Subject: Re: [ckrm-tech] [PATCH 4/13] BC: context handling Posted by Paul Menage on Thu, 23 Nov 2006 10:18:46 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
On 11/23/06, Pavel Emelianov < xemul@openvz.org > wrote:
> Paul Menage wrote:
>> On 11/23/06, Pavel Emelianov <xemul@openvz.org> wrote:
>>> You mean moving is like this:
> >>
> >> old bc = task->real bc;
>>> task->real bc = new bc;
>>> cmpxchq(&tsk->exec bc, old bc, new bc);
> >>
>>> ? Then this won't work:
> >>
> >> Initialisation:
>>> current->exec bc = init bc;
>>> current->real bc = init bc;
> >> ...
> >> IRQ:
>>> current->exec bc = init bc;
                       old_bc = tsk->real_bc; /* init_bc */
> >>
                       tsk->real bc = bc1;
> >>
                       cx(tsk->exec_bc, init_bc, bc1); /* ok */
> >>
>>> ...
>>> Here at the middle of an interrupt
>>> we have bc1 set as exec bc on task
>>> which IS wrong!
>> You could get round that by having a separate "irg bc" that's never
> > valid for a task not in an interrupt.
> No no no. This is not what is needed. You see, we do have to
> set exec_bc as temporary (and atomic) context. Having temporary
> context is 1. flexible 2. needed by beancounters' network accountig.
```

I don't see why having an irq_bc wouldn't solve this. At the start of the interrupt handler, set current->exec_bc to &irq_bc; at the end set it to current->real_bc; use the cmpxchg() that I suggested to ensure that you never update task->exec_bc from another task if it's not equal to task->real_bc; use RCU to ensure that a beancounter is never freed while someone might be accessing it.

>

- > Maybe we can make smth similar to wait_task_inactive and change
- > it's beancounter before unlocking the runqueue?

That could work too.

Paul