Subject: [PATCH] retries in ext3_prepare_write() violate ordering requirements Posted by Kirill Korotaev on Fri, 10 Nov 2006 14:48:15 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

in journal=ordered or journal=data mode retry in ext3_prepare_write() breaks the requirements of journaling of data with respect to metadata. The fix is to call commit_write to commit allocated zero blocks before retry.

```
Author: Andrey Savochkin <saw@sw.ru>
Signed-Off-By: Kirill Korotaev <dev@openvz.org>
--- ./fs/ext3/inode.c.ext3pw 2006-11-08 17:44:14.000000000 +0300
+++ ./fs/ext3/inode.c 2006-11-08 17:48:59.000000000 +0300
@ @ -1148,37 +1148,89 @ @ static int do_journal_get_write_access(h
 return ext3_journal_get_write_access(handle, bh);
}
+/*
+ * The idea of this helper function is following:
+ * if prepare write has allocated some blocks, but not all of them, the
+ * transaction must include the content of the newly allocated blocks.
+ * This content is expected to be set to zeroes by block_prepare_write().
+ * 2006/10/14 SAW
+ */
+static int ext3_prepare_failure(struct file *file, struct page *page,
   unsigned from, unsigned to)
+{
+ struct address space *mapping;
+ struct buffer head *bh, *head, *next;
+ unsigned block start, block end;
+ unsigned blocksize;
+ mapping = page->mapping;
+ if (ext3_should_writeback_data(mapping->host)) {
+ /* optimization: no constraints about data */
+skip:
+ ext3 journal stop(ext3 journal current handle());
+ return 0;
+ }
+
+ head = page_buffers(page);
+ blocksize = head->b size:
+ for (bh = head, block_start = 0;
+ bh != head | !block start;
    block_start = block_end, bh = next)
+ {
+ next = bh->b this page;
```

```
+ block end = block start + blocksize;
+ if (block end <= from)
+ continue;
+ if (block_start >= to) {
+ block_start = to;
+ break;
+ }
+ if (!buffer_mapped(bh))
+ break;
+ }
+ if (block_start <= from)
+ goto skip;
+
+ /* commit allocated and zeroed buffers */
+ return mapping->a_ops->commit_write(file, page, from, block_start);
+}
static int ext3_prepare_write(struct file *file, struct page *page,
      unsigned from, unsigned to)
{
 struct inode *inode = page->mapping->host;
- int ret, needed blocks = ext3 writepage trans blocks(inode);
+ int ret, ret2;
+ int needed blocks = ext3 writepage trans blocks(inode):
 handle_t *handle;
 int retries = 0:
retry:
 handle = ext3 journal start(inode, needed blocks);
- if (IS ERR(handle)) {
- ret = PTR ERR(handle);
- goto out;
- }
+ if (IS_ERR(handle))
+ return PTR_ERR(handle);
 if (test_opt(inode->i_sb, NOBH) && ext3_should_writeback_data(inode))
 ret = nobh_prepare_write(page, from, to, ext3_get_block);
 else
 ret = block_prepare_write(page, from, to, ext3_get_block);
 if (ret)
- goto prepare write failed;
+ goto failure;
 if (ext3_should_journal_data(inode)) {
 ret = walk_page_buffers(handle, page_buffers(page),
   from, to, NULL, do_journal_get_write_access);
+ if (ret)
+ /* fatal error, just put the handle and return */
```

```
journal_stop(handle);
-prepare_write_failed:
- if (ret)
ext3_journal_stop(handle);
+ return ret;
+
+failure:
+ ret2 = ext3_prepare_failure(file, page, from, to);
+ if (ret2 < 0)
+ return ret2;
 if (ret == -ENOSPC && ext3 should retry alloc(inode->i sb, &retries))
  goto retry;
-out:
+ /* retry number exceeded, or other error like -EDQUOT */
 return ret:
}
```

Subject: Re: [PATCH] retries in ext3_prepare_write() violate ordering requirements Posted by Andrew Morton on Fri, 10 Nov 2006 18:47:24 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
On Fri, 10 Nov 2006 17:55:53 +0300
Kirill Korotaev <dev@openvz.org> wrote:
> in journal=ordered or journal=data mode retry in ext3_prepare_write()
> breaks the requirements of journaling of data with respect to metadata.
> The fix is to call commit_write to commit allocated zero blocks before
> retry.
>
How was this problem detected? (ie: why was block_prepare_write() failing?)
How was the patch tested?
Was nobh-mode also tested?
>
> --- ./fs/ext3/inode.c.ext3pw 2006-11-08 17:44:14.000000000 +0300
> +++ ./fs/ext3/inode.c 2006-11-08 17:48:59.000000000 +0300
> @ @ -1148,37 +1148,89 @ @ static int do journal get write access(h
  return ext3_journal_get_write_access(handle, bh);
> }
>
> + * The idea of this helper function is following:
```

> + * if prepare_write has allocated some blocks, but not all of them, the

```
> + * transaction must include the content of the newly allocated blocks.
> + * This content is expected to be set to zeroes by block prepare write().
> + * 2006/10/14 SAW
> + */
> +static int ext3_prepare_failure(struct file *file, struct page *page,
> + unsigned from, unsigned to)
> +{
> + struct address_space *mapping;
> + struct buffer head *bh, *head, *next;
> + unsigned block start, block end;
> + unsigned blocksize;
> +
> + mapping = page->mapping;
> + if (ext3_should_writeback_data(mapping->host)) {
> + /* optimization: no constraints about data */
> +skip:
> + ext3_journal_stop(ext3_journal_current_handle());
> + return 0;
Should this be `return ext3_journal_stop(...);'?
> + }
> +
> + head = page_buffers(page);
> + blocksize = head->b_size;
> + for (bh = head, block_start = 0;
> + bh != head || !block_start;
       block_start = block_end, bh = next)
> + {
> + next = bh->b_this_page;
> + block end = block start + blocksize;
> + if (block end <= from)
> + continue;
> + if (block_start >= to) {
> + block_start = to;
> + break;
> + }
> + if (!buffer mapped(bh))
> + break;
What is the significance of buffer mapped() here? Outside EOF or into a
hole? If so, then block_start >= to, and we can't get here??
> + }
> + if (block_start <= from)
> + goto skip;
> +
> + /* commit allocated and zeroed buffers */
```

```
> + return mapping->a_ops->commit_write(file, page, from, block_start);
> +}
> +
> static int ext3_prepare_write(struct file *file, struct page *page,
        unsigned from, unsigned to)
>
> {
> struct inode *inode = page->mapping->host;
> - int ret, needed_blocks = ext3_writepage_trans_blocks(inode);
> + int ret, ret2;
> + int needed blocks = ext3 writepage trans blocks(inode);
> handle t *handle;
> int retries = 0:
>
> retry:
> handle = ext3_journal_start(inode, needed_blocks);
> - if (IS_ERR(handle)) {
> - ret = PTR ERR(handle);
> - goto out;
> - }
> + if (IS_ERR(handle))
> + return PTR ERR(handle);
> if (test_opt(inode->i sb, NOBH) && ext3 should writeback_data(inode))
> ret = nobh_prepare_write(page, from, to, ext3_get_block);
> else
  ret = block_prepare_write(page, from, to, ext3_get_block);
> if (ret)
> - goto prepare_write_failed;
> + goto failure;
>
 if (ext3_should_journal_data(inode)) {
   ret = walk page buffers(handle, page buffers(page),
    from, to, NULL, do_journal_get_write_access);
>
> + if (ret)
> + /* fatal error, just put the handle and return */
> + journal_stop(handle);
> }
> -prepare_write_failed:
> - if (ret)
> - ext3_journal_stop(handle);
> + return ret:
> +
> +failure:
> + ret2 = ext3_prepare_failure(file, page, from, to);
> + if (ret2 < 0)
> + return ret2;
> if (ret == -ENOSPC && ext3_should_retry_alloc(inode->i_sb, &retries))
> goto retry;
> -out:
```

```
> + /* retry number exceeded, or other error like -EDQUOT */
> return ret;
> }
>
```

Subject: Re: [PATCH] retries in ext3_prepare_write() violate ordering requirements Posted by dev on Mon, 13 Nov 2006 12:32:37 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
Andrew.
```

```
>>in journal=ordered or journal=data mode retry in ext3_prepare_write()
>>breaks the requirements of journaling of data with respect to metadata.
>>The fix is to call commit_write to commit allocated zero blocks before
>>retry.
>>
>
> How was this problem detected? (ie: why was block_prepare_write() failing?)
purely theoretically while hunting for other bugs related to ext3 and quota.
block_prepare_write() can fail e.g. if quota returns -EDQUOT in ext3_alloc_blocks().
```

- > How was the patch tested?
- 1. it was tested as part of OpenVZ kernel
- 2. there were ext3 stress test done with lots of disk activity by Dmitry Monakhov.
- > Was nobh-mode also tested?

I will ask to perform some more tests 100% triggering ext3_prepare_failure() and with NOBH mode.

Thanks, Kirill

```
>>--- ./fs/ext3/inode.c.ext3pw 2006-11-08 17:44:14.000000000 +0300
>>+++ ./fs/ext3/inode.c 2006-11-08 17:48:59.000000000 +0300
>>@@ -1148,37 +1148,89 @@ static int do_journal_get_write_access(h
>> return ext3_journal_get_write_access(handle, bh);
>> }
>> 
>>+*

The idea of this helper function is following:
>>+ * The idea of this helper function is following:
>>+ * if prepare_write has allocated some blocks, but not all of them, the
>>+ * transaction must include the content of the newly allocated blocks.
>>+ * This content is expected to be set to zeroes by block_prepare_write().
>>+ * 2006/10/14 SAW
>>+ */
```

```
>>+static int ext3_prepare_failure(struct file *file, struct page *page,
>>+
      unsigned from, unsigned to)
>>+{
>>+ struct address_space *mapping;
>>+ struct buffer_head *bh, *head, *next;
>>+ unsigned block_start, block_end;
>>+ unsigned blocksize;
>>+
>>+ mapping = page->mapping;
>>+ if (ext3 should writeback data(mapping->host)) {
>>+ /* optimization: no constraints about data */
>>+skip:
>>+ ext3_journal_stop(ext3_journal_current_handle());
>>+ return 0;
>
> Should this be `return ext3_journal_stop(...);'?
>
>>+ }
>>+
>>+ head = page buffers(page);
>>+ blocksize = head->b_size;
>>+ for (bh = head, block_start = 0;
>>+ bh != head || !block_start;
       block_start = block_end, bh = next)
>>+
>>+ {
>>+ next = bh->b this page;
>>+ block end = block start + blocksize;
>>+ if (block_end <= from)
>>+ continue:
>>+ if (block_start >= to) {
>>+ block_start = to;
>>+ break;
>>+ }
>>+ if (!buffer_mapped(bh))
>>+ break;
>
> What is the significance of buffer mapped() here? Outside EOF or into a
> hole? If so, then block start >= to, and we can't get here??
>
>
>>+ }
>>+ if (block_start <= from)
>>+ goto skip;
>>+
>>+ /* commit allocated and zeroed buffers */
```

```
>>+ return mapping->a_ops->commit_write(file, page, from, block_start);
>>+}
>>+
>> static int ext3_prepare_write(struct file *file, struct page *page,
        unsigned from, unsigned to)
>>
>> {
>> struct inode *inode = page->mapping->host;
>>- int ret, needed_blocks = ext3_writepage_trans_blocks(inode);
>>+ int ret, ret2;
>>+ int needed blocks = ext3 writepage trans blocks(inode);
>> handle t *handle;
>> int retries = 0:
>>
>> retry:
>> handle = ext3_journal_start(inode, needed_blocks);
>>- if (IS_ERR(handle)) {
>>- ret = PTR ERR(handle);
>>- goto out;
>>- }
>>+ if (IS_ERR(handle))
>>+ return PTR ERR(handle);
>> if (test_opt(inode->i sb, NOBH) && ext3 should writeback_data(inode))
>> ret = nobh_prepare_write(page, from, to, ext3_get_block);
>> else
>> ret = block_prepare_write(page, from, to, ext3_get_block);
>> if (ret)
>>- goto prepare_write_failed;
>>+ goto failure;
>>
>> if (ext3 should journal data(inode)) {
>> ret = walk page buffers(handle, page buffers(page),
     from, to, NULL, do_journal_get_write_access);
>>
>>+ if (ret)
>>+ /* fatal error, just put the handle and return */
>>+ journal_stop(handle);
>> }
>>-prepare_write_failed:
>>- if (ret)
>>- ext3_journal_stop(handle);
>>+ return ret:
>>+
>>+failure:
>>+ ret2 = ext3_prepare_failure(file, page, from, to);
>>+ if (ret2 < 0)
>>+ return ret2;
>> if (ret == -ENOSPC && ext3_should_retry_alloc(inode->i_sb, &retries))
>> goto retry;
>>-out:
```

Subject: Re: [PATCH] retries in ext3_prepare_write() violate ordering requirements Posted by Kirill Korotaev on Tue, 14 Nov 2006 09:12:35 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Andrew,

Dmitry confirmed that it works in NOBH mode as well and when quota limit is hit.

```
Thanks.
Kirill
> Andrew,
>
>>>in journal=ordered or journal=data mode retry in ext3_prepare_write()
>>>breaks the requirements of journaling of data with respect to metadata.
>>>The fix is to call commit_write to commit allocated zero blocks before
>>>retry.
>>>
>>
>>How was this problem detected? (ie: why was block_prepare_write() failing?)
> purely theoretically while hunting for other bugs related to ext3 and quota.
> block prepare write() can fail e.g. if quota returns -EDQUOT in ext3 alloc blocks().
>>How was the patch tested?
> 1. it was tested as part of OpenVZ kernel
> 2. there were ext3 stress test done with lots of disk activity by Dmitry Monakhov.
>
>>Was nobh-mode also tested?
> I will ask to perform some more tests 100% triggering ext3_prepare_failure()
> and with NOBH mode.
> Thanks,
```

```
> Kirill
>
>
>>>--- ./fs/ext3/inode.c.ext3pw 2006-11-08 17:44:14.000000000 +0300
>>>+++ ./fs/ext3/inode.c 2006-11-08 17:48:59.000000000 +0300
>>>@@ -1148,37 +1148,89 @@ static int do_journal_get_write_access(h
>>> return ext3_journal_get_write_access(handle, bh);
>>>}
>>>
>>>+/*
>>>+ * The idea of this helper function is following:
>>>+ * if prepare_write has allocated some blocks, but not all of them, the
>>>+ * transaction must include the content of the newly allocated blocks.
>>>+ * This content is expected to be set to zeroes by block_prepare_write().
>>>+ * 2006/10/14 SAW
>>>+ */
>>>+static int ext3_prepare_failure(struct file *file, struct page *page,
>>>+ unsigned from, unsigned to)
>>>+{
>>>+ struct address_space *mapping;
>>>+ struct buffer head *bh, *head, *next;
>>>+ unsigned block_start, block_end;
>>>+ unsigned blocksize:
>>>+
>>>+ mapping = page->mapping;
>>>+ if (ext3_should_writeback_data(mapping->host)) {
>>>+ /* optimization: no constraints about data */
>>>+skip:
>>>+ ext3_journal_stop(ext3_journal_current_handle());
>>>+ return 0:
>>
>>Should this be `return ext3_journal_stop(...);'?
>>
>>
>>
>>>+ }
>>>+ head = page_buffers(page);
>>>+ blocksize = head->b size;
>>>+ for ( bh = head, block_start = 0;
>>>+ bh != head || !block_start;
>>>+
        block_start = block_end, bh = next)
>>>+ {
>>>+ next = bh->b_this_page;
>>>+ block end = block start + blocksize;
>>>+ if (block end <= from)
```

```
>>>+ continue;
>>>+ if (block start >= to) {
>>>+ block_start = to;
>>>+ break;
>>>+ }
>>>+ if (!buffer_mapped(bh))
>>>+ break;
>>
>>
>>What is the significance of buffer mapped() here? Outside EOF or into a
>>hole? If so, then block start >= to, and we can't get here??
>>
>>
>>
>>>+ }
>>>+ if (block_start <= from)
>>>+ goto skip;
>>>+
>>>+ /* commit allocated and zeroed buffers */
>>>+ return mapping->a_ops->commit_write(file, page, from, block_start);
>>>+}
>>>+
>>>static int ext3_prepare_write(struct file *file, struct page *page,
         unsigned from, unsigned to)
>>>
>>>{
>>> struct inode *inode = page->mapping->host;
>>>- int ret, needed_blocks = ext3_writepage_trans_blocks(inode);
>>>+ int ret, ret2;
>>>+ int needed blocks = ext3 writepage trans blocks(inode);
>>> handle t *handle;
>>> int retries = 0:
>>>
>>>retry:
>>> handle = ext3_journal_start(inode, needed_blocks);
>>>- if (IS_ERR(handle)) {
>>>- ret = PTR_ERR(handle);
>>>- goto out;
>>>- }
>>>+ if (IS_ERR(handle))
>>>+ return PTR ERR(handle);
>>> if (test_opt(inode->i sb, NOBH) && ext3 should writeback_data(inode))
>>> ret = nobh_prepare_write(page, from, to, ext3_get_block);
>>> else
>>> ret = block_prepare_write(page, from, to, ext3_get_block);
>>> if (ret)
>>>- goto prepare_write_failed;
>>>+ goto failure;
>>>
```

```
>>> if (ext3 should journal data(inode)) {
>>> ret = walk page buffers(handle, page buffers(page),
>>> from, to, NULL, do_journal_get_write_access);
>>>+ if (ret)
>>>+ /* fatal error, just put the handle and return */
>>>+ journal_stop(handle);
>>> }
>>>-prepare_write_failed:
>>>- if (ret)
>>>- ext3 journal stop(handle);
>>>+ return ret;
>>>+
>>>+failure:
>>>+ ret2 = ext3_prepare_failure(file, page, from, to);
>>+ if (ret2 < 0)
>>>+ return ret2:
>>> if (ret == -ENOSPC && ext3 should retry alloc(inode->i sb, &retries))
>>> goto retry;
>>>-out:
>>>+ /* retry number exceeded, or other error like -EDQUOT */
>>> return ret;
>>>}
>>>
>>
>>
>
> To unsubscribe from this list: send the line "unsubscribe linux-kernel" in
> the body of a message to majordomo@vger.kernel.org
> More majordomo info at http://vger.kernel.org/majordomo-info.html
> Please read the FAQ at http://www.tux.org/lkml/
>
```

Subject: Re: [PATCH] retries in ext3_prepare_write() violate ordering requirements Posted by Kirill Korotaev on Fri, 17 Nov 2006 13:58:54 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
Andrew,
```

answers on your questions.

```
>>in journal=ordered or journal=data mode retry in ext3_prepare_write()
>>breaks the requirements of journaling of data with respect to metadata.
>>The fix is to call commit_write to commit allocated zero blocks before
>>retry.
>>
```

```
> How was this problem detected? (ie: why was block_prepare_write() failing?)
> How was the patch tested?
> Was nobh-mode also tested?
with nobh block size can't be less than page size, so all the problems
with retries disappear and our code will be a no-op.
>>--- ./fs/ext3/inode.c.ext3pw 2006-11-08 17:44:14.000000000 +0300
>>+++ ./fs/ext3/inode.c 2006-11-08 17:48:59.000000000 +0300
>>@@ -1148,37 +1148,89 @@ static int do journal get write access(h
>> return ext3_journal_get_write_access(handle, bh);
>> }
>>
>>+/*
>>+ * The idea of this helper function is following:
>>+ * if prepare write has allocated some blocks, but not all of them, the
>>+ * transaction must include the content of the newly allocated blocks.
>>+ * This content is expected to be set to zeroes by block prepare write().
>>+ * 2006/10/14 SAW
>>+ */
>>+static int ext3_prepare_failure(struct file *file, struct page *page,
      unsigned from, unsigned to)
>>+
>>+{
>>+ struct address_space *mapping;
>>+ struct buffer_head *bh, *head, *next;
>>+ unsigned block start, block end;
>>+ unsigned blocksize;
>>+
>>+ mapping = page->mapping;
>>+ if (ext3_should_writeback_data(mapping->host)) {
>>+ /* optimization: no constraints about data */
>>+skip:
>>+ ext3_journal_stop(ext3_journal_current_handle());
>>+ return 0;
> Should this be `return ext3_journal_stop(...);'?
will fix and send an incremental patch to you.
>>+ }
>>+
>>+ head = page_buffers(page);
>>+ blocksize = head->b size;
>>+ for (bh = head, block_start = 0;
>>+ bh != head || !block start;
       block start = block end, bh = next)
>>+
```

```
>>+ {
>>+ next = bh->b_this_page;
>>+ block_end = block_start + blocksize;
>>+ if (block_end <= from)
>>+ continue;
>>+ if (block_start >= to) {
>>+ block_start = to;
>>+ break;
>>+ }
>>+ if (!buffer mapped(bh))
<<< /* prepare write failed on this bh */
>>+ break;
<<<< lost here:
if (ext3 should journal data(inode)) {
 ret = do_journal_get_write_access(XXX);
 if (ret) {
 journal_stop(handle);
 return ret;
 }
}
> What is the significance of buffer_mapped() here? Outside EOF or into a
> hole? If so, then block_start >= to, and we can't get here??
* block start here becomes the first block where the current iteration
* of prepare write failed.
*/
>>+ }
>>+ if (block_start <= from)
>>+ goto skip;
>>+ /* commit allocated and zeroed buffers */
>>+ return mapping->a_ops->commit_write(file, page, from, block_start);
>>+}
>>+
>> static int ext3 prepare write(struct file *file, struct page *page,
        unsigned from, unsigned to)
>>
>> {
>> struct inode *inode = page->mapping->host;
>>- int ret, needed_blocks = ext3_writepage_trans_blocks(inode);
>>+ int ret, ret2;
>>+ int needed blocks = ext3 writepage trans blocks(inode);
>> handle t *handle;
```

```
>> int retries = 0;
>>
>> retry:
>> handle = ext3_journal_start(inode, needed_blocks);
>>- if (IS_ERR(handle)) {
>>- ret = PTR_ERR(handle);
>>- goto out;
>>- }
>>+ if (IS ERR(handle))
>>+ return PTR ERR(handle);
>> if (test_opt(inode->i_sb, NOBH) && ext3_should_writeback_data(inode))
>> ret = nobh prepare write(page, from, to, ext3 get block);
>> else
>> ret = block_prepare_write(page, from, to, ext3_get_block);
>> if (ret)
>>- goto prepare_write_failed;
>>+ goto failure;
>>
>> if (ext3 should journal data(inode)) {
>> ret = walk_page_buffers(handle, page_buffers(page),
    from, to, NULL, do_journal_get_write_access);
>>+ if (ret)
>>+ /* fatal error, just put the handle and return */
>>+ journal_stop(handle);
>> }
>>-prepare_write_failed:
>>- if (ret)
>>- ext3_journal_stop(handle);
>>+ return ret;
>>+
>>+failure:
>>+ ret2 = ext3_prepare_failure(file, page, from, to);
>>+ if (ret2 < 0)
>>+ return ret2;
>> if (ret == -ENOSPC && ext3_should_retry_alloc(inode->i_sb, &retries))
>> goto retry;
>>-out:
>>+ /* retry number exceeded, or other error like -EDQUOT */
>> return ret;
>> }
>>
Kirill
```

Page 15 of 15 ---- Generated from OpenVZ Forum