
Subject: [PATCH 0/3] SUNRPC: three bugfixes for PipeFS event handling
Posted by [Stanislav Kinsbursky](#) on Fri, 20 Apr 2012 14:18:59 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

These bugfixes were caught (or noticed) due to the following simple script:

```
=====

#!/bin/bash
service rpcbind stop
service rpcidmapd stop
killall -TERM rpc.statd
umount /var/lib/nfs/rpc_pipefs
rmmod nfs
service rpcbind start
service nfs start
mount -t rpc_pipefs sunrpc /var/lib/nfs/rpc_pipefs

=====
```

The following series consists of:

Stanislav Kinsbursky (3):

- SUNRPC: skip clients with program without PipeFS entries
- SUNRPC: traverse clients tree on PipeFS event
- SUNRPC: set per-net PipeFS superblock before notification

```
net/sunrpc/clnt.c | 34 ++++++
net/sunrpc/rpc_pipe.c | 3 +-
2 files changed, 30 insertions(+), 7 deletions(-)
```

Subject: Re: [PATCH 0/3] SUNRPC: three bugfixes for PipeFS event handling
Posted by [bfields](#) on Wed, 25 Apr 2012 18:24:09 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Fri, Apr 20, 2012 at 06:18:59PM +0400, Stanislav Kinsbursky wrote:
> These bugfixes were caught (or noticed) due to the following simple script:

I'm assuming Trond should take these (possibly for 3.4?).

--b.

>

> =====

```

>
> #!/bin/bash
> service rpcbind stop
> service rpcidmapd stop
> killall -TERM rpc.statd
> umount /var/lib/nfs/rpc_pipefs
> rmmod nfs
> service rpcbind start
> service nfs start
> mount -t rpc_pipefs sunrpc /var/lib/nfs/rpc_pipefs
>
> =====
>
> The following series consists of:
>
> ---
>
> Stanislav Kinsbursky (3):
>   SUNRPC: skip clients with program without PipeFS entries
>   SUNRPC: traverse clients tree on PipeFS event
>   SUNRPC: set per-net PipeFS superblock before notification
>
>
> net/sunrpc/clnt.c | 34 ++++++-----
> net/sunrpc/rpc_pipe.c | 3 +-
> 2 files changed, 30 insertions(+), 7 deletions(-)
>

```

Subject: Re: [PATCH 0/3] SUNRPC: three bugfixes for PipeFS event handling
 Posted by [Stanislav Kinsbursky](#) on Wed, 25 Apr 2012 20:59:07 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

```

> On Fri, Apr 20, 2012 at 06:18:59PM +0400, Stanislav Kinsbursky wrote:
>> These bugfixes were caught (or noticed) due to the following simple script:
> I'm assuming Trond should take these (possibly for 3.4?).

```

I think so.
 But would be great if you'll take them as well (since I'm mostly working with your tree).
 Of course, only if its not a big deal for you.
 But if there will be any conflicts - then don't worry. I can just keep these patches in my repo.

```

> --b.
>
>> =====

```

```

>>
>> #!/bin/bash
>> service rpcbind stop
>> service rpcidmapd stop
>> killall -TERM rpc.statd
>> umount /var/lib/nfs/rpc_pipefs
>> rmmod nfs
>> service rpcbind start
>> service nfs start
>> mount -t rpc_pipefs sunrpc /var/lib/nfs/rpc_pipefs
>>
>> =====
>> =====
>>
>> The following series consists of:
>>
>> ---
>>
>> Stanislav Kinsbursky (3):
>>     SUNRPC: skip clients with program without PipeFS entries
>>     SUNRPC: traverse clients tree on PipeFS event
>>     SUNRPC: set per-net PipeFS superblock before notification
>>
>>
>> net/sunrpc/clnt.c | 34 ++++++-----
>> net/sunrpc/rpc_pipe.c | 3 +-
>> 2 files changed, 30 insertions(+), 7 deletions(-)
>>

```

Subject: Re: [PATCH 0/3] SUNRPC: three bugfixes for PipeFS event handling
 Posted by [bfields](#) on Wed, 25 Apr 2012 21:02:50 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Thu, Apr 26, 2012 at 12:59:07AM +0400, Stanislav Kinsbursky wrote:

```

> >On Fri, Apr 20, 2012 at 06:18:59PM +0400, Stanislav Kinsbursky wrote:
> >>These bugfixes were caught (or noticed) due to the following simple script:
> >>I'm assuming Trond should take these (possibly for 3.4?).
>
> I think so.
> But would be great if you'll take them as well (since I'm mostly
> working with your tree).
> Of course, only if its not a big deal for you.
> But if there will be any conflicts - then don't worry. I can just
> keep these patches in my repo.

```

If it's a bugfix that should go to 3.4, then best might be for Trond to

get it merged, then I'll pull it into my tree after that.

Or I'm happy to do it some other way if someone prefers.

--b.
