Subject: venet vs veth
Posted by preeve on Thu, 09 Nov 2006 16:23:06 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

In my environment I need to use veth devices rather than venet, hence it seemed sensible to eliminate venet entirely and I now have a working server cluster which is solely veth based. Hooray!

Unfortunately, replacing venet means I have now lost the ability to use vzlist (and maybe other things yet to be explored). In short, the venet device driver seem to be functionally overloaded (i.e. it does more than just provide a virtual network interface), or maybe the veth device is impoverished...

Whatever, does anyone know if there is a way of recovering the management features for veth based configurations without the (at least conceptual) bloat of redundant venet interfaces?

Subject: Re: venet vs veth
Posted by Vasily Tarasov on Fri, 10 Nov 2006 06:32:35 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hello,

I guess, I don't quite undestand you, so correct me, if I'm wrong.
You want to use only veth devices. In this case you don't need any venet devices at all. What do you mean under "management features"? Only vzlist?
In this case you can simply enough write a script that will parse vzlist output and do something like "vzctl exec <veid> ifconfig" to get information about VEs...

Does it suit you?

Thanks,
Vasily.

Page 1 of 1 ---- Generated from   OpenVZ Forum