Subject: Re: seems to be a flaw in cfq Posted by Vasily Tarasov on Thu, 21 Dec 2006 09:03:20 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hello, Jens,

Sorry for late answer. The situation is the following:

direct seqread (2.6.18) - reproducable (2.6.20-rc1) - reproducable (2.6.20-rc1 + your fix) - not reproducable

buffered randread (2.6.18) - reproducable (2.6.20-rc1) - not reproducable (2.6.20-rc1 + your fix) - not reproducable

So the conclusion: problem with "direct seqread" is fixed by your patch (thanks!) and the problem with "buffered randread" was fixed in 2.6.19/2.6.20.

Thanks for cooperation, Vasily.

Jens Axboe wrote:

- > On Wed, Dec 20 2006, Jens Axboe wrote:
- >> I'm still seeing odd results with buffered io, while the direct case is
- >> definitely fixed. So we are either looking at two bugs, or one bug that
- >> the merge fixup hides.
- >>
- > Ah hang on, buffered reads will be serialized by the page cache. If I
- > rerun the buffered randread case with anticipatory, the results are
- > skewed in the other direction but still unfair. So I'm not sure there's
- > a real bug there in the io scheduler.