Subject: Lost JBD fix Posted by Jan Kara on Mon, 09 Jul 2007 18:14:43 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hi Andrew,

it seems we've accidentally lost one JBD fix (probably it was my mistake when rediffing some checkpointing changes) as Kirill has noted. A transaction can currently be released when there are still some buffers on one of its checkpointing lists. Attached patch should fix it (it still seems to apply fine). Please apply it. Thanks.

Honza
-Jan Kara <jack@suse.cz>
SuSE CR Labs

File Attachments

1) jbd-2.6.16-rc1-2-commit\_remove\_trans\_fix.diff, downloaded 212 times

Subject: Re: Lost JBD fix

Posted by Chuck Ebbert on Wed, 11 Jul 2007 17:55:30 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On 07/09/2007 02:14 PM, Jan Kara wrote:

Hi Andrew,it sooms wo

- > it seems we've accidentally lost one JBD fix (probably it was my mistake
- > when rediffing some checkpointing changes) as Kirill has noted. A transaction
- > can currently be released when there are still some buffers on one of its
- > checkpointing lists. Attached patch should fix it (it still seems to apply
- > fine). Please apply it. Thanks.

> > Honza >

> ------

- > We have to check that also the second checkpoint list is non-empty before > dropping the transaction.
- > Signed-off-by: Jan Kara <jack@suse.cz>
- > diff -rupX /home/jack/.kerndiffexclude linux-2.6.16-rc1/fs/jbd/commit.c linux-2.6.16-rc1-1-checkpoint-fix/fs/jbd/commit.c
- > --- linux-2.6.16-rc1/fs/jbd/commit.c 2006-01-15 00:20:12.000000000 +0100

```
> +++ linux-2.6.16-rc1-1-checkpoint-fix/fs/jbd/commit.c 2006-01-17 23:35:19.000000000 +0100
> @ @ -829,7 +829,8 @ @ restart_loop:
> journal->j_committing_transaction = NULL;
> spin_unlock(&journal->j_state_lock);
>
> - if (commit_transaction->t_checkpoint_list == NULL) {
> + if (commit_transaction->t_checkpoint_list == NULL &&
> + commit_transaction->t_checkpoint_io_list == NULL) {
> __journal_drop_transaction(journal, commit_transaction);
> } else {
> if (journal->j_checkpoint_transactions == NULL) {
Critical bugfix -- 2.6.22-stable (no earlier versions) should get this too, right?

If so, can we get this merged into 2.6.23-rc soon?
```

Posted by Jan Kara on Fri, 13 Jul 2007 17:16:59 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message On Wed 11-07-07 13:55:30, Chuck Ebbert wrote: > On 07/09/2007 02:14 PM, Jan Kara wrote: >> Hi Andrew, >> it seems we've accidentally lost one JBD fix (probably it was my mistake > > when rediffing some checkpointing changes) as Kirill has noted. A transaction > > can currently be released when there are still some buffers on one of its > > checkpointing lists. Attached patch should fix it (it still seems to apply > > fine). Please apply it. Thanks. Honza >> We have to check that also the second checkpoint list is non-empty before > > dropping the transaction. > > Signed-off-by: Jan Kara < jack@suse.cz> > > diff -rupX /home/jack/.kerndiffexclude linux-2.6.16-rc1/fs/jbd/commit.c linux-2.6.16-rc1-1-checkpoint-fix/fs/jbd/commit.c >> --- linux-2.6.16-rc1/fs/jbd/commit.c 2006-01-15 00:20:12.000000000 +0100 >> +++ linux-2.6.16-rc1-1-checkpoint-fix/fs/jbd/commit.c 2006-01-17 23:35:19.000000000 +0100

>> @ @ -829,7 +829,8 @ @ restart\_loop:

>> journal->i\_committing\_transaction = NULL;

Subject: Re: Lost JBD fix

```
>> spin_unlock(&journal->j_state_lock);
>>
>> - if (commit_transaction->t_checkpoint_list == NULL) {
>> + if (commit_transaction->t_checkpoint_list == NULL &&
>> + commit_transaction->t_checkpoint_io_list == NULL) {
>> __journal_drop_transaction(journal, commit_transaction);
>> } else {
>> if (journal->j_checkpoint_transactions == NULL) {
>
> Critical bugfix -- 2.6.22-stable (no earlier versions) should get
> this too, right?
Yes, the bugfix is probably obvious enough to go into -stable.

Honza
--
Jan Kara <jack@suse.cz>
SuSE CR Labs
```