
Subject: *SOLVED* /proc pid number off-by-one? ... 2.6.18-028test003.1

Posted by [John Kelly](#) on Fri, 10 Nov 2006 21:27:43 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I have this error when restarting sendmail in a suse 9.1 VE:

```
startproc: cannot stat /proc/27759/exe
```

I see in /proc the sendmail pid number is one higher, 27760.

Is this an off-by-one 2.6.18 bug? I never had this problem while running a 2.6.16 openvz kernel.

Subject: Re: /proc pid number off-by-one? ... 2.6.18-028test003.1

Posted by [Vasily Tarasov](#) on Sun, 12 Nov 2006 14:25:11 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hello,

can you somehow figure out where it gets 27759 pid?

I guess, strace can be used to find this information.

One more question is about other templates? Does this problem present in other than Suse templates?

Thanks!

Subject: Re: /proc pid number off-by-one? ... 2.6.18-028test003.1

Posted by [John Kelly](#) on Mon, 13 Nov 2006 17:19:59 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

```
#!/bin/sh
```

```
SENDMAIL_CLIENT_ARGS="-L sendmail-client -Ac -qp30m"
```

```
msspuid=/var/spool/clientmqueue/sm-client.pid
```

```
srvid=/var/run/sendmail.pid
```

```
killproc -p $msspuid -i $srvid -TERM /usr/sbin/sendmail
```

```
startproc -p $msspuid -i $srvid /usr/sbin/sendmail $SENDMAIL_CLIENT_ARGS
```

Here is a reduced test case, the problem happens on the last line, startproc. The problem seems like some kind of race, because sometimes it happens, and other times, it does not.

I tried strace with startproc, but that seems to avoid the race. However, after running the test script above many times, followed immediately by "ps ax," I was able to see what the problem is (shown below). There is a zombie with the PID number in question, and the actual PID number of the running sendmail process is one higher. Seeing the zombie with "ps ax" is hard to reproduce, I only captured it one time.

This never happened until I started using the openvz 2.6.18 kernel. I don't know if this happens with any other VE, suse 9.1 is the only one I use enough to produce the problem.

startproc: cannot stat /proc/1372/exe: Permission denied

```
PID TTY STAT TIME COMMAND
  1 ?   Rs   0:00 init [3]
28095 ?   Ss   0:00 sendmail: accepting connections
28107 ?   Ss   0:00 /usr/sbin/sshd -o PidFile=/var/run/sshd.init.pid
28113 ?   Ss   0:00 /usr/sbin/xinetd
28119 ?   Ss   0:00 /usr/sbin/cron
28276 pts/1  Ss+  0:00 -bash
 1372 pts/0  Z    0:00 [sendmail] <defunct>
 1373 ?   Ss   0:00 sendmail: Queue control
 1374 ?   S    0:00 sendmail: running queue: /var/spool/clientmqueue
 1375 pts/0  R+   0:00 ps ax
```

Subject: Re: /proc pid number off-by-one? ... 2.6.18-028test003.1

Posted by [dev](#) on Tue, 14 Nov 2006 16:50:20 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

1. can you run it as:

```
# bash -x <your-script>
```

please?

2. AFAICS, this script does the following:

a) kills previous sendmail instance

b) starts new sendmail instance

however, the problem is that SIGTERM requires some time to finish.

So from your example:

```
1372 pts/0  Z    0:00 [sendmail] <defunct>
```

is an old sendmail instance.

```
1373 ?   Ss   0:00 sendmail: Queue control
```

```
1374 ?   S    0:00 sendmail: running queue: /var/spool/clientmqueue
```

a new one.

and looks like startproc races with SIGTERM and sees that the task still exists, however, when it tries to do stat on /proc/pid/exe it is already dead and it can't stat.

Looks like this.

Subject: Re: /proc pid number off-by-one? ... 2.6.18-028test003.1

Posted by [John Kelly](#) on Tue, 14 Nov 2006 17:35:23 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

A race between SIGTERM and startproc is what I thought too. But then I put "sleep 1" between killproc and startproc, and the problem still happens.

The zombie pid is not the old pid that was killed; the old pid number is much lower (not just one lower); I can see that before killing it. The zombie pid is somehow related to the new instance of sendmail, though I am not sure how.

As another data point:

This morning I was using a debian etch VE, running aptitude interactively to install a package. But after downloading, it stalled, and went no further. Then I used another session to look with "ps ax" and I saw another zombie:

```
PID TTY    STAT  TIME COMMAND
  1 ?      Ss    0:00 init [2]
9359 ?    Ss    0:00 /sbin/syslogd
9365 ?    Ss    0:00 /sbin/klogd -x
9377 ?    Ssl   0:00 /usr/sbin/named -u bind
9401 ?    Ss    0:00 /usr/sbin/sshd
9405 ?    Ss    0:00 /usr/sbin/vsftpd
9411 ?    Ss    0:00 /usr/sbin/xinetd -pidfile /var/run/xinetd.pid -stayal
9432 ?    Ss    0:00 /usr/sbin/cron
19964 ?   Ss    0:00 sshd: root@pts/0
19967 pts/0   Ss    0:00 -bash
21510 ?   Ss    0:00 sshd: root@pts/2
21513 pts/2   Ss    0:00 -bash
31876 pts/2  Zl+   0:08 [aptitude] <defunct>
31964 pts/0   R+    0:00 ps ax
```

This never happened to me before, with debian aptitude. Maybe there is some 2.6.18 kernel regression related to PIDs and zombies, but I don't know how to analyze it further.

Subject: Re: /proc pid number off-by-one? ... 2.6.18-028test003.1

Posted by [dev](#) on Tue, 14 Nov 2006 22:50:40 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

can you give me an access to the node with exact instructions on reproducing both issues (sendmail and aptitude)?

Subject: Re: /proc pid number off-by-one? ... 2.6.18-028test003.1

Posted by [John Kelly](#) on Wed, 15 Nov 2006 02:33:12 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

dev wrote on Tue, 14 November 2006 17:50 can you give me an access to the node with exact instructions on reproducing both issues (sendmail and aptitude)?

Yes for aptitude, since that's in a test environment. Please send me an email, and tell me your IP address. I protect ssh logins with /etc/hosts.allow.

Email: jak@isp2dial.com

Alternate email: isp2dial@fastmail.fm

My kernel config is kernel-2.6.18-028test003-i686.config.ovz, with local changes, mostly to drop unneeded network and scsi drivers. I did remove the VDSO compat, but according to what I read, that should not make any difference, since my glibc is new enough.

Here are my kernel config changes which may possibly be relevant:

```
--- kernel-2.6.18-028test003-i686.config.ovz 2006-11-09 12:33:27.000000000 -0500
+++ k2618.openvz.v1 2006-11-10 09:23:23.000000000 -0500
@@ -1,7 +1,7 @@
#
# Automatically generated make config: don't edit
# Linux kernel version: 2.6.18-028test003
-# Thu Nov 9 17:34:51 2006
+# Fri Nov 10 09:23:23 2006
#
CONFIG_X86_32=y
CONFIG_GENERIC_TIME=y
@@ -194,14 +194,14 @@
# CONFIG_EFI is not set
# CONFIG_REGPARM is not set
# CONFIG_SECCOMP is not set
-# CONFIG_HZ_100 is not set
+CONFIG_HZ_100=y
# CONFIG_HZ_250 is not set
-CONFIG_HZ_1000=y
-CONFIG_HZ=1000
+# CONFIG_HZ_1000 is not set
+CONFIG_HZ=100
# CONFIG_KEXEC is not set
# CONFIG_CRASH_DUMP is not set
CONFIG_PHYSICAL_START=0x100000
-CONFIG_COMPAT_VDSO=y
+# CONFIG_COMPAT_VDSO is not set
CONFIG_ARCH_ENABLE_MEMORY_HOTPLUG=y

#
```

@@ -858,7 +827,7 @@

```
#  
CONFIG_NETDEVICES=y  
CONFIG_DUMMY=m  
-CONFIG_BONDING=m  
+# CONFIG_BONDING is not set  
# CONFIG_EQUALIZER is not set  
CONFIG_TUN=m
```

@@ -1111,8 +1068,56 @@

```
#  
# Watchdog Cards  
#  
-# CONFIG_WATCHDOG is not set  
-# CONFIG_HW_RANDOM is not set  
+CONFIG_WATCHDOG=y  
+# CONFIG_WATCHDOG_NOWAYOUT is not set  
+  
+#  
+# Watchdog Device Drivers  
+#  
+CONFIG_SOFT_WATCHDOG=m  
+# CONFIG_ACQUIRE_WDT is not set  
+# CONFIG_ADVANTECH_WDT is not set  
+# CONFIG_ALIM1535_WDT is not set  
+# CONFIG_ALIM7101_WDT is not set  
+# CONFIG_SC520_WDT is not set  
+# CONFIG_EUROTECH_WDT is not set  
+# CONFIG_IB700_WDT is not set  
+# CONFIG_IBMASR is not set  
+# CONFIG_WAFER_WDT is not set  
+# CONFIG_I6300ESB_WDT is not set  
+CONFIG_I8XX_TCO=m  
+# CONFIG_SC1200_WDT is not set  
+# CONFIG_60XX_WDT is not set  
+# CONFIG_SBC8360_WDT is not set  
+# CONFIG_CPU5_WDT is not set  
+# CONFIG_W83627HF_WDT is not set  
+# CONFIG_W83877F_WDT is not set  
+# CONFIG_W83977F_WDT is not set  
+# CONFIG_MACHZ_WDT is not set  
+# CONFIG_SBC_EPX_C3_WATCHDOG is not set  
+  
+#  
+# ISA-based Watchdog Cards  
+#  
+# CONFIG_PCWATCHDOG is not set  
+# CONFIG_MIXCOMWD is not set
```

```
+# CONFIG_WDT is not set
+
+#
+# PCI-based Watchdog Cards
+#
+# CONFIG_PCIPCWATCHDOG is not set
+# CONFIG_WDTPCI is not set
+
+#
+# USB-based Watchdog Cards
+#
+# CONFIG_USBPCWATCHDOG is not set
+CONFIG_HW_RANDOM=y
+CONFIG_HW_RANDOM_INTEL=m
+CONFIG_HW_RANDOM_AMD=m
+# CONFIG_HW_RANDOM_GEODE is not set
+CONFIG_HW_RANDOM_VIA=m
# CONFIG_NVRAM is not set
CONFIG_RTC=y
# CONFIG_DTLK is not set
@@ -1492,10 +1497,7 @@
CONFIG_JBD=y
CONFIG_JBD_DEBUG=y
CONFIG_FS_MBCACHE=y
-CONFIG_REISERFS_FS=y
-# CONFIG_REISERFS_CHECK is not set
-CONFIG_REISERFS_PROC_INFO=y
-# CONFIG_REISERFS_FS_XATTR is not set
+# CONFIG_REISERFS_FS is not set
# CONFIG_JFS_FS is not set
# CONFIG_FS_POSIX_ACL is not set
# CONFIG_XFS_FS is not set
```

Subject: Re: /proc pid number off-by-one? ... 2.6.18-028test003.1

Posted by [dev](#) on Mon, 20 Nov 2006 12:04:58 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

see the bug details for the patch:

http://bugzilla.openvz.org/show_bug.cgi?id=352
