

---

Subject: Re: [ckrm-tech] [patch00/05]: Containers(V2)- Introduction

Posted by [Paul Menage](#) on Wed, 20 Sep 2006 17:42:24 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On 9/20/06, Christoph Lameter <clameter@sgi.com> wrote:

>

> I think we should have one container mechanism instead of multiple. Maybe

> merge the two? The cpuset functionality is well established and working

> right.

The basic container abstraction provided by cpusets is very nice - maybe rename it from "cpuset" to "container"? Since it already provides access to memory nodes as well as cpus, and could be extended to handle other resource types too (network, disk).

Paul

---