

---

Subject: Re: [Lhms-devel] [patch00/05]: Containers(V2)- Introduction  
Posted by [KAMEZAWA Hiroyuki](#) on Thu, 21 Sep 2006 01:29:54 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Self-response..

On Thu, 21 Sep 2006 09:51:00 +0900  
KAMEZAWA Hiroyuki <kamezawa.hiroyu@jp.fujitsu.com> wrote:

> On Wed, 20 Sep 2006 16:31:22 -0700 (PDT)  
> Christoph Lameter <clameter@sgi.com> wrote:  
>  
> > On Wed, 20 Sep 2006, Rohit Seth wrote:  
> >  
> > > Absolutely. Since these containers are not (hard) partitioning the  
> > > memory in any way so it is easy to change the limits (effectively  
> > > reducing and increasing the memory limits for tasks belonging to  
> > > containers). As you said, memory hot-un-plug is important and it is  
> > > non-trivial amount of work.  
> >  
> > Maybe the hotplug guys want to contribute to the discussion?  
> >  
> Ah, I'm reading threads with interest.

I wonder it may not good to use pgdat for resource controlling.

For example

In following scenario,

==

- (1). add <pid> > /mnt/configfs/containers/my\_container/add\_task
- (2). <pid> does some work.
- (3). echo <pid> > /mnt/configfs/containers/my\_container/rm\_task
- (4). echo <pid> > /mnt/configfs/containers/my\_container2/add\_task

==

(if fake-pgdat/memory-hotplug is used)

The pages used by <pid> in (2) will be accounted in 'my\_container' after (3).

Is this user's wrong use of system ?

-Kame

---