Subject: [PATCH 1/3] lutimesat: simplify utime(2) Posted by adobriyan on Fri, 26 Jan 2007 11:14:53 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
Rewrite via do_utimes() like compat_sys_utime().
Signed-off-by: Alexey Dobrivan <adobrivan@openvz.org>
fs/utimes.c | 50 ++++++------
1 file changed, 7 insertions(+), 43 deletions(-)
--- a/fs/utimes.c
+++ b/fs/utimes.c
@ @ -22,52 +22,16 @ @ #ifdef __ARCH_WANT_SYS_UTIME
 */
asmlinkage long sys_utime(char_user * filename, struct utimbuf_user * times)
{
- int error;
- struct nameidata nd;

    struct inode * inode;

- struct iattr newattrs;
+ struct timeval tv[2];
- error = user_path_walk(filename, &nd);
- if (error)
- goto out;
- inode = nd.dentry->d inode;
- error = -EROFS;
- if (IS RDONLY(inode))

    goto dput_and_out;

- /* Don't worry, the checks are done in inode_change_ok() */

    newattrs.ia_valid = ATTR_CTIME | ATTR_MTIME | ATTR_ATIME;

 if (times) {

    error = -EPERM;

    if (IS_APPEND(inode) || IS_IMMUTABLE(inode))

    goto dput_and_out;

- error = get user(newattrs.ia atime.tv sec, &times->actime);

    newattrs.ia_atime.tv_nsec = 0;

- if (!error)

    error = get_user(newattrs.ia_mtime.tv_sec, &times->modtime);

    newattrs.ia_mtime.tv_nsec = 0;

- if (error)
  goto dput_and_out;
```

```
    newattrs.ia_valid |= ATTR_ATIME_SET | ATTR_MTIME_SET;

- } else {
          error = -EACCES;
          if (IS_IMMUTABLE(inode))
               goto dput_and_out;
 if (current->fsuid != inode->i uid &&
-
    (error = vfs_permission(&nd, MAY_WRITE)) != 0)
  goto dput and out;
+ if (get user(tv[0].tv sec, &times->actime) ||
+
    get_user(tv[1].tv_sec, &times->modtime))
+ return -EFAULT:
+ tv[0].tv_usec = 0;
+ tv[1].tv_usec = 0;
 }
- mutex_lock(&inode->i_mutex);
- error = notify change(nd.dentry, &newattrs);
- mutex unlock(&inode->i mutex);
-dput and out:
- path release(&nd);
-out:
- return error;
+ return do_utimes(AT_FDCWD, filename, times ? tv : NULL);
}
```

```
#endif
```

Subject: Re: [PATCH 1/3] lutimesat: simplify utime(2) Posted by Andrew Morton on Fri, 26 Jan 2007 20:41:20 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On Fri, 26 Jan 2007 14:21:42 +0300 Alexey Dobriyan <adobriyan@openvz.org> wrote:

```
> {
> - int error;
> - struct nameidata nd;
> - struct inode * inode;
> - struct iattr newattrs;
> + struct timeval tv[2];
>
> - error = user_path_walk(filename, &nd);
> - if (error)
> - goto out;
> - inode = nd.dentry->d_inode;
> -
> - error = -EROFS;
> - if (IS_RDONLY(inode))
> - goto dput_and_out;
> -
> - /* Don't worry, the checks are done in inode change ok() */
> - newattrs.ia_valid = ATTR_CTIME | ATTR_MTIME | ATTR_ATIME;
> if (times) {
> - error = -EPERM;
> - if (IS_APPEND(inode) || IS_IMMUTABLE(inode))
> - goto dput and out;
> -
> - error = get_user(newattrs.ia_atime.tv_sec, &times->actime);
> - newattrs.ia_atime.tv_nsec = 0;
> - if (!error)
> - error = get_user(newattrs.ia_mtime.tv_sec, &times->modtime);
> - newattrs.ia mtime.tv nsec = 0;
> - if (error)
> goto dput_and_out;
> -
> newattrs.ia_valid |= ATTR_ATIME_SET | ATTR_MTIME_SET;
> - } else {
            error = -EACCES;
> -
            if (IS_IMMUTABLE(inode))
> -
                 goto dput_and_out;
> -
> -
> - if (current->fsuid != inode->i uid &&
      (error = vfs_permission(&nd, MAY_WRITE)) != 0)
> -
> - goto dput_and_out;
> + if (get user(tv[0].tv sec, &times->actime) ||
       get_user(tv[1].tv_sec, &times->modtime))
> +
> + return -EFAULT;
> + tv[0].tv_usec = 0;
> + tv[1].tv_usec = 0;
> }
> - mutex lock(&inode->i mutex);
> - error = notify_change(nd.dentry, &newattrs);
```

> - mutex_unlock(&inode->i_mutex); > -dput_and_out: > - path_release(&nd); > -out: > - return error; > + return do_utimes(AT_FDCWD, filename, times ? tv : NULL); > } > > #endif

I'm somewhat surprised that this wasn't done earlier. I wonder if there's some subtle reason why this won't work. How well tested is this?

Subject: Re: [PATCH 1/3] lutimesat: simplify utime(2) Posted by Arnd Bergmann on Fri, 26 Jan 2007 23:35:42 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On Friday 26 January 2007 21:41, Andrew Morton wrote:

http://www.opengroup.org/onlinepubs/000095399/functions/utim es.html lists a slight difference between utime and utimes in the handling of EPERM/EACCESS:

- > The utimes() function shall fail if:
- > [EACCES] Search permission is denied by a component of the path prefix;
- > or the times argument is a null pointer and the effective user ID of the
- > process does not match the owner of the file and write access is denied.
- > [EPERM] The times argument is not a null pointer and the calling process'
- > effective user ID has write access to the file but does not match the
- > owner of the file and the calling process does not have the appropriate
- > privileges.
- >
- > The utime() function shall fail if:
- > [EACCES] Search permission is denied by a component of the path prefix;
- > or the times argument is a null pointer and the effective user ID of the
- > process does not match the owner of the file, the process does not have
- > write permission for the file, and the process does not have appropriate
- > privileges.
- > [EPERM] The times argument is not a null pointer and the calling process'
- > effective user ID does not match the owner of the file and the calling
- > process does not have the appropriate privileges.

I don't really understand how that should be implemented in different ways, but it might be the reason that we have separate functions. Subject: Re: [PATCH 1/3] lutimesat: simplify utime(2) Posted by Alexey Dobriyan on Sun, 28 Jan 2007 15:24:59 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On Fri, Jan 26, 2007 at 12:41:20PM -0800, Andrew Morton wrote:

> On Fri, 26 Jan 2007 14:21:42 +0300

> Alexey Dobriyan <adobriyan@openvz.org> wrote:

>

> > Rewrite via do_utimes() like compat_sys_utime().

>

> I'm somewhat surprised that this wasn't done earlier.

Because, the following patch didn't hit -mm. :)

From adobriyan@gmail.com Wed Oct 25 20:32:24 2006 Date: Wed, 25 Oct 2006 20:32:24 +0400 From: Alexey Dobriyan <adobriyan@gmail.com> To: Andrew Morton <akpm@osdl.org> Cc: linux-kernel@vger.kernel.org Subject: [PATCH] Shrink sys_utime() Message-ID: <20061025163224.GA5356@martell.zuzino.mipt.ru> Mime-Version: 1.0 Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii Content-Disposition: inline User-Agent: Mutt/1.5.11 Status: RO Content-Length: 3314 Lines: 118

All checks in sys_utime() and do_utimes() are duplicated as well as a comment. sys_utime() will now use do_utimes() after getting times from userspace and projecting them to struct timeval [2].

Nevermind.

> I wonder if there's some subtle reason why this won't work.

I don't know. Compat syscall -- I'm not touching it. Normal syscall -time_t is long on all archs, suseconds_t is sometimes int, but we're putting zero there.

> How well tested is this?

It passed utime tests in December's LTP.

Subject: Re: [PATCH 1/3] lutimesat: simplify utime(2) Posted by Alexey Dobriyan on Sun, 28 Jan 2007 15:28:42 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On Sat, Jan 27, 2007 at 12:35:42AM +0100, Arnd Bergmann wrote: > On Friday 26 January 2007 21:41, Andrew Morton wrote:

>

- > http://www.opengroup.org/onlinepubs/000095399/functions/utim es.html
- > lists a slight difference between utime and utimes in the handling
- > of EPERM/EACCESS:

>

- > > The utimes() function shall fail if:
- >> [EACCES] Search permission is denied by a component of the path prefix;
- >> or the times argument is a null pointer and the effective user ID of the
- >> process does not match the owner of the file and write access is denied.
- > > [EPERM] The times argument is not a null pointer and the calling process'
- >> effective user ID has write access to the file but does not match the
- >> owner of the file and the calling process does not have the appropriate

>> privileges.

> >

- > > The utime() function shall fail if:
- >> [EACCES] Search permission is denied by a component of the path prefix;
- >> or the times argument is a null pointer and the effective user ID of the
- >> process does not match the owner of the file, the process does not have
- >> write permission for the file, and the process does not have appropriate

>> privileges.

- > > [EPERM] The times argument is not a null pointer and the calling process'
- >> effective user ID does not match the owner of the file and the calling
- >> process does not have the appropriate privileges.

>

- > I don't really understand how that should be implemented in different
- > ways, but it might be the reason that we have separate functions.

Present sys_utime() and do_utimes() are identical, except the former does direct getusering into new attributes, and the latter accept "int dfd" instead of hardcoded current working directory.