Subject: venet vs veth Posted by preeve on Thu, 09 Nov 2006 16:23:06 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

In my environment I need to use veth devices rather than venet, hence it seemed sensible to eliminate venet entirely and I now have a working server cluster which is solely veth based. Hooray!

Unfortunately, replacing venet means I have now lost the ability to use vzlist (and maybe other things yet to be explored). In short, the venet device driver seem to be functionally overloaded (i.e. it does more than just provide a virtual network interface), or maybe the veth device is impoverished...

Whatever, does anyone know if there is a way of recovering the management features for veth based configurations without the (at least conceptual) bloat of redundant venet interfaces?