Subject: 023stab035 missing?

Posted by mephisto on Tue, 05 Dec 2006 20:27:55 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hi, you've announced the release of 023stab035 together with the latest testing kernel (no individual announcements anymore?) - but so far it can't be downloaded.

Subject: Re: 023stab035 missing?

Posted by kir on Tue, 05 Dec 2006 20:56:03 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Could you please point me to the actual announce of 023stab035, for I am not able to find it?..

Subject: Re: 023stab035 missing?

Posted by Vasily Tarasov on Wed, 06 Dec 2006 07:21:39 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I think, mephisto means the phrase "OpenVZ stable update up to 023stab035" in the announce message concerning 028test007 kernel... This phrase looks a bit confusing for me too.

Subject: Re: 023stab035 missing?

Posted by mephisto on Wed, 06 Dec 2006 10:47:48 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Yeah, that's the one.

Subject: Re: 023stab035 missing?

Posted by jason|xoxide on Wed, 06 Dec 2006 14:59:39 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

When it is released, will it be as "kernel" or "ovzkernel"? Please say it will be the former...

Subject: Re: 023stab035 missing?

Posted by kir on Wed, 06 Dec 2006 17:01:56 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

We would not change the naming scheme in the middle of the stable cycle.

Subject: Re: 023stab035 missing?

Posted by dev on Fri, 08 Dec 2006 04:46:50 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Kir, why not if it fixes yum for people?

Subject: Re: 023stab035 missing?

Posted by dev on Fri, 08 Dec 2006 04:52:17 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

You are right. I have been doing some stable internal releases which occured to have bugs, so now I'm preparing 023stab037 being released on ~Monday next week. Pavel just synchronizes devel 2.6.18 kernels with stable branch, so he can mention the kernel which was never officially released, but which exists.

Subject: Re: 023stab035 missing?

Posted by kir on Fri, 08 Dec 2006 07:27:23 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

dev wrote on Fri, 08 December 2006 07:46Kir, why not if it fixes yum for people?

Are we talking about changing kernel -> ovzkernel in devel series?

Or are we talking about ovzkernel -> kernel in stable series?

I suspect the latter. And the latter will not «fix yum for people», it will rather fuck it up.