

---

Subject: Re: [ckrm-tech] [patch00/05]: Containers(V2)- Introduction  
Posted by [Paul Menage](#) on Thu, 21 Sep 2006 22:09:47 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On 9/21/06, Chandra Seetharaman <sekharan@us.ibm.com> wrote:

>  
> >  
> > But, there's no reason that the OpenVZ resource control mechanisms  
> > couldn't be hooked into a generic process container mechanism along  
> > with cpusets and RG.  
>  
> Isn't that one of the things we are trying to avoid (each one having  
> their own solution, especially when we can have a common solution).

Can we actually have a single common solution that works for everyone, no matter what their needs? It's already apparent that there are multiple different and subtly incompatible definitions of what "memory controller" means and needs to do. Maybe these can be resolved - but maybe it's better to have, say, two simple but very different memory controllers that the user can pick between, rather than one big and complicated one that tries to please everyone.

Paul

---