

---

Subject: Re: [Lhms-devel] [patch00/05]: Containers(V2)- Introduction  
Posted by [KAMEZAWA Hiroyuki](#) on Thu, 21 Sep 2006 00:47:58 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On Wed, 20 Sep 2006 16:31:22 -0700 (PDT)  
Christoph Lameter <[clameter@sgi.com](mailto:clameter@sgi.com)> wrote:

> On Wed, 20 Sep 2006, Rohit Seth wrote:

>

> > Absolutely. Since these containers are not (hard) partitioning the  
> > memory in any way so it is easy to change the limits (effectively  
> > reducing and increasing the memory limits for tasks belonging to  
> > containers). As you said, memory hot-un-plug is important and it is  
> > non-trivial amount of work.

>

> Maybe the hotplug guys want to contribute to the discussion?

>

Ah, I'm reading threads with interest.

I think this discussion is about using fake nodes ('struct pgdat')  
to divide system's memory into some chunks. Your thought is that  
for resizing/adding/removing fake pgdat, memory-hot-plug codes may be useful.  
correct ?

Now, memory-hotplug manages all memory by 'section' and allows adding/(removing)  
section to pgdat.

Does this section-size handling meet container people's requirement ?

And do we need freeing page when pgdat is removed ?

I think at least SPARSEMEM is useful for fake nodes because 'struct page'  
are not tied to pgdat. (DISCONTIGMEM uses node\_start\_pfn. SPARSEMEM not.)

-Kame

---