

---

Subject: Re: [ckrm-tech] [patch00/05]: Containers(V2)- Introduction  
Posted by [Chandra Seetharaman](#) on Thu, 21 Sep 2006 01:45:20 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On Wed, 2006-09-20 at 17:42 -0700, Paul Menage wrote:

> On 9/20/06, Paul Jackson <pj@sgi.com> wrote:

> > Chandra wrote:

> > > AFAICS, That doesn't help me in over committing resources.

> >

> > I agree - I don't think cpuset plus fake numa ... handles over commit.

> > You might could hack up a cheap substitute, but it wouldn't do the job.

>

> I have some patches locally that basically let you give out a small

> set of nodes initially to a cpuset, and if memory pressure in

> try\_to\_free\_pages() passes a specified threshold, automatically

> allocate one of the parent cpuset's unused memory nodes to the child

> cpuset, up to specified limit. It's a bit ugly, but lets you trade of

> performance vs memory footprint on a per-job basis (when combined with

> fake numa to give lots of small nodes).

Interesting. So you could set up the fake node with "guarantee" and let it grow till "limit" ?

BTW, can you do these with fake nodes:

- dynamic creation
- dynamic removal
- dynamic change of size

Also, How could we account when a process moves from one node to another ?

>

> Paul

--

-----  
Chandra Seetharaman | Be careful what you choose....  
- sekharan@us.ibm.com | .....you may get it.  
-----