
Subject: Re: [ckrm-tech] [patch00/05]: Containers(V2)- Introduction

Posted by [Paul Jackson](#) on Wed, 20 Sep 2006 21:04:01 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Paul M. wrote:

> I'm not saying that they can - but they could be parallel types of
> resource controller for a generic container abstraction,

When there are a sufficiently large number of sufficiently similar types of objects, such as for example file systems, then a 'generic container abstraction' such as vfs in the file system case becomes well worth it, even essential.

I'll be surprised if we have enough such similarity between cpusets and resource groups to be able to find a useful abstract generalization that is common to them both.

But if someone finds a way to rewrite resource groups using cpusets and can convince the resource group folks of this, I'm game to consider it.

Just because some abstract generalizations are good doesn't mean they all are.

--

I won't rest till it's the best ...
Programmer, Linux Scalability
Paul Jackson <pj@sgi.com> 1.925.600.0401