## Subject: Re: [RFC][PATCH] Add child reaper to struct pspace Posted by dev on Fri, 08 Sep 2006 17:32:27 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
Sukadev Bhattiprolu wrote:
> Cedric Le Goater [clg@fr.ibm.com] wrote:
> l
> | <snip>
> |
> | > */
> | > static void
> | > forget original parent(struct task struct *father, struct list head *to release)
> | > @ @ -669,7 +670,7 @ @ forget_original_parent(struct task_struc
> | > do {
> | > reaper = next_thread(reaper);
> | > if (reaper == father) {
> | > - reaper = child reaper:
> | > + reaper = father->pspace->child reaper;
       break;
> | >
> | > }
> | > } while (reaper->exit state);
> | > @ @ -857,7 +858,7 @ @ fastcall NORET TYPE void do exit(long co
> |
> | what about killing all the task in that pid space if child_reaper == init
> | dies ?
> |
> We probably need that for instance when a process in the parent pspace
> kills the init of a child pspace, we should destroy the child pspace
> by killing all the tasks in the child pspace including the child reaper.
exactly, the situation you described is how we do handle it.
you can check do initproc exit() function in OpenVZ
to check how it can be done and probably save some of your time.
(http://git.openvz.org/?p=linux-2.6-openvz;a=summary)
> I guess we need to maintain a list of task structs in the pspace and walk
> that list. Will work on that as a separate patch.
wait. we either need to have a list of pids or it
```

should be called task namespace, not pid, since we are adding more code related to tasks.

Kirill

Subject: Re: [RFC][PATCH] Add child reaper to struct pspace Posted by ebiederm on Sat, 09 Sep 2006 04:54:56 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Kirill Korotaev <dev@sw.ru> writes:

- >> I guess we need to maintain a list of task\_structs in the pspace and walk
- >> that list. Will work on that as a separate patch.
- > wait. we either need to have a list of \_pids\_ or it
- > should be called task\_namespace, not pid, since we are adding more
- > code related to tasks.

There will be a way to iterate through all of the pids.

It will probably be through a linked list of struct pid, but it may be an in order traversal of some pid related data structure.

I was hoping for a moment I might be able to only implement one struct pid, but I need some method to perform a reverse lookup from struct pid to a (struct pid\_namespace, pid\_t) pair to properly implement pid\_nr(). A linked list of struct pid entries is the obvious implementation.

Eric

Subject: Re: [RFC][PATCH] Add child reaper to struct pspace Posted by dev on Tue, 12 Sep 2006 14:41:45 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Eric W. Biederman wrote: > Kirill Korotaev <dev@sw.ru> writes:

>>>I guess we need to maintain a list of task\_structs in the pspace and walk >>>that list. Will work on that as a separate patch.

>>

>>wait. we either need to have a list of pids or it

>>should be called task namespace, not pid, since we are adding more >>code related to tasks.

>

> There will be a way to iterate through all of the pids.

>

- > It will probably be through a linked list of struct pid, but
- > it may be an in order traversal of some pid related data structure.

- > I was hoping for a moment I might be able to only implement one
- > struct pid, but I need some method to perform a reverse lookup
- > from struct pid to a (struct pid\_namespace, pid\_t) pair to
- > properly implement pid\_nr(). A linked list of struct pid entries
- > is the obvious implementation.

I guess there will be a need of list of tasks... not of pids only... many of loops like do\_each\_thread()/while\_each\_thread() has nothing to do with pids and should be narrowed down to loop through the container.

Does this logic belong to pid\_ns? if yes, then it definetely should be called task\_ns.

Kirill

Subject: Re: [RFC][PATCH] Add child reaper to struct pspace Posted by ebiederm on Tue, 12 Sep 2006 15:43:19 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Kirill Korotaev <dev@sw.ru> writes:

- > I guess there will be a need of list of tasks... not of pids only...
- > many of loops like do\_each\_thread()/while\_each\_thread() has nothing to do with
- > pids
- > and should be narrowed down to loop through the container.

>

- > Does this logic belong to pid\_ns? if yes, then it definetely should be called
- > task\_ns.

Just skimming through I see one or two instances. Where the existing loop uses do\_each\_thread()/while\_each\_thread() that we need to change.

kernel/capabilities.c cap\_set\_all() is an example.

However what we are trying to achieve there is to iterate through the same list that kill(-1, ) uses. So we need to replace do\_each\_thread()/while\_each\_thread() with something that will iterate through everything in the pid namespace.

Most instances of do\_each\_thread()/while\_each\_thread() the kernel really does need a global view, and need to be left unchanged.

Basically the current kernel is short the concept of a process group of all processes, and uses the concept of a list of all processes instead.

Since the two concepts of a list of all tasks, and a list of all processes I can see diverge when we have multiple pid namespaces we need to add an additional concept, in the kernel.

Do you know an example in that we need to change to implement a pid namespace that goes beyond iterating through the list of processes Eric

## Subject: Re: [RFC][PATCH] Add child reaper to struct pspace Posted by dev on Sat, 16 Sep 2006 11:55:04 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
Eric W. Biederman wrote:
> Kirill Korotaev <dev@sw.ru> writes:
>
>
>>I guess there will be a need of list of tasks... not of pids only...
>>many of loops like do_each_thread()/while_each_thread() has nothing to do with
>>pids
>> and should be narrowed down to loop through the container.
>>Does this logic belong to pid_ns? if yes, then it definetely should be called
>>task_ns.
>
>
> Just skimming through I see one or two instances. Where the existing
> loop uses do each thread()/while each thread() that we need to change.
> kernel/capabilities.c cap_set_all() is an example.
> However what we are trying to achieve there is to iterate through
> the same list that kill(-1, ) uses. So we need to replace
> do_each_thread()/while_each_thread() with something that will
> iterate through everything in the pid namespace.
>
> Most instances of do_each_thread()/while_each_thread() the kernel
> really does need a global view, and need to be left unchanged.
>
> Basically the current kernel is short the concept of a process
> group of all processes, and uses the concept of a list of all processes
> instead.
> Since the two concepts of a list of all tasks, and a list of all processes
> I can see diverge when we have multiple pid namespaces we need to add
> an additional concept, in the kernel.
> Do you know an example in that we need to change to implement a pid
> namespace that goes beyond iterating through the list of processes
> that kill(-1,) uses?
```

## from OVZ patches:

```
do_each_thread_ve()
 elf_core_dump() (need pid namespace list?)
 zap_threads (need pid namespace list?)
 chroot_fs_refs
 cap_set_all (need pid namespace list?)
 cpt functions (need to freeze VE processes, pid namespace list?)
 sys setpriority (needs task list for user namespace!)
 sys_getpriority (the same)
 sys_ioprio_set (the same)
 sys ioprio get (the same)
 selinux_setprocattr (should be changed with the check for thread_group_empty()???)
for_each_process_ve()
 asids_proc_info (need pid namespace list? in host should print all?)
 kill_something_info (I suppose you changed it already?)
some of these are optimizations which are natural for containers and are good
for scalability (as zap_threads, elf_core_dump etc.).
```

Thanks,

Kirill

P.S. Sorry for not always replying quickly...