Subject: Re: [ckrm-tech] [patch00/05]: Containers(V2)- Introduction
Posted by Paul Jackson on Wed, 20 Sep 2006 20:11:51 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Paul M. wrote:
> Rather than adding a new process container abstraction, wouldn't it
> make more sense to change cpuset to make it more extensible (more
> separation between resource controllers), possibly rename it to
> "containers",

Without commenting one way or the other on the overall advisability
of this (for lack of sufficient clues), if we did this and renamed
"cpusets" to "containers", we would still want to export the /dev/cpuset
interface to just the CPU/Memory controllers. Perhaps the "container"
pseudo-filesystem could optionally be mounted with a "cpuset" option,
that just exposed the cpuset relevant interface, or some such thing.

--

I won't rest till it's the best ...
Programmer, Linux Scalability
Paul Jackson <pj@sgi.com> 1.925.600.0401