

---

Subject: Re: Re: [Users] OpenVZ tools  
Posted by [kir](#) on Tue, 17 Oct 2006 11:38:48 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Dag Wieers wrote:

>> Although I do not see any problem with "shell-oriented" config files. They are  
>> easy to edit and can be easily read from any code (be it C or Perl or Python  
>> or whatever). So, can you be more specific and tell us why you don't like such  
>> configs?

>>

>

> I understand that switching would be hard. But a better syntax would allow  
> more interesting things. I like python's configuration format and syntax,

>

What is python's configuration format? Something like yum.conf? I.e.  
almost-shell-style with sections denoted by [sectname]?

Or something else?

> but anything better would do.

>

> The shell-variable way of doing things is limited and prone to errors.

> Plus I generally do not prefer the lowest common denominator as a standard  
I'm a bit scared by language-specific config formats. Seen a number of  
cases when people use perl hashes in a config and just source those.

---