
Subject: Re: [ckrm-tech] [RFC] Resource Management - Infrastructure choices
Posted by [Pavel Emelianov](#) on Thu, 02 Nov 2006 08:42:41 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Srivatsa Vaddagiri wrote:

> On Wed, Nov 01, 2006 at 11:01:31AM +0300, Pavel Emelianov wrote:
>>> Sorry dont get you here. Are you saying we should support different
>>> grouping for different controllers?
>> Not me, but other people in this thread.
>
> Hmm ..I thought OpenVz folks were interested in having different
> groupings for different resources i.e grouping for CPU should be
> independent of the grouping for memory.
>
> <http://lkml.org/lkml/2006/8/18/98>
>
> Isnt that true?

That's true. We don't mind having different groupings for different resources. But what I was sying in this thread is "I didn't *propose* this thing, I just *agreed* that this might be usefull for someone."

So if we're going to have different groupings for different resources what's the use of "container" grouping all "controllers" together? I see this situation like each task_struct carries pointers to kmemsize controller, pivate pages controller, physical pages controller, CPU time controller, disk bandwidth controller, etc. Right? Or did I miss something?
