Subject: [PATCH 3/6] perf: add ability to record event period
Posted by Andrey Vagin on Wed, 07 Dec 2011 13:55:58 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Signed-off-by: Andrew Vagin <avagin@openvz.org>
---
 tools/perf/builtin-record.c | 1 +
 tools/perf/perf.h | 1 +
 tools/perf/util/evsel.c | 3 +++
 3 files changed, 5 insertions(+), 0 deletions(-)

```
diff --git a/tools/perf/builtin-record.c b/tools/perf/builtin-record.c
index 766fa0a..f8fd14f 100644
--- a/tools/perf/builtin-record.c
+++ b/tools/perf/builtin-record.c
@@ -700,6 +700,7 @@ const struct option record_options[] = {
  OPT_BOOLEAN('d', "data", &record.opts.sample_address,
     "Sample addresses"),
  OPT_BOOLEAN('T', "timestamp", &record.opts.sample_time, "Sample timestamps"),
+ OPT_BOOLEAN('P', "period", &record.opts.period, "Sample period"),
  OPT_BOOLEAN('n', "no-samples", &record.opts.no_samples,
     "don't sample"),
  OPT_BOOLEAN('N', "no-buildid-cache", &record.no_buildid_cache,
diff --git a/tools/perf/perf.h b/tools/perf/perf.h
index ea804f5..64f8bee 100644
--- a/tools/perf/perf.h
+++ b/tools/perf/perf.h
@@ -200,6 +200,7 @@ struct perf_record_opts {
  bool      sample_time;
  bool      sample_id_all_avail;
  bool      system_wide;
+ bool      period;
  unsigned int freq;
  unsigned int mmap_pages;
  unsigned int user_freq;
diff --git a/tools/perf/util/evsel.c b/tools/perf/util/evsel.c
index e2d1b22..8550018 100644
--- a/tools/perf/util/evsel.c
+++ b/tools/perf/util/evsel.c
@@ -108,6 +108,9 @@ void perf_evsel__config(struct perf_evsel *evsel, struct perf_record_opts *opts)
  if (opts->system_wide)
   attr->sample_type |= PERF_SAMPLE_CPU;

+ if (opts->period)
+  attr->sample_type |= PERF_SAMPLE_PERIOD;
+
  if (opts->sample_id_all_avail &&
```

```
    (opts->sample_time || opts->system_wide ||
    !opts->no_inherit || opts->cpu_list))
```
--
1.7.1

Subject: Re: [PATCH 3/6] perf: add ability to record event period
Posted by avagin on Fri, 16 Dec 2011 07:13:07 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hi Arnaldo,

Could you review and commit this patch. It's quite common functionality,
which allow to get events more effectively and to avoid losing events.

All other patches may be postponed, because Arun Sharma wants to suggest
your version of "Profiling sleep times".

Thanks.

On 12/07/2011 05:55 PM, Andrew Vagin wrote:
> Signed-off-by: Andrew Vagin<avagin@openvz.org>
> ---
> tools/perf/builtin-record.c | 1 +
> tools/perf/perf.h | 1 +
> tools/perf/util/evsel.c | 3 +++
> 3 files changed, 5 insertions(+), 0 deletions(-)
>
> diff --git a/tools/perf/builtin-record.c b/tools/perf/builtin-record.c
> index 766fa0a..f8fd14f 100644
> --- a/tools/perf/builtin-record.c
> +++ b/tools/perf/builtin-record.c
> @@ -700,6 +700,7 @@ const struct option record_options[] = {
>   OPT_BOOLEAN('d', "data",&record.opts.sample_address,
>   "Sample addresses"),
>   OPT_BOOLEAN('T', "timestamp",&record.opts.sample_time, "Sample timestamps"),
> + OPT_BOOLEAN('P', "period",&record.opts.period, "Sample period"),
>   OPT_BOOLEAN('n', "no-samples",&record.opts.no_samples,
>   "don't sample"),
>   OPT_BOOLEAN('N', "no-buildid-cache",&record.no_buildid_cache,
> diff --git a/tools/perf/perf.h b/tools/perf/perf.h
> index ea804f5..64f8bee 100644
> --- a/tools/perf/perf.h
> +++ b/tools/perf/perf.h
> @@ -200,6 +200,7 @@ struct perf_record_opts {
>   bool   sample_time;
>   bool   sample_id_all_avail;
>   bool   system_wide;
```

> + bool       period;
>    unsigned int freq;
>    unsigned int mmap_pages;
>    unsigned int user_freq;
> diff --git a/tools/perf/util/evsel.c b/tools/perf/util/evsel.c
> index e2d1b22..8550018 100644
> --- a/tools/perf/util/evsel.c
> +++ b/tools/perf/util/evsel.c
> @@ -108,6 +108,9 @@ void perf_evsel__config(struct perf_evsel *evsel, struct perf_record_opts *opts)
>    if (opts->system_wide)
>     attr->sample_type |= PERF_SAMPLE_CPU;
>
> + if (opts->period)
> +  attr->sample_type |= PERF_SAMPLE_PERIOD;
> +
>    if (opts->sample_id_all_avail&&
>    (opts->sample_time || opts->system_wide ||
>        !opts->no_inherit || opts->cpu_list))

---

## Subject: Re: [PATCH 3/6] perf: add ability to record event period
Posted by Arun Sharma on Mon, 19 Dec 2011 19:20:43 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Acked-by: Arun Sharma <asharma@fb.com>

Need PERF_SAMPLE_PERIOD for the sleep profiling kernel patch I posted earlier.

  -Arun

On 12/15/11 11:13 PM, Andrew Vagin wrote:
> Hi Arnaldo,
>
> Could you review and commit this patch. It's quite common functionality,
> which allow to get events more effectively and to avoid losing events.
>
> All other patches may be postponed, because Arun Sharma wants to suggest
> your version of "Profiling sleep times".
>
> Thanks.
>
> On 12/07/2011 05:55 PM, Andrew Vagin wrote:
>> Signed-off-by: Andrew Vagin<avagin@openvz.org>
>> ---
>> tools/perf/builtin-record.c | 1 +
>> tools/perf/perf.h | 1 +

```
>> tools/perf/util/evsel.c | 3 +++
>> 3 files changed, 5 insertions(+), 0 deletions(-)
>>
>> diff --git a/tools/perf/builtin-record.c b/tools/perf/builtin-record.c
>> index 766fa0a..f8fd14f 100644
>> --- a/tools/perf/builtin-record.c
>> +++ b/tools/perf/builtin-record.c
>> @@ -700,6 +700,7 @@ const struct option record_options[] = {
>> OPT_BOOLEAN('d', "data",&record.opts.sample_address,
>> "Sample addresses"),
>> OPT_BOOLEAN('T', "timestamp",&record.opts.sample_time, "Sample
>> timestamps"),
>> + OPT_BOOLEAN('P', "period",&record.opts.period, "Sample period"),
>> OPT_BOOLEAN('n', "no-samples",&record.opts.no_samples,
>> "don't sample"),
>> OPT_BOOLEAN('N', "no-buildid-cache",&record.no_buildid_cache,
>> diff --git a/tools/perf/perf.h b/tools/perf/perf.h
>> index ea804f5..64f8bee 100644
>> --- a/tools/perf/perf.h
>> +++ b/tools/perf/perf.h
>> @@ -200,6 +200,7 @@ struct perf_record_opts {
>> bool sample_time;
>> bool sample_id_all_avail;
>> bool system_wide;
>> + bool period;
>> unsigned int freq;
>> unsigned int mmap_pages;
>> unsigned int user_freq;
>> diff --git a/tools/perf/util/evsel.c b/tools/perf/util/evsel.c
>> index e2d1b22..8550018 100644
>> --- a/tools/perf/util/evsel.c
>> +++ b/tools/perf/util/evsel.c
>> @@ -108,6 +108,9 @@ void perf_evsel__config(struct perf_evsel *evsel,
>> struct perf_record_opts *opts)
>> if (opts->system_wide)
>> attr->sample_type |= PERF_SAMPLE_CPU;
>>
>> + if (opts->period)
>> + attr->sample_type |= PERF_SAMPLE_PERIOD;
>> +
>> if (opts->sample_id_all_avail&&
>> (opts->sample_time || opts->system_wide ||
>> !opts->no_inherit || opts->cpu_list))
>
```

Subject: Re: [PATCH 3/6] perf: add ability to record event period

Posted by [Arnaldo Carvalho de M[2]](#) on Mon, 19 Dec 2011 20:58:29 GMT

Em Fri, Dec 16, 2011 at 11:13:07AM +0400, Andrew Vagin escreveu:
> Hi Arnaldo,
>
> Could you review and commit this patch. It's quite common
> functionality, which allow to get events more effectively and to
> avoid losing events.
>
> All other patches may be postponed, because Arun Sharma wants to
> suggest your version of "Profiling sleep times".

It would help if you provided a more detailed patch description, this
one came with just a title :-\

You started to ellaborate above when stating that "which allows to get
events more effectively", could you please expand on that and mention
that it will be used by the following patches that will implement
feature X, etc?

I get that Arun is in agreement, everything seems OK, but we need to do
a better job on describing why we add code, the context we have now from
all these discussions will be mostly lost, say, 5 years from now when we
try to figure out why something was done in some way,

Thanks,

- Arnaldo

> Thanks.
>
> On 12/07/2011 05:55 PM, Andrew Vagin wrote:
> >Signed-off-by: Andrew Vagin<avagin@openvz.org>
> >---
> > tools/perf/builtin-record.c | 1 +
> > tools/perf/perf.h | 1 +
> > tools/perf/util/evsel.c | 3 +++
> > 3 files changed, 5 insertions(+), 0 deletions(-)
> >
> >diff --git a/tools/perf/builtin-record.c b/tools/perf/builtin-record.c
> >index 766fa0a..f8fd14f 100644
> >--- a/tools/perf/builtin-record.c
> >+++ b/tools/perf/builtin-record.c
> >@@ -700,6 +700,7 @@ const struct option record_options[] = {
> > OPT_BOOLEAN('d', "data",&record.opts.sample_address,
> >   "Sample addresses"),
> > OPT_BOOLEAN('T', "timestamp",&record.opts.sample_time, "Sample timestamps"),
> >+ OPT_BOOLEAN('P', "period",&record.opts.period, "Sample period"),

> > OPT_BOOLEAN('n', "no-samples",&record.opts.no_samples,
> >   "don't sample"),
> > OPT_BOOLEAN('N', "no-buildid-cache",&record.no_buildid_cache,
> >diff --git a/tools/perf/perf.h b/tools/perf/perf.h
> >index ea804f5..64f8bee 100644
> >--- a/tools/perf/perf.h
> >+++ b/tools/perf/perf.h
> >@@ -200,6 +200,7 @@ struct perf_record_opts {
> > bool   sample_time;
> > bool   sample_id_all_avail;
> > bool   system_wide;
> >+ bool   period;
> > unsigned int freq;
> > unsigned int mmap_pages;
> > unsigned int user_freq;
> >diff --git a/tools/perf/util/evsel.c b/tools/perf/util/evsel.c
> >index e2d1b22..8550018 100644
> >--- a/tools/perf/util/evsel.c
> >+++ b/tools/perf/util/evsel.c
> >@@ -108,6 +108,9 @@ void perf_evsel__config(struct perf_evsel *evsel, struct
perf_record_opts *opts)
> > if (opts->system_wide)
> >   attr->sample_type |= PERF_SAMPLE_CPU;
> >
> >+ if (opts->period)
> >+ attr->sample_type |= PERF_SAMPLE_PERIOD;
> >+
> > if (opts->sample_id_all_avail&&
> > (opts->sample_time || opts->system_wide ||
> >   !opts->no_inherit || opts->cpu_list))

Subject: Re: [PATCH 3/6] perf: add ability to record event period
Posted by David Ahern on Mon, 19 Dec 2011 21:25:45 GMT

On 12/19/2011 01:58 PM, Arnaldo Carvalho de Melo wrote:
> I get that Arun is in agreement, everything seems OK, but we need to do
> a better job on describing why we add code, the context we have now from
> all these discussions will be mostly lost, say, 5 years from now when we
> try to figure out why something was done in some way,

It seems like an option is needed for all the sample attributes.
Timestamp is now covered (-T). Sample address is covered (-d). Callchain
(-g). This targets period (-P). I think that just leaves CPU, and we are
out of c/C options. ;-)

David

Subject: Re: [PATCH 3/6] perf: add ability to record event period
Posted by avagin on Tue, 20 Dec 2011 08:07:41 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On 12/20/2011 12:58 AM, Arnaldo Carvalho de Melo wrote:
> Em Fri, Dec 16, 2011 at 11:13:07AM +0400, Andrew Vagin escreveu:
>> Hi Arnaldo,
>>
>> Could you review and commit this patch. It's quite common
>> functionality, which allow to get events more effectively and to
>> avoid losing events.
>>
>> All other patches may be postponed, because Arun Sharma wants to
>> suggest your version of "Profiling sleep times".
> It would help if you provided a more detailed patch description, this
> one came with just a title :-\
Look at the comment below. In it I try describe why we need this
functionality.

The problem is that when SAMPLE_PERIOD is not set, kernel generates a
number of samples in proportion to an event's period. Number of these
samples may be too big and a kernel throttles all samples above a
defined limit.

E.g.: I want to trace when a process sleeps. I created a process, which
sleeps for 1ms and for 4ms. perf got 100 events in both cases.

swapper   0 [000] 1141.371830: sched_stat_sleep: comm=foo pid=1801 delay=1386750 [ns]
swapper   0 [000] 1141.369444: sched_stat_sleep: comm=foo pid=1801 delay=4499585 [ns]

In the first case a kernel want to send 4499585 events and
in the second case it wants to send 1386750 events.
perf-reports shows that process sleeps in both places equal time.

Instead of this we can get only one sample with an attribute period. As
result we have less data transferring between kernel and user-space and we
avoid throttling of samples.

The patch "events: Don't divide events if it has field period" added a
kernel part of this functionality.
>
> You started to ellaborate above when stating that "which allows to get
> events more effectively", could you please expand on that and mention
> that it will be used by the following patches that will implement
> feature X, etc?
>
> I get that Arun is in agreement, everything seems OK, but we need to do
> a better job on describing why we add code, the context we have now from
> all these discussions will be mostly lost, say, 5 years from now when we

> try to figure out why something was done in some way,
>
> Thanks,
>
> - Arnaldo
>
>> Thanks.
>>
>> On 12/07/2011 05:55 PM, Andrew Vagin wrote:
>>> Signed-off-by: Andrew Vagin<avagin@openvz.org>
>>> ---
>>>   tools/perf/builtin-record.c | 1 +
>>>   tools/perf/perf.h | 1 +
>>>   tools/perf/util/evsel.c | 3 +++
>>>   3 files changed, 5 insertions(+), 0 deletions(-)
>>>
>>> diff --git a/tools/perf/builtin-record.c b/tools/perf/builtin-record.c
>>> index 766fa0a..f8fd14f 100644
>>> --- a/tools/perf/builtin-record.c
>>> +++ b/tools/perf/builtin-record.c
>>> @@ -700,6 +700,7 @@ const struct option record_options[] = {
>>>   OPT_BOOLEAN('d', "data",&record.opts.sample_address,
>>>   "Sample addresses"),
>>>   OPT_BOOLEAN('T', "timestamp",&record.opts.sample_time, "Sample timestamps"),
>>> + OPT_BOOLEAN('P', "period",&record.opts.period, "Sample period"),
>>>   OPT_BOOLEAN('n', "no-samples",&record.opts.no_samples,
>>>   "don't sample"),
>>>   OPT_BOOLEAN('N', "no-buildid-cache",&record.no_buildid_cache,
>>> diff --git a/tools/perf/perf.h b/tools/perf/perf.h
>>> index ea804f5..64f8bee 100644
>>> --- a/tools/perf/perf.h
>>> +++ b/tools/perf/perf.h
>>> @@ -200,6 +200,7 @@ struct perf_record_opts {
>>>   bool   sample_time;
>>>   bool   sample_id_all_avail;
>>>   bool   system_wide;
>>> + bool   period;
>>>   unsigned int freq;
>>>   unsigned int mmap_pages;
>>>   unsigned int user_freq;
>>> diff --git a/tools/perf/util/evsel.c b/tools/perf/util/evsel.c
>>> index e2d1b22..8550018 100644
>>> --- a/tools/perf/util/evsel.c
>>> +++ b/tools/perf/util/evsel.c
>>> @@ -108,6 +108,9 @@ void perf_evsel__config(struct perf_evsel *evsel, struct perf_record_opts *opts)
>>>   if (opts->system_wide)
>>>   attr->sample_type |= PERF_SAMPLE_CPU;

```
>>>
>>> + if (opts->period)
>>> +  attr->sample_type |= PERF_SAMPLE_PERIOD;
>>> +
>>>   if (opts->sample_id_all_avail&&
>>>   (opts->sample_time || opts->system_wide ||
>>>       !opts->no_inherit || opts->cpu_list))
```

Subject: Re: [PATCH 3/6] perf: add ability to record event period
Posted by Peter Zijlstra on Tue, 20 Dec 2011 10:17:28 GMT

On Tue, 2011-12-20 at 12:07 +0400, Andrew Vagin wrote:
> Look at the comment below. In it I try describe why we need this
> functionality.

Its not about comments, a patch has to have a Changelog that covers the
why and what. If it doesn't the patch won't go anywhere. Also, its not
the maintainers job to reconstruct such a changelog from an email
discussion.

So write up a proper changelog and resubmit the patch.

Subject: Re: [PATCH 3/6] perf: add ability to record event period
Posted by Arnaldo Carvalho de M[2] on Tue, 20 Dec 2011 13:26:03 GMT

Em Tue, Dec 20, 2011 at 12:07:41PM +0400, Andrew Vagin escreveu:
> On 12/20/2011 12:58 AM, Arnaldo Carvalho de Melo wrote:
> >Em Fri, Dec 16, 2011 at 11:13:07AM +0400, Andrew Vagin escreveu:
> >>Hi Arnaldo,
> >>
> >>Could you review and commit this patch. It's quite common
> >>functionality, which allow to get events more effectively and to
> >>avoid losing events.
> >>
> >>All other patches may be postponed, because Arun Sharma wants to
> >>suggest your version of "Profiling sleep times".
> >>It would help if you provided a more detailed patch description, this
> >>one came with just a title :-\
> Look at the comment below. In it I try describe why we need this
> functionality.

Much better, please now resubmit the patch with that explanation.

Thanks,

- Arnaldo