Subject: Virtuozzo and OpenVZ kernel differences Posted by mikov on Tue, 06 Feb 2007 04:57:29 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I am sorry if this question has already been asked.

Are there any kernel differences between OpenVZ and Virtuozzo?

The page http://openvz.org/support says that Virtuozzo supports higher VPS density, which to me implies functionality implemented in the kernel (but I may very well be wrong).

If there are differences, shouldn't the kernel parts of Virtuozzo be released, since they fall under the GPL?

Again, I am sorry if this has been asked or is something obvious.

Subject: Re: Virtuozzo and OpenVZ kernel differences Posted by dim on Tue, 06 Feb 2007 11:05:35 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Kernels are the same, but Virtuozzo has some advanced kernel modules.

Subject: Re: Virtuozzo and OpenVZ kernel differences Posted by mikov on Tue, 06 Feb 2007 18:11:34 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Thanks for your reply. Kernel modules are covered by the GPL, aren't they? Is the source available?

Subject: Re: Virtuozzo and OpenVZ kernel differences Posted by dim on Tue, 06 Feb 2007 19:47:02 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

- 1) Kernel modules are not necessarily available under GPL, there are a number of binary modules for Linux see ati drivers, for example. As I know, Linus doesn't prohibit them.
- 2) Open-source licensees and GPL in particular require, that source code should be available with binaries. So, if you are Virtuozzo customer, ask your contact person for sources of these modules.

Subject: Re: Virtuozzo and OpenVZ kernel differences Posted by mikov on Wed, 07 Feb 2007 00:38:54 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Thank you for your reply. Please, do not take this as trolling or flaming. I am trying to clarify some issues with respect to Virtuozzo and OpenVZ for a client. I have been told that Virtuozzo probably violates the GPL, and from your reply it appears to be true (in case I misunderstood, I apologize).

dim wrote on Tue, 06 February 2007 11:471) Kernel modules are not necessarily available under GPL, there are a number of binary modules for Linux - see ati drivers, for example. As I know, Linus doesn't prohibit them.

To the best of my knowledge this is not true. The consensus is that only kernel modules which have been developed for another OS and thus cannot be said to "derived" from the kernel _may be_ acceptable. Virtuozzo's kernel code however is obviously derived from the kernel.

Quote:2) Open-source licensees and GPL in particular require, that source code should be available with binaries. So, if you are Virtuozzo customer, ask your contact person for sources of these modules.

I am sorry but this is clearly wrong. The GPL requires that the source be made available to everybody, not only customers.

Subject: Re: Virtuozzo and OpenVZ kernel differences Posted by mikov on Wed, 07 Feb 2007 02:14:07 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

mikov wrote on Tue, 06 February 2007 16:38

I am sorry but this is clearly wrong. The GPL requires that the source be made available to everybody, not only customers.

I apologize - I was wrong. Indeed the source needs only be made available to the customers, although in theory there is nothing stopping the customers from making it publicly available, for example on a website.

Subject: Re: Virtuozzo and OpenVZ kernel differences Posted by dim on Wed, 07 Feb 2007 11:23:25 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

mikov wrote on Tue, 06 February 2007 19:38

To the best of my knowledge this is not true. The consensus is that only kernel modules which have been developed for another OS and thus cannot be said to "derived" from the kernel _may be_ acceptable. Virtuozzo's kernel code however is obviously derived from the kernel.

You are mistaken. Virtuozzo is available for at least 2 OSs - Linux and Windows, and there were also FreeBSD port. So, mentioned modules are covered by this consensus. But anyway, my point

of view is not official SWsoft's point of view, because I'm even don't know, which license these modules are covered by, when provided to customers. I want just say, that it doesn't matter, because they _may be_ covered by any license.

Subject: Re: Virtuozzo and OpenVZ kernel differences Posted by mikov on Wed, 07 Feb 2007 17:32:27 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

OK, thanks a lot. I think OpenVZ is a great product - keep up the great work guys!

Subject: Re: Virtuozzo and OpenVZ kernel differences Posted by bradmkjr on Sun, 25 Feb 2007 20:38:49 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Well, nothing except getting sue out of business by SWsoft. Have you ever read any of their Terms of Service? Any attempt to do anything but use their software and send them money violates it. You can't legally look at the PHP code (partially because it is encrypted in parts) for plesk or anything to try and duplicate the methods and practices of the software.

Nothing Against SWsoft, I understand why they are so strict and I don't blame them.

Bradford Knowlton http://x86Virtualization.com/

Subject: Re: Virtuozzo and OpenVZ kernel differences Posted by mikov on Tue, 27 Feb 2007 03:15:39 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

If it is only the user mode tools, they can put whatever restrictions on them. Not good for free software, but SWSoft must make money after all.

However I am convinced that all virtualization related kernel extensions are inherently based on the Linux kernel, and so can only be licensed under the GPL. This is what my initial post was about.

By the terms of the GPL, SWSoft MUST provide their customers with the source of the kernel modules at the time of the sale; the customers MUST be free to do anything with the source - any additional restrictions would violate the GPL. I am assuming they are doing this. Alternatively SWSoft MUST make their kernel changes publicly available on their web site - apparently they are not doing that.

If they are not doing that either one of these things, they are probably in a violation of the GPL. However I personally have no great desire to stir this too much, because they are making a great contribution by maintaining a GPL OpenVZ. So they are _the good guys_ even if they are not

Page 4 of 4 ---- Generated from OpenVZ Forum