Subject: Re: [ckrm-tech] [PATCH 4/6] containers: Simple CPU accounting container subsystem
Posted by Paul Menage on Fri, 12 Jan 2007 00:33:48 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On 1/10/07, Balbir Singh <balbir@in.ibm.com> wrote:

>

- > I have run into a problem running this patch on a powerpc box. Basically,
- > the machine panics as soon as I mount the container filesystem with

This is a multi-processor system?

My guess is that it's a race in the subsystem API that I've been meaning to deal with for some time - basically I've been using (<foo>_subsys.subsys_id != -1) to indicate that <foo> is ready for use, but there's a brief window during subsystem registration where that's not actually true.

I'll add an "active" field in the container_subsys structure, which isn't set until registration is completed, and subsystems should use that instead. container_register_subsys() will set it just prior to releasing callback_mutex, and cpu_acct.c (and other subsystems) will check <foo>_subsys.active rather than (<foo>_subsys.subsys_id != -1)

- > I am trying to figure out the reason for the panic and trying to find
- > a fix. Since the introduction of whole hierarchy system, the debugging
- > has gotten a bit harder and taking longer, hence I was wondering if you
- > had any clues about the problem

>

Yes, the multi-hierarchy support does make the whole code a little more complex - but people presented reasonable scenarios where a single container tree for all resource controllers just wasn't flexible enough.

Paul