Subject: Re: [PATCH 10/12] L2 network namespace: playing with pass-through device

Posted by Daniel Lezcano on Tue, 12 Dec 2006 14:10:21 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
Dmitry Mishin wrote:
```

```
> On Tuesday 12 December 2006 16:59, Daniel Lezcano wrote:
>> Dmitry Mishin wrote:
>>> Temporary code to debug and play with pass-through device.
>>> Create device pair by
>>> modprobe veth
         echo 'add veth1 0:1:2:3:4:1 eth0 0:1:2:3:4:2' >/proc/net/veth ctl
>>>
>>> and your shell will appear into a new namespace with `eth0' device.
>>> Configure device in this namespace
         ip I s eth0 up
>>>
         ip a a 1.2.3.4/24 dev eth0
>>>
>>> and in the root namespace
>>>
         ip I s veth1 up
         ip a a 1.2.3.1/24 dev veth1
>>>
>>> to establish a communication channel between root namespace and the newly
>>> created one.
>>>
>>> Code is done by Andrey Savochkin and ported by me over Cedric'c patchset
>>>
>>> Signed-off-by: Dmitry Mishin <dim@openvz.org>
>>>
>> [ ... ]
>>
>>> --- linux-2.6.19-rc6-mm2.orig/include/linux/net_namespace.h
>>> +++ linux-2.6.19-rc6-mm2/include/linux/net namespace.h
>>> @ @ -24,6 +24,9 @ @ struct net_namespace {
>>> int fib4 trie last dflt;
>>> #endif
>>> unsigned int hash;
>>> + struct net_namespace *parent;
>>> + struct list head child list, sibling list;
>>> + unsigned int id;
>>> };
>> Why do yo need to have a child list and sibling list?
> Because of the level2<->level3 hierarchy, for example.
```

This hierarchy doesn't exist with ns->parent? Do you have an example when the hierarchy should be used? I mean when we need to browse from I2 -> I3?