

---

Subject: Re: [ckrm-tech] [patch00/05]: Containers(V2)- Introduction  
Posted by [Chandra Seetharaman](#) on Thu, 21 Sep 2006 21:44:22 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On Thu, 2006-09-21 at 13:10 -0700, Paul Menage wrote:

> On 9/21/06, Chandra Seetharaman <sekharan@us.ibm.com> wrote:  
> > > The current fake numa support requires you to choose your node layout  
> > > at boot time - I've been working with 64 fake nodes of 128M each,  
> > > which gives a reasonable granularity for dividing a machine between  
> > > multiple different sized jobs.  
> >  
> > It still will not satisfy what OpenVZ/Container folks are looking for:  
> > 100s of containers.  
>  
> Right - so fake-numa is not the right solution for everyone, and I  
> never suggested that it is. (Having said that, there are discussions  
> underway to make the zone-based approach more practical - if you could  
> have dynamically-resizable nodes, this would be more applicable to  
> openvz).

It would still have the other issue you pointed, i.e the userspace being able to cope up with memory allocators dynamics.

>  
> But, there's no reason that the OpenVZ resource control mechanisms  
> couldn't be hooked into a generic process container mechanism along  
> with cpusets and RG.

Isn't that one of the things we are trying to avoid (each one having their own solution, especially when we can have a common solution).

>  
> Paul  
--

-----  
Chandra Seetharaman           | Be careful what you choose....  
- sekharan@us.ibm.com       | .....you may get it.  
-----