
>             struct task_struct *task = get_proc_task(inode);
>
>             if (task) {
>                 task_lock(task);
>                 if (task->nsproxy)
>                     mnt_ns = task->nsproxy->mnt_ns;
>                 if (mnt_ns)
>                     get_mnt_ns(mnt_ns);
>                 task_unlock(task);
>                 rcu_read_lock();
>                 nsp = task_nsproxy(task);
>                 if (nsp) {
>                     mnt_ns = nsp->mnt_ns;
>                     if (mnt_ns)
>                         get_mnt_ns(mnt_ns);

```



```

> + }
> + rcu_read_unlock();
> +
> put_task_struct(task);
> }
>
> diff --git a/include/linux/nsproxy.h b/include/linux/nsproxy.h
> index 525d8fc..ef4e863 100644
> --- a/include/linux/nsproxy.h
> +++ b/include/linux/nsproxy.h
> @@ -32,8 +32,39 @@ struct nsproxy {
> };
> extern struct nsproxy init_nsproxy;
>
> +/*
> + * the namespaces access rules are:
> + *
> + * 1. only current task is allowed to change tsk->nsproxy pointer or
> + *    any pointer on the nsproxy itself
> + *
> + * 2. when accessing (i.e. reading) current task's namespaces - no
> + *    precautions should be taken - just dereference the pointers
> + *
> + * 3. the access to other task namespaces is performed like this
> + *    rcu_read_lock();
> + *    nsproxy = task_nsproxy(tsk);
> + *    if (nsproxy != NULL) {
> + *        /*
> + *         * work with the namespaces here
> + *         * e.g. get the reference on one of them
> + *         */
> + *    } /*
> + *    * NULL task_nsproxy() means that this task is
> + *    * almost dead (zombie)
> + *    */
> + *    rcu_read_unlock();

```

And lastly, I guess that the caller to `switch_task_namespaces()` has to ensure that `new_nsproxy` either (1) is the init namespace, (2) is a brand-new namespace to which noone else has a reference, or (3) the caller has to hold a reference to the `new_nsproxy` across the call to `switch_task_namespaces()`.

As it happens the current calls fit (1) or (2). Again if we happen to jump into the game of switching a task into another task's nsproxy, we'll need to be mindful of (3) so that `new_nsproxy` can't be tossed into the bin between

```
if (new)
    get_nsproxy(new);
```

below.

```
> + *
> + */
> +
> +static inline struct nsproxy *task_nsproxy(struct task_struct *tsk)
> +{
> + return rcu_dereference(tsk->nsproxy);
> +}
> +
> int copy_namespaces(unsigned long flags, struct task_struct *tsk);
> -void get_task_namespaces(struct task_struct *tsk);
> +void exit_task_namespaces(struct task_struct *tsk);
> +void switch_task_namespaces(struct task_struct *tsk, struct nsproxy *new);
> void free_nsproxy(struct nsproxy *ns);
> int unshare_nsproxy_namespaces(unsigned long, struct nsproxy **,
> struct fs_struct *);
> @@ -45,17 +76,6 @@ static inline void put_nsproxy(struct ns
> }
> }
>
> -static inline void exit_task_namespaces(struct task_struct *p)
> -{
> - struct nsproxy *ns = p->nsproxy;
> - if (ns) {
> - task_lock(p);
> - p->nsproxy = NULL;
> - task_unlock(p);
> - put_nsproxy(ns);
> - }
> -}
> -
> #ifdef CONFIG_CONTAINER_NS
> int ns_container_clone(struct task_struct *tsk);
> #else
> diff --git a/kernel/exit.c b/kernel/exit.c
> index 2f4f35e..a2aef1f 100644
> --- a/kernel/exit.c
> +++ b/kernel/exit.c
> @@ -409,9 +409,7 @@ void daemonize(const char *name, ...)
> current->fs = fs;
> atomic_inc(&fs->count);
>
> - exit_task_namespaces(current);
> - current->nsproxy = init_task.nsproxy;
```

```

> - get_task_namespaces(current);
> + switch_task_namespaces(current, init_task.nsproxy);
>
> exit_files(current);
> current->files = init_task.files;
> diff --git a/kernel/fork.c b/kernel/fork.c
> index bc48e0f..0c6dd67 100644
> --- a/kernel/fork.c
> +++ b/kernel/fork.c
> @@ -1618,7 +1618,7 @@ asmlinkage long sys_unshare(unsigned lon
> struct mm_struct *mm, *new_mm = NULL, *active_mm = NULL;
> struct files_struct *fd, *new_fd = NULL;
> struct sem_undo_list *new_ulist = NULL;
> - struct nsproxy *new_nsproxy = NULL, *old_nsproxy = NULL;
> + struct nsproxy *new_nsproxy = NULL;
>
> check_unshare_flags(&unshare_flags);
>
> @@ -1647,14 +1647,13 @@ asmlinkage long sys_unshare(unsigned lon
>
> if (new_fs || new_mm || new_fd || new_ulist || new_nsproxy) {
>
> - task_lock(current);
> -
>   if (new_nsproxy) {
> -   old_nsproxy = current->nsproxy;
> -   current->nsproxy = new_nsproxy;
> -   new_nsproxy = old_nsproxy;
> +   switch_task_namespaces(current, new_nsproxy);
> +   new_nsproxy = NULL;
>   }
>
> + task_lock(current);
> +
>   if (new_fs) {
>     fs = current->fs;
>     current->fs = new_fs;
> diff --git a/kernel/nsproxy.c b/kernel/nsproxy.c
> index 58843ae..48bc070 100644
> --- a/kernel/nsproxy.c
> +++ b/kernel/nsproxy.c
> @@ -30,14 +30,6 @@ static inline void get_nsproxy(struct ns
> atomic_inc(&ns->count);
> }
>
> -void get_task_namespaces(struct task_struct *tsk)
> -{
> - struct nsproxy *ns = tsk->nsproxy;

```

```

> - if (ns) {
> - get_nsproxy(ns);
> - }
> -}
> -
> /*
>  * creates a copy of "orig" with refcount 1.
>  */
> @@ -205,6 +197,37 @@ out:
> return err;
> }
>
> +void switch_task_namespaces(struct task_struct *p, struct nsproxy *new)
> +{
> + struct nsproxy *ns;
> +
> + might_sleep();
> +
> + ns = p->nsproxy;
> + if (ns == new)
> + return;
> +
> + if (new)
> + get_nsproxy(new);
> + rcu_assign_pointer(p->nsproxy, new);
> +
> + if (ns && atomic_dec_and_test(&ns->count)) {
> + /*
> +  * wait for others to get what they want from this nsproxy.
> +  *
> +  * cannot release this nsproxy via the call_rcu() since
> +  * put_mnt_ns() will want to sleep
> +  */
> + synchronize_rcu();
> + free_nsproxy(ns);
> + }
> +}
> +
> +void exit_task_namespaces(struct task_struct *p)
> +{
> + switch_task_namespaces(p, NULL);
> +}
> +
> static int __init nsproxy_cache_init(void)
> {
> nsproxy_cachep = kmem_cache_create("nsproxy", sizeof(struct nsproxy),

```

thanks,

-serge

Subject: Re: [PATCH] Make access to task's nsproxy liter
Posted by [Oleg Nesterov](#) on Fri, 10 Aug 2007 14:05:45 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On 08/10, Serge E. Hallyn wrote:

```
>
> Quoting Pavel Emelyanov (xemul@openvz.org):
> > +/*
> > + * the namespaces access rules are:
> > + *
> > + * 1. only current task is allowed to change tsk->nsproxy pointer or
> > + *    any pointer on the nsproxy itself
> > + *
> > + * 2. when accessing (i.e. reading) current task's namespaces - no
> > + *    precautions should be taken - just dereference the pointers
> > + *
> > + * 3. the access to other task namespaces is performed like this
> > + *    rcu_read_lock();
> > + *    nsproxy = task_nsproxy(tsk);
> > + *    if (nsproxy != NULL) {
> > + *        /*
> > + *         * work with the namespaces here
> > + *         * e.g. get the reference on one of them
> > + *         */
> > + *    } /*
> > + *    * NULL task_nsproxy() means that this task is
> > + *    * almost dead (zombie)
> > + *    */
> > + *    rcu_read_unlock();
>
> And lastly, I guess that the caller to switch_task_namespaces() has
> to ensure that new_nsproxy either (1) is the init namespace, (2) is a
> brand-new namespace to which noone else has a reference, or (3) the
> caller has to hold a reference to the new_nsproxy across the call to
> switch_task_namespaces().
>
> As it happens the current calls fit (1) or (2). Again if we happen to
> jump into the game of switching a task into another task's nsproxy,
> we'll need to be mindful of (3) so that new_nsproxy can't be tossed into
> the bin between
>
> if (new)
>   get_nsproxy(new);
```

4) Unless tsk == current, get_task_namespaces(tsk) and get_nsproxy(tsk)

are racy even if done under rcu_read_lock().

Oleg.

Subject: Re: [PATCH] Make access to task's nsproxy liter
Posted by [Oleg Nesterov](#) on Fri, 10 Aug 2007 14:15:01 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On 08/10, Oleg Nesterov wrote:

```
>
> On 08/10, Serge E. Hallyn wrote:
> >
> > Quoting Pavel Emelyanov (xemul@openvz.org):
> > > +/*
> > > + * the namespaces access rules are:
> > > + *
> > > + * 1. only current task is allowed to change tsk->nsproxy pointer or
> > > + *    any pointer on the nsproxy itself
> > > + *
> > > + * 2. when accessing (i.e. reading) current task's namespaces - no
> > > + *    precautions should be taken - just dereference the pointers
> > > + *
> > > + * 3. the access to other task namespaces is performed like this
> > > + *    rcu_read_lock();
> > > + *    nsproxy = task_nsproxy(tsk);
> > > + *    if (nsproxy != NULL) {
> > > + *        /*
> > > + *          * work with the namespaces here
> > > + *          * e.g. get the reference on one of them
> > > + *          */
> > > + *    } /*
> > > + *    * NULL task_nsproxy() means that this task is
> > > + *    * almost dead (zombie)
> > > + *    */
> > > + *    rcu_read_unlock();
> >
> > And lastly, I guess that the caller to switch_task_namespaces() has
> > to ensure that new_nsproxy either (1) is the init namespace, (2) is a
> > brand-new namespace to which noone else has a reference, or (3) the
> > caller has to hold a reference to the new_nsproxy across the call to
> > switch_task_namespaces().
> >
> > As it happens the current calls fit (1) or (2). Again if we happen to
> > jump into the game of switching a task into another task's nsproxy,
> > we'll need to be mindful of (3) so that new_nsproxy can't be tossed into
> > the bin between
> >
```

```
> > if (new)
> > get_nsproxy(new);
>
> 4) Unless tsk == current, get_task_namespaces(tsk) and get_nsproxy(tsk)
> are racy even if done under rcu_read_lock().
```

(sorry for noise, but I'm afraid I was not clear again...)

This looks OK, we don't do get_nsproxy(not_a_current), but perhaps it is not immediately obvious that we shouldn't.

Oleg.

Subject: Re: [PATCH] Make access to task's nsproxy liter
Posted by [serue](#) on Fri, 10 Aug 2007 14:26:15 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Quoting Oleg Nesterov (oleg@tv-sign.ru):

> On 08/10, Oleg Nesterov wrote:

> >

> > On 08/10, Serge E. Hallyn wrote:

> > >

> > > Quoting Pavel Emelyanov (xemul@openvz.org):

> > > > +/*

> > > > + * the namespaces access rules are:

> > > > + *

> > > > + * 1. only current task is allowed to change tsk->nsproxy pointer or
> > > > + * any pointer on the nsproxy itself

> > > > + *

> > > > + * 2. when accessing (i.e. reading) current task's namespaces - no
> > > > + * precautions should be taken - just dereference the pointers

> > > > + *

> > > > + * 3. the access to other task namespaces is performed like this

> > > > + * rcu_read_lock();

> > > > + * nsproxy = task_nsproxy(tsk);

> > > > + * if (nsproxy != NULL) {

> > > > + * / *

> > > > + * * work with the namespaces here

> > > > + * * e.g. get the reference on one of them

> > > > + * *

> > > > + * } / *

> > > > + * * NULL task_nsproxy() means that this task is

> > > > + * * almost dead (zombie)

> > > > + * *

> > > > + * rcu_read_unlock();

> > >

> > > And lastly, I guess that the caller to switch_task_namespaces() has

```

> > > to ensure that new_nsproxy either (1) is the init namespace, (2) is a
> > > brand-new namespace to which noone else has a reference, or (3) the
> > > caller has to hold a reference to the new_nsproxy across the call to
> > > switch_task_namespaces().
> > >
> > > As it happens the current calls fit (1) or (2). Again if we happen to
> > > jump into the game of switching a task into another task's nsproxy,
> > > we'll need to be mindful of (3) so that new_nsproxy can't be tossed into
> > > the bin between
> > >
> > > if (new)
> > >   get_nsproxy(new);
> > >
> > 4) Unless tsk == current, get_task_namespaces(tsk) and get_nsproxy(tsk)
> >   are racy even if done under rcu_read_lock().
> >
> (sorry for noise, but I'm afraid I was not clear again...)
>
> This looks OK, we don't do get_nsproxy(not_a_current), but perhaps it is
> not immediately obvious that we shouldn't.

```

Yes, agreed, the code as it stands is fine.

I'm only warning that several people want the ability to enter another task's namespace (and other people are squarely against it :), and if/when we try to implement that again, then simply using `switch_task_namespaces()` will not suffice. The caller will have to grab an extra reference to the new nsproxy (as you imply, I guess under the `task_lock(target)`), call `switch_task_namespaces()`, then drop the extra reference. (Or implement a whole new helper.)

I don't even know whether this warrants a warning or not. Hopefully anyone who'll try to implement that will be able to deduce that for themselves. But still I usually like to see such things warned against...

-serge

Subject: Re: [PATCH] Make access to task's nsproxy liter
 Posted by [Pavel Emelianov](#) on Fri, 10 Aug 2007 15:09:32 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Oleg Nesterov wrote:

```

> On 08/10, Serge E. Hallyn wrote:
>> Quoting Pavel Emelyanov (xemul@openvz.org):
>>> +/*
>>> + * the namespaces access rules are:
>>> + *

```



```

>>> + * 1. only current task is allowed to change tsk->nsproxy pointer or
>>> + *    any pointer on the nsproxy itself
>>> + *
>>> + * 2. when accessing (i.e. reading) current task's namespaces - no
>>> + *    precautions should be taken - just dereference the pointers
>>> + *
>>> + * 3. the access to other task namespaces is performed like this
>>> + *    rcu_read_lock();
>>> + *    nsproxy = task_nsproxy(tsk);
>>> + *    if (nsproxy != NULL) {
>>> + *        / *
>>> + *            * work with the namespaces here
>>> + *            * e.g. get the reference on one of them
>>> + *            * /
>>> + *    } / *
>>> + *    * NULL task_nsproxy() means that this task is
>>> + *    * almost dead (zombie)
>>> + *    * /
>>> + *    rcu_read_unlock();
>> And lastly, I guess that the caller to switch_task_namespaces() has
>> to ensure that new_nsproxy either (1) is the init namespace, (2) is a
>> brand-new namespace to which noone else has a reference, or (3) the
>> caller has to hold a reference to the new_nsproxy across the call to
>> switch_task_namespaces().
>>
>> As it happens the current calls fit (1) or (2). Again if we happen to
>> jump into the game of switching a task into another task's nsproxy,
>> we'll need to be mindful of (3) so that new_nsproxy can't be tossed into
>> the bin between
>>
>> if (new)
>>   get_nsproxy(new);
>
> 4) Unless tsk == current, get_task_namespaces(tsk) and get_nsproxy(tsk)
>   are racy even if done under rcu_read_lock().

```

Yup :)

It is already written in comment that only the current is allowed to change its nsproxy. I.e. when switch_task_nsproxy() is called for tsk other than current it's a BUG

> Oleg.

>

> -

> To unsubscribe from this list: send the line "unsubscribe linux-kernel" in
> the body of a message to majordomo@vger.kernel.org

> More majordomo info at <http://vger.kernel.org/majordomo-info.html>

> Please read the FAQ at <http://www.tux.org/lkml/>

>

Subject: Re: [PATCH] Make access to task's nsproxy liter

Posted by [serge](#) on Fri, 10 Aug 2007 15:30:23 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Quoting Pavel Emelyanov (xemul@openvz.org):

> Oleg Nesterov wrote:

> >On 08/10, Serge E. Hallyn wrote:

> >>Quoting Pavel Emelyanov (xemul@openvz.org):

> >>>+/*

> >>>+ * the namespaces access rules are:

> >>>+ *

> >>>+ * 1. only current task is allowed to change tsk->nsproxy pointer or
> >>>+ * any pointer on the nsproxy itself

> >>>+ *

> >>>+ * 2. when accessing (i.e. reading) current task's namespaces - no
> >>>+ * precautions should be taken - just dereference the pointers

> >>>+ *

> >>>+ * 3. the access to other task namespaces is performed like this

> >>>+ * rcu_read_lock();

> >>>+ * nsproxy = task_nsproxy(tsk);

> >>>+ * if (nsproxy != NULL) {

> >>>+ * /*

> >>>+ * * work with the namespaces here

> >>>+ * * e.g. get the reference on one of them

> >>>+ * */

> >>>+ * }/*

> >>>+ * * NULL task_nsproxy() means that this task is

> >>>+ * * almost dead (zombie)

> >>>+ * */

> >>>+ * rcu_read_unlock();

> >>And lastly, I guess that the caller to switch_task_namespaces() has

> >>to ensure that new_nsproxy either (1) is the init namespace, (2) is a

> >>brand-new namespace to which noone else has a reference, or (3) the

> >>caller has to hold a reference to the new_nsproxy across the call to

> >>switch_task_namespaces().

> >>

> >>As it happens the current calls fit (1) or (2). Again if we happen to

> >>jump into the game of switching a task into another task's nsproxy,

> >>we'll need to be mindful of (3) so that new_nsproxy can't be tossed into

> >>the bin between

> >>

> >> if (new)

> >> get_nsproxy(new);

> >

> >4) Unless tsk == current, get_task_namespaces(tsk) and get_nsproxy(tsk)
 > > are racy even if done under rcu_read_lock().
 >
 > Yup :)
 >
 > It is already written in comment that only the current is allowed
 > to change its nsproxy. I.e. when switch_task_nsproxy() is called
 > for tsk other than current it's a BUG

I'm not talking about calling it for another task. I'm talking about
 calling it for current task, with another task's nsproxy as target.

Like I said there is nothing wrong with your patch, it looks good - it's
 just something to keep in mind.

thanks,
 -serge

Subject: Re: [PATCH] Make access to task's nsproxy liter
 Posted by [Oleg Nesterov](#) on Fri, 10 Aug 2007 16:43:37 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On 08/10, Pavel Emelyanov wrote:

```
>
> Oleg Nesterov wrote:
> >On 08/10, Serge E. Hallyn wrote:
> >>Quoting Pavel Emelyanov (xemul@openvz.org):
> >>>+/*
> >>>+ * the namespaces access rules are:
> >>>+ *
> >>>+ * 1. only current task is allowed to change tsk->nsproxy pointer or
> >>>+ *    any pointer on the nsproxy itself
> >>>+ *
> >>>+ * 2. when accessing (i.e. reading) current task's namespaces - no
> >>>+ *    precautions should be taken - just dereference the pointers
> >>>+ *
> >>>+ * 3. the access to other task namespaces is performed like this
> >>>+ *    rcu_read_lock();
> >>>+ *    nsproxy = task_nsproxy(tsk);
> >>>+ *    if (nsproxy != NULL) {
> >>>+ *        /*
> >>>+ *          * work with the namespaces here
> >>>+ *          * e.g. get the reference on one of them
> >>>+ *          */
> >>>+ *    } /*
> >>>+ *    * NULL task_nsproxy() means that this task is
> >>>+ *    * almost dead (zombie)
```

```

> >>>+ *      * /
> >>>+ *      rcu_read_unlock();
> >>And lastly, I guess that the caller to switch_task_namespaces() has
> >>to ensure that new_nsproxy either (1) is the init namespace, (2) is a
> >>brand-new namespace to which noone else has a reference, or (3) the
> >>caller has to hold a reference to the new_nsproxy across the call to
> >>switch_task_namespaces().
> >>
> >>As it happens the current calls fit (1) or (2). Again if we happen to
> >>jump into the game of switching a task into another task's nsproxy,
> >>we'll need to be mindful of (3) so that new_nsproxy can't be tossed into
> >>the bin between
> >>
> >> if (new)
> >>  get_nsproxy(new);
> >
> >4) Unless tsk == current, get_task_namespaces(tsk) and get_nsproxy(tsk)
> >  are racy even if done under rcu_read_lock().
>
> Yup :)
>
> It is already written in comment that only the current is allowed
> to change its nsproxy. I.e. when switch_task_nsproxy() is called
> for tsk other than current it's a BUG

```

Yes, but what I meant is that this code

```

    rcu_read_lock();
    nsproxy = task_nsproxy(tsk);
    if (nsproxy != NULL)
        get_nsproxy(nsproxy);
    rcu_read_unlock();

if (nsproxy) {
    use_it(nsproxy);
    put_nsproxy(nsproxy);
}

```

is not safe despite the fact we are not changing tsk->nsproxy.

The patch itself is correct because we don't do that, and the comment is right. Just it is not immediately obvious.

Oleg.

Subject: Re: [PATCH] Make access to task's nsproxy liter

Oleg Nesterov <oleg@tv-sign.ru> writes:

> On 08/10, Pavel Emelyanov wrote:

>>

>> Oleg Nesterov wrote:

>> >On 08/10, Serge E. Hallyn wrote:

>> >>Quoting Pavel Emelyanov (xemul@openvz.org):

>> >>>+/*

>> >>>+ * the namespaces access rules are:

>> >>>+ *

>> >>>+ * 1. only current task is allowed to change tsk->nsproxy pointer or
>> >>>+ * any pointer on the nsproxy itself

>> >>>+ *

>> >>>+ * 2. when accessing (i.e. reading) current task's namespaces - no
>> >>>+ * precautions should be taken - just dereference the pointers

>> >>>+ *

>> >>>+ * 3. the access to other task namespaces is performed like this

>> >>>+ * rcu_read_lock();

>> >>>+ * nsproxy = task_nsproxy(tsk);

>> >>>+ * if (nsproxy != NULL) {

>> >>>+ * / *

>> >>>+ * * work with the namespaces here

>> >>>+ * * e.g. get the reference on one of them

>> >>>+ * * /

>> >>>+ * } / *

>> >>>+ * * NULL task_nsproxy() means that this task is

>> >>>+ * * almost dead (zombie)

>> >>>+ * * /

>> >>>+ * rcu_read_unlock();

>> >>And lastly, I guess that the caller to switch_task_namespaces() has

>> >>to ensure that new_nsproxy either (1) is the init namespace, (2) is a

>> >>brand-new namespace to which noone else has a reference, or (3) the

>> >>caller has to hold a reference to the new_nsproxy across the call to

>> >>switch_task_namespaces().

>> >>

>> >>As it happens the current calls fit (1) or (2). Again if we happen to

>> >>jump into the game of switching a task into another task's nsproxy,

>> >>we'll need to be mindful of (3) so that new_nsproxy can't be tossed into

>> >>the bin between

>> >>

>> >> if (new)

>> >> get_nsproxy(new);

>> >>

>> >4) Unless tsk == current, get_task_namespaces(tsk) and get_nsproxy(tsk)

>> > are racy even if done under rcu_read_lock().

>>

```

>> Yup :)
>>
>> It is already written in comment that only the current is allowed
>> to change its nsproxy. I.e. when switch_task_nsproxy() is called
>> for tsk other than current it's a BUG
>
> Yes, but what I meant is that this code
>
>     rcu_read_lock();
>     nsproxy = task_nsproxy(tsk);
>     if (nsproxy != NULL)
>         get_nsproxy(nsproxy);
>     rcu_read_unlock();
>
> if (nsproxy) {
>     use_it(nsproxy);
>     put_nsproxy(nsproxy);
> }
>
> is not safe despite the fact we are not changing tsk->nsproxy.
>
> The patch itself is correct because we don't do that, and the comment
> is right. Just it is not immediately obvious.

```

Ugh. That is nasty, non obvious and almost a problem. I don't want to do `get_net(nsproxy->net_ns)` from another task so I can migrate network between namespaces.

But thinking about it because we don't do the other decrements until later we can still increment the counts on the individual namespaces. We just can't share nsproxy.

So if you did want to do an enter thing you could copy the nsproxy object of a task under the `rcu_read_lock()`, and you would be fine.

Eric

Subject: Re: [PATCH] Make access to task's nsproxy liter
 Posted by [serue](#) on Mon, 13 Aug 2007 15:01:54 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Quoting Eric W. Biederman (ebiederm@xmission.com):

```

> Oleg Nesterov <oleg@tv-sign.ru> writes:
>
> > On 08/10, Pavel Emelyanov wrote:
> >>

```

```

> >> Oleg Nesterov wrote:
> >> >On 08/10, Serge E. Hallyn wrote:
> >> >>Quoting Pavel Emelyanov (xemul@openvz.org):
> >> >>>+/*
> >> >>>+ * the namespaces access rules are:
> >> >>>+ *
> >> >>>+ * 1. only current task is allowed to change tsk->nsproxy pointer or
> >> >>>+ *    any pointer on the nsproxy itself
> >> >>>+ *
> >> >>>+ * 2. when accessing (i.e. reading) current task's namespaces - no
> >> >>>+ *    precautions should be taken - just dereference the pointers
> >> >>>+ *
> >> >>>+ * 3. the access to other task namespaces is performed like this
> >> >>>+ *    rcu_read_lock();
> >> >>>+ *    nsproxy = task_nsproxy(tsk);
> >> >>>+ *    if (nsproxy != NULL) {
> >> >>>+ *        /*
> >> >>>+ *            * work with the namespaces here
> >> >>>+ *            * e.g. get the reference on one of them
> >> >>>+ *            */
> >> >>>+ *    } /*
> >> >>>+ *    * NULL task_nsproxy() means that this task is
> >> >>>+ *    * almost dead (zombie)
> >> >>>+ *    */
> >> >>>+ *    rcu_read_unlock();
> >> >>And lastly, I guess that the caller to switch_task_namespaces() has
> >> >>to ensure that new_nsproxy either (1) is the init namespace, (2) is a
> >> >>brand-new namespace to which noone else has a reference, or (3) the
> >> >>caller has to hold a reference to the new_nsproxy across the call to
> >> >>switch_task_namespaces().
> >> >>
> >> >>As it happens the current calls fit (1) or (2). Again if we happen to
> >> >>jump into the game of switching a task into another task's nsproxy,
> >> >>we'll need to be mindful of (3) so that new_nsproxy can't be tossed into
> >> >>the bin between
> >> >>
> >> >>if (new)
> >> >> get_nsproxy(new);
> >> >>
> >> >>4) Unless tsk == current, get_task_namespaces(tsk) and get_nsproxy(tsk)
> >> >> are racy even if done under rcu_read_lock().
> >> >>
> >> >>Yup :)
> >> >>
> >> >>It is already written in comment that only the current is allowed
> >> >> to change its nsproxy. I.e. when switch_task_nsproxy() is called
> >> >> for tsk other than current it's a BUG
> >> >>

```

> > Yes, but what I meant is that this code

```
> >  
> >     rcu_read_lock();  
> >     nsproxy = task_nsproxy(tsk);  
> >     if (nsproxy != NULL)  
> >         get_nsproxy(nsproxy);  
> >     rcu_read_unlock();  
> >  
> > if (nsproxy) {  
> >     use_it(nsproxy);  
> >     put_nsproxy(nsproxy);  
> > }
```

> > is not safe despite the fact we are `_not_` changing `tsk->nsproxy`.

> > The patch itself is correct because we don't do that, and the comment

> > is right. Just it is not immediately obvious.

>

> Ugh. That is nasty, non obvious and almost a problem. I don't want

> to do `get_net(nsproxy->net_ns)` from another task so I can migrate

> network between namespaces.

>

> But thinking about it because we don't do the other decrements

> until later we can still increment the counts on the individual

> namespaces. We just can't share `nsproxy`.

>

> So if you did want to do an enter thing you could copy the

> `nsproxy` object of a task under the `rcu_read_lock()`, and

> you would be fine.

Yup, that makes sense, good idea.

-serge
