Subject: Re: [Q] missing unused dentry in prune_dcache()? Posted by David Howells on Fri, 27 Oct 2006 12:11:21 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Vasily Averin <vvs@sw.ru> wrote:

- > > Vasily Averin <vvs@sw.ru> wrote:
- >>> Therefore I believe that my patch is optimal solution.
- > > I'm not sure that prune_dcache() is particularly optimal.

>

> I means that my patch is optimal for problem in subject.

I didn't say it wasn't.

> I would like to ask you to approve it and we will go to next issue.

I did ack it didn't I? I must fix my mail client so that it doesn't automatically remove my email address from the To/Cc fields when I'm replying to a message:-/

- > We have seen that umount (and remount) can work very slowly, it was cycled
- > inside shrink_dcache_sb() up to several hours with taken s_umount semaphore.

umount at least should be fixed as that should no longer use shrink_dcache_sb().

- > We are trying to resolve this issue by using per-sb Iru list. I'm preparing
- > the patch for 2.6.19-rc3 right now and going to send it soon.

That sounds tricky; you have to check all your LRU lists to find the LRU dentry.

David