## Subject: [PATCH] SUNRPC: skip dead but not buried clients on PipeFS events Posted by Stanislav Kinsbursky on Thu, 19 Apr 2012 10:57:18 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

These clients can't be safely dereferenced if their counter in 0. Signee-off-by: Stanislav Kinsbursky <skinsbursky@parallels.com> Signed-off-by: Stanislav Kinsbursky <skinsbursky@parallels.com> net/sunrpc/clnt.c | 3 ++-1 files changed, 2 insertions(+), 1 deletions(-) diff --git a/net/sunrpc/clnt.c b/net/sunrpc/clnt.c index 6797246..591994d 100644 --- a/net/sunrpc/clnt.c +++ b/net/sunrpc/clnt.c @ @ -218,7 +218,8 @ @ static struct rpc\_clnt \*rpc\_get\_client\_for\_event(struct net \*net, int event) if (((event == RPC PIPEFS MOUNT) && clnt->cl dentry) || ((event == RPC PIPEFS UMOUNT) && !clnt->cl dentry)) continue; - atomic\_inc(&cInt->cl\_count); + if (atomic\_inc\_return(&clnt->cl\_count) == 1) + continue: spin\_unlock(&sn->rpc\_client\_lock); return clnt;

Subject: Re: [PATCH] SUNRPC: skip dead but not buried clients on PipeFS events Posted by Stanislav Kinsbursky on Thu, 19 Apr 2012 11:36:57 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Sorry, but ignore this patch too.

}

It can't be that simple because of these cl\_count tricks in rpc\_release\_client...

```
> These clients can't be safely dereferenced if their counter in 0.
> Signee-off-by: Stanislav Kinsbursky<skinsbursky@parallels.com>
> Signed-off-by: Stanislav Kinsbursky<skinsbursky@parallels.com>
> ---
> net/sunrpc/clnt.c | 3 ++-
> 1 files changed, 2 insertions(+), 1 deletions(-)
```

```
> diff --git a/net/sunrpc/clnt.c b/net/sunrpc/clnt.c
> index 6797246..591994d 100644
> --- a/net/sunrpc/clnt.c
> +++ b/net/sunrpc/clnt.c
> @ @ -218,7 +218,8 @ @ static struct rpc_clnt *rpc_get_client_for_event(struct net *net, int
event)
    if (((event == RPC_PIPEFS_MOUNT)&& clnt->cl_dentry) ||
      ((event == RPC_PIPEFS_UMOUNT)&& !clnt->cl_dentry))
     continue:
> - atomic inc(&clnt->cl count);
> + if (atomic_inc_return(&clnt->cl_count) == 1)
> + continue:
    spin_unlock(&sn->rpc_client_lock);
    return clnt;
>
>
Best regards,
Stanislav Kinsbursky
```

Subject: Re: [PATCH] SUNRPC: skip dead but not buried clients on PipeFS events Posted by bfields on Thu, 19 Apr 2012 21:40:43 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On Thu, Apr 19, 2012 at 03:36:57PM +0400, Stanislav Kinsbursky wrote:

- > Sorry, but ignore this patch too.
- > It can't be that simple because of these cl count tricks in rpc release client...

OK. Very minor whine:

```
> >These clients can't be safely dereferenced if their counter in 0.
> >
> >Signee-off-by: Stanislav Kinsbursky<skinsbursky@parallels.com>
> >
> >Signed-off-by: Stanislav Kinsbursky<skinsbursky@parallels.com>
```

I don't mind fixing up trivial slips every now and then, but that double signed-off-by seems to happen on a lot of your posts; could you figure out what's up with your scripts?

--b.

>

> >

```
> >---
>> net/sunrpc/clnt.c |
                        3 ++-
>> 1 files changed, 2 insertions(+), 1 deletions(-)
> >diff --git a/net/sunrpc/clnt.c b/net/sunrpc/clnt.c
> >index 6797246..591994d 100644
> >--- a/net/sunrpc/clnt.c
>>+++ b/net/sunrpc/clnt.c
>>@@ -218,7 +218,8 @@ static struct rpc_clnt *rpc_get_client_for_event(struct net *net, int
event)
> >
     if (((event == RPC_PIPEFS_MOUNT)&& clnt->cl_dentry) ||
        ((event == RPC PIPEFS UMOUNT)&& !clnt->cl dentry))
      continue:
> >
> >- atomic_inc(&cInt->cl_count);
> >+ if (atomic_inc_return(&cInt->cl_count) == 1)
>>+ continue;
>> spin_unlock(&sn->rpc_client_lock);
    return clnt;
>> }
> >
>
> Best regards,
> Stanislav Kinsbursky
> --
> To unsubscribe from this list: send the line "unsubscribe linux-kernel" in
> the body of a message to majordomo@vger.kernel.org
> More majordomo info at http://vger.kernel.org/majordomo-info.html
> Please read the FAQ at http://www.tux.org/lkml/
```

Subject: Re: [PATCH] SUNRPC: skip dead but not buried clients on PipeFS events Posted by Stanislav Kinsbursky on Fri, 20 Apr 2012 06:05:05 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
> On Thu, Apr 19, 2012 at 03:36:57PM +0400, Stanislav Kinsbursky wrote:
>> Sorry, but ignore this patch too.
>> It can't be that simple because of these cl_count tricks in rpc_release_client...
> OK. Very minor whine:
>>
>>> These clients can't be safely dereferenced if their counter in 0.
>>>
>>> Signee-off-by: Stanislav Kinsbursky<skinsbursky@parallels.com>
>>>
>>> Signed-off-by: Stanislav Kinsbursky<skinsbursky@parallels.com>
```

- > I don't mind fixing up trivial slips every now and then, but that double
- > signed-off-by seems to happen on a lot of your posts; could you figure
- > out what's up with your scripts?

Yes, sure. Sorry. I've noticed this problem just yesterday.