
Subject: Re: [ckrm-tech] [RFC] Resource Management - Infrastructure choices
Posted by [Dave McCracken](#) on Mon, 30 Oct 2006 17:16:04 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Monday 30 October 2006 11:09 am, Srivatsa Vaddagiri wrote:

- > Hierarchy has implications in not just the kernel-user API, but also on
- > the controller design. I would prefer to progressively enhance the
- > controller, not supporting hierarchy in the beginning.
- >
- > However you do have a valid concern that, if we dont design the user-kernel
- > API keeping hierarchy in mind, then we may break this interface when we
- > latter add hierarchy support, which will be bad.
- >
- > One possibility is to design the user-kernel interface that supports
- > hierarchy but not support creating hierarchical depths more than 1 in the
- > initial versions. Would that work?

Is there any user demand for heirarchy right now? I agree that we should design the API to allow heirarchy, but unless there is a current need for it I think we should not support actually creating heirarchies. In addition to the reduction in code complexity, it will simplify the paradigm presented to the users. I'm a firm believer in not giving users options they will never use.

Dave McCracken
