

---

Subject: Re: [PATCH 3/7] Containers (V8): Add generic multi-subsystem API to containers

Posted by [Srivatsa Vaddagiri](#) on Sat, 07 Apr 2007 04:11:36 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On Fri, Apr 06, 2007 at 04:32:24PM -0700, menage@google.com wrote:

> +static int attach\_task(struct container \*cont, struct task\_struct \*tsk)  
> {

[snip]

> + task\_lock(tsk);

You need to check here if task state is PF\_EXITING and fail with  
-ESRCH if so? Otherwise we risk breaking refcount on  
init\_container\_group.

> + rCU\_assign\_pointer(tsk->containers, newcg);  
> + task\_unlock(tsk);

--

Regards,  
vatsa

---

---

Subject: Re: [PATCH 3/7] Containers (V8): Add generic multi-subsystem API to containers

Posted by [Paul Menage](#) on Sat, 07 Apr 2007 17:30:27 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On 4/6/07, Srivatsa Vaddagiri <vatsa@in.ibm.com> wrote:

> On Fri, Apr 06, 2007 at 04:32:24PM -0700, menage@google.com wrote:

> > +static int attach\_task(struct container \*cont, struct task\_struct \*tsk)

> > {

>

> [snip]

>

> > + task\_lock(tsk);

>

> You need to check here if task state is PF\_EXITING and fail with

> -ESRCH if so? Otherwise we risk breaking refcount on

> init\_container\_group.

>

Yes, I think you're right; I've now changed it to this in my tree:

```
task_lock(tsk);
if (tsk->flags & PF_EXITING) {
```

```
task_unlock(tsk);
put_container_group(newcg);
return -ESRCH;
}
rcu_assign_pointer(tsk->containers, newcg);
task_unlock(tsk);
```

Thanks,

Paul

---