

---

Subject: Re: [Bridge] [PATCH] bridge: Reset IPCB on forward non-local packets in  
br\_handle\_frame\_finish()

Posted by [Stephen Hemminger](#) on Wed, 02 Nov 2011 20:14:45 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On Wed, 2 Nov 2011 13:45:29 -0600

Daniel Robbins <[drobbins@funtoo.org](mailto:drobbins@funtoo.org)> wrote:

> On Wed, Nov 2, 2011 at 1:31 PM, Stephen Hemminger <[shemminger@vyatta.com](mailto:shemminger@vyatta.com)> wrote:

> >

> > What kernel version are you using? There were several previous fixes

> > in br\_netfilter to deal with this type of issue over the last year.

>

> It's a kernel from this obscure company called Red Hat, and they

> include it in this product called Red Hat Enterprise Linux 6.

>

> Specifically, 042stab37.1 :)

>

> -Daniel

The pay for their tech support and have them fix it.

BTW - the problem was fixed upstream a long time ago, the upstream  
kernel moves fast and RHEL probably froze many months before their  
release.

---

---

Subject: Re: [Bridge] [PATCH] bridge: Reset IPCB on forward non-local packets in  
br\_handle\_frame\_finish()

Posted by [davem](#) on Wed, 02 Nov 2011 20:16:50 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

From: Stephen Hemminger <[shemminger@vyatta.com](mailto:shemminger@vyatta.com)>

Date: Wed, 2 Nov 2011 13:14:45 -0700

> On Wed, 2 Nov 2011 13:45:29 -0600

> Daniel Robbins <[drobbins@funtoo.org](mailto:drobbins@funtoo.org)> wrote:

>

>> On Wed, Nov 2, 2011 at 1:31 PM, Stephen Hemminger <[shemminger@vyatta.com](mailto:shemminger@vyatta.com)> wrote:

>> >

>> > What kernel version are you using? There were several previous fixes

>> > in br\_netfilter to deal with this type of issue over the last year.

>>

>> It's a kernel from this obscure company called Red Hat, and they

>> include it in this product called Red Hat Enterprise Linux 6.

>>

>> Specifically, 042stab37.1 :)

>>

>> -Daniel

>  
> The pay for their tech support and have them fix it.  
> BTW - the problem was fixed upstream a long time ago, the upstream  
> kernel moves fast and RHEL probably froze many months before their  
> release.

So the original patch not only wasn't build tested, the submitter also  
didn't even check if upstream even `_had_` the bug to begin with.

---