
Subject: [PATCH] [RFC] nfsd: fix possible dereference of static NULL nfsd_serv pointer

Posted by [Stanislav Kinsbursky](#) on Fri, 06 Jul 2012 13:45:56 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

This is a bug fix for 3.5 kernel.

In case on NFSd service start failure svc_shutdown_net() will call svc_destroy callback and zeroize global nfsd_serv pointer, this in turn will lead to Oops in svc_destroy().

This patch is marked as RFC, because to many lines were changed. It can be easily simplified if requested.

Moreover, NFSd service shutdown is going to be converted into something on per-net basis.

Signed-off-by: Stanislav Kinsbursky <skinsbursky@parallels.com>

fs/nfsd/nfssvc.c | 14 ++++++-----

1 files changed, 8 insertions(+), 6 deletions(-)

diff --git a/fs/nfsd/nfssvc.c b/fs/nfsd/nfssvc.c

index ee709fc..526a4aa 100644

--- a/fs/nfsd/nfssvc.c

+++ b/fs/nfsd/nfssvc.c

@@ -446,6 +446,7 @@ nfsd_svc(unsigned short port, int nrsvcs)

int error;

bool nfsd_up_before;

struct net *net = &init_net;

+ struct svc_serv *serv = nfsd_serv;

mutex_lock(&nfsd_mutex);

dprintk("nfsd: creating service\n");

@@ -454,7 +455,7 @@ nfsd_svc(unsigned short port, int nrsvcs)

if (nrsvcs > NFSD_MAXSERVS)

nrsvcs = NFSD_MAXSERVS;

error = 0;

- if (nrsvcs == 0 && nfsd_serv == NULL)

+ if (nrsvcs == 0 && serv == NULL)

goto out;

error = nfsd_create_serv();

@@ -464,23 +465,24 @@ nfsd_svc(unsigned short port, int nrsvcs)

nfsd_up_before = nfsd_up;

error = nfsd_startup(port, nrsvcs);

+ error = -EINVAL;

if (error)

goto out_destroy;

```

- error = svc_set_num_threads(nfsd_serv, NULL, nrservs);
+ error = svc_set_num_threads(serv, NULL, nrservs);
  if (error)
    goto out_shutdown;
  /* We are holding a reference to nfsd_serv which
   * we don't want to count in the return value,
   * so subtract 1
   */
- error = nfsd_serv->sv_nrthreads - 1;
+ error = serv->sv_nrthreads - 1;
out_shutdown:
  if (error < 0 && !nfsd_up_before)
    nfsd_shutdown();
out_destroy:
- if (nfsd_serv->sv_nrthreads == 1)
-   svc_shutdown_net(nfsd_serv, net);
-   svc_destroy(nfsd_serv); /* Release server */
+ if (serv->sv_nrthreads == 1)
+   svc_shutdown_net(serv, net);
+   svc_destroy(serv); /* Release server */
out:
  mutex_unlock(&nfsd_mutex);
  return error;

```

Subject: Re: [PATCH] [RFC] nfsd: fix possible dereference of static NULL nfsd_serv pointer

Posted by [bfields](#) on Fri, 06 Jul 2012 17:26:30 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Fri, Jul 06, 2012 at 05:45:56PM +0400, Stanislav Kinsbursky wrote:

```

> This is a bug fix for 3.5 kernel.
> In case on NFSd service start failure svc_shutdown_net() will call svc_destroy
> callback and zeroize global nfsd_serv pointer, this in turn will lead to Oops
> in svc_destroy().
>
> This patch is marked as RFC, because to many lines were changed. It can be
> easely simplified if requested.
> Moreover, NFSd service shutdown is going to be converted into csomething on
> per-net basis.

```

Doesn't this leave error paths in e.g. `__write_ports_addfd()` and `__write_ports_addxprt()` unfixed?

I'm inclined to just submit your original fix (split up as in the last version I sent) for 3.5 if you don't object.

--b.

```

>
> Signed-off-by: Stanislav Kinsbursky <skinsbursky@parallels.com>
> ---
> fs/nfsd/nfssvc.c | 14 ++++++-----
> 1 files changed, 8 insertions(+), 6 deletions(-)
>
> diff --git a/fs/nfsd/nfssvc.c b/fs/nfsd/nfssvc.c
> index ee709fc..526a4aa 100644
> --- a/fs/nfsd/nfssvc.c
> +++ b/fs/nfsd/nfssvc.c
> @@ -446,6 +446,7 @@ nfsd_svc(unsigned short port, int nrsvcs)
>  int error;
>  bool nfsd_up_before;
>  struct net *net = &init_net;
> + struct svc_serv *serv = nfsd_serv;
>
>  mutex_lock(&nfsd_mutex);
>  dprintk("nfsd: creating service\n");
> @@ -454,7 +455,7 @@ nfsd_svc(unsigned short port, int nrsvcs)
>  if (nrsvcs > NFSD_MAXSERVS)
>   nrsvcs = NFSD_MAXSERVS;
>  error = 0;
> - if (nrsvcs == 0 && nfsd_serv == NULL)
> + if (nrsvcs == 0 && serv == NULL)
>   goto out;
>
>  error = nfsd_create_serv();
> @@ -464,23 +465,24 @@ nfsd_svc(unsigned short port, int nrsvcs)
>  nfsd_up_before = nfsd_up;
>
>  error = nfsd_startup(port, nrsvcs);
> + error = -EINVAL;
>  if (error)
>   goto out_destroy;
> - error = svc_set_num_threads(nfsd_serv, NULL, nrsvcs);
> + error = svc_set_num_threads(serv, NULL, nrsvcs);
>  if (error)
>   goto out_shutdown;
>  /* We are holding a reference to nfsd_serv which
>   * we don't want to count in the return value,
>   * so subtract 1
>   */
> - error = nfsd_serv->sv_nrthreads - 1;
> + error = serv->sv_nrthreads - 1;
>  out_shutdown:
>  if (error < 0 && !nfsd_up_before)
>   nfsd_shutdown();

```

```
> out_destroy:
> - if (nfsd_serv->sv_nrthreads == 1)
> - svc_shutdown_net(nfsd_serv, net);
> - svc_destroy(nfsd_serv); /* Release server */
> + if (serv->sv_nrthreads == 1)
> + svc_shutdown_net(serv, net);
> + svc_destroy(serv); /* Release server */
> out:
> mutex_unlock(&nfsd_mutex);
> return error;
>
```

Subject: Re: [PATCH] [RFC] nfsd: fix possible dereference of static NULL nfsd_serv pointer

Posted by [Stanislav Kinsbursky](#) on Sat, 07 Jul 2012 05:27:30 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

```
> On Fri, Jul 06, 2012 at 05:45:56PM +0400, Stanislav Kinsbursky wrote:
>> This is a bug fix for 3.5 kernel.
>> In case on NFSd service start failure svc_shutdown_net() will call svc_destroy
>> callback and zeroize global nfsd_serv pointer, this in turn will lead to Oops
>> in svc_destroy().
>>
>> This patch is marked as RFC, because to many lines were changed. It can be
>> easily simplified if requested.
>> Moreover, NFSd service shutdown is going to be converted into something on
>> per-net basis.
> Doesn't this leave error paths in e.g. __write_ports_addfd() and
> __write_ports_addxprt() unfixed?
```

Yes, sure it does...

```
> I'm inclined to just submit your original fix (split up as in the last
> version I sent) for 3.5 if you don't object.
```

Not at all.

Thanks, Bruce.

```
> --b.
```

```
>
```

```
>> Signed-off-by: Stanislav Kinsbursky <skinsbursky@parallels.com>
```

```
>> ---
```

```
>> fs/nfsd/nfssvc.c | 14 ++++++-----
```

```
>> 1 files changed, 8 insertions(+), 6 deletions(-)
```

```
>>
```

```
>> diff --git a/fs/nfsd/nfssvc.c b/fs/nfsd/nfssvc.c
```

```

>> index ee709fc..526a4aa 100644
>> --- a/fs/nfsd/nfssvc.c
>> +++ b/fs/nfsd/nfssvc.c
>> @@ -446,6 +446,7 @@ nfsd_svc(unsigned short port, int nrsvcs)
>>     int error;
>>     bool nfsd_up_before;
>>     struct net *net = &init_net;
>> + struct svc_serv *serv = nfsd_serv;
>>
>>     mutex_lock(&nfsd_mutex);
>>     dprintk("nfsd: creating service\n");
>> @@ -454,7 +455,7 @@ nfsd_svc(unsigned short port, int nrsvcs)
>>     if (nrsvcs > NFSD_MAXSERVS)
>>         nrsvcs = NFSD_MAXSERVS;
>>     error = 0;
>> - if (nrsvcs == 0 && nfsd_serv == NULL)
>> + if (nrsvcs == 0 && serv == NULL)
>>     goto out;
>>
>>     error = nfsd_create_serv();
>> @@ -464,23 +465,24 @@ nfsd_svc(unsigned short port, int nrsvcs)
>>     nfsd_up_before = nfsd_up;
>>
>>     error = nfsd_startup(port, nrsvcs);
>> + error = -EINVAL;
>>     if (error)
>>         goto out_destroy;
>> - error = svc_set_num_threads(nfsd_serv, NULL, nrsvcs);
>> + error = svc_set_num_threads(serv, NULL, nrsvcs);
>>     if (error)
>>         goto out_shutdown;
>>     /* We are holding a reference to nfsd_serv which
>>      * we don't want to count in the return value,
>>      * so subtract 1
>>      */
>> - error = nfsd_serv->sv_nrthreads - 1;
>> + error = serv->sv_nrthreads - 1;
>>     out_shutdown:
>>     if (error < 0 && !nfsd_up_before)
>>         nfsd_shutdown();
>>     out_destroy:
>> - if (nfsd_serv->sv_nrthreads == 1)
>> -     svc_shutdown_net(nfsd_serv, net);
>> -     svc_destroy(nfsd_serv); /* Release server */
>> + if (serv->sv_nrthreads == 1)
>> +     svc_shutdown_net(serv, net);
>> +     svc_destroy(serv); /* Release server */
>>     out:

```

```
>> mutex_unlock(&nfsd_mutex);
>> return error;
>>
```

Subject: Re: [PATCH] [RFC] nfsd: fix possible dereference of static NULL nfsd_serv pointer

Posted by [bfields](#) on Tue, 10 Jul 2012 15:59:43 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Sat, Jul 07, 2012 at 09:27:30AM +0400, Stanislav Kinsbursky wrote:

> >On Fri, Jul 06, 2012 at 05:45:56PM +0400, Stanislav Kinsbursky wrote:

> >>This is a bug fix for 3.5 kernel.

> >>In case on NFSd service start failure svc_shutdown_net() will call svc_destroy

> >>callback and zeroize global nfsd_serv pointer, this in turn will lead to Oops

> >>in svc_destroy().

> >>

> >>This patch is marked as RFC, because to many lines were changed. It can be

> >>easily simplified if requested.

> >>Moreover, NFSd service shutdown is going to be converted into something on

> >>per-net basis.

> >Doesn't this leave error paths in e.g. __write_ports_addfd() and

> >__write_ports_addxprt() unfixed?

>

> Yes, sure it does...

>

> >I'm inclined to just submit your original fix (split up as in the last

> >version I sent) for 3.5 if you don't object.

>

> Not at all.

> Thanks, Bruce.

OK. Actually, Linus is making noise about a release in the next week or two, so given that this is about error paths, I'm going to queue it up for the 3.6 and cc it to stable. It'll end up in 3.5.x pretty quickly that way anyway.

--b.

Subject: Re: [PATCH] [RFC] nfsd: fix possible dereference of static NULL nfsd_serv pointer

Posted by [Stanislav Kinsbursky](#) on Tue, 10 Jul 2012 16:04:20 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

> On Sat, Jul 07, 2012 at 09:27:30AM +0400, Stanislav Kinsbursky wrote:

>>> On Fri, Jul 06, 2012 at 05:45:56PM +0400, Stanislav Kinsbursky wrote:

>>>> This is a bug fix for 3.5 kernel.

>>>> In case on NFSd service start failure svc_shutdown_net() will call svc_destroy

>>>> callback and zeroize global nfsd_serv pointer, this in turn will lead to Oops

>>>> in svc_destroy().

>>>>

>>>> This patch is marked as RFC, because to many lines were changed. It can be

>>>> easily simplified if requested.

>>>> Moreover, NFSd service shutdown is going to be converted into something on

>>>> per-net basis.

>>> Doesn't this leave error paths in e.g. __write_ports_addfd() and

>>> __write_ports_addxprt() unfixed?

>>

>> Yes, sure it does...

>>

>>> I'm inclined to just submit your original fix (split up as in the last

>>> version I sent) for 3.5 if you don't object.

>>

>> Not at all.

>> Thanks, Bruce.

>

> OK. Actually, Linus is making noise about a release in the next week or

> two, so given that this is about error paths, I'm going to queue it up

> for the 3.6 and cc it to stable. It'll end up in 3.5.x pretty quickly

> that way anyway.

>

Ok, Bruce. Sounds good.

--

Best regards,

Stanislav Kinsbursky
