Subject: Re: [ckrm-tech] [patch00/05]: Containers(V2)- Introduction Posted by Chandra Seetharaman on Wed, 20 Sep 2006 18:54:56 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On Wed, 2006-09-20 at 11:43 -0700, Paul Menage wrote:
On 9/20/06, Chandra Seetharaman <sekharan@us.ibm.com> wrote:</sekharan@us.ibm.com>>> We already have such a functionality in the kernel its called a cpuset. A
>>> we already have such a functionality in the kerner its called a cpuset. A
> > Christoph,
>>
> There had been multiple discussions in the past (as recent as Aug 18,> 2006), where we (Paul and CKRM/RG folks) have concluded that cpuset and> resource management are orthogonal features.
>> > cpuset provides "resource isolation", and what we, the resource > > management guys want is work-conserving resource control.
> CPUset provides two things: >
> - a generic process container abstraction >
- "resource controllers" for CPU masks and memory nodes.
> Rather than adding a new process container abstraction, wouldn't it > make more sense to change cpuset to make it more extensible (more > separation between resource controllers), possibly rename it to > "containers", and let the various resource controllers fight it out > (e.g. zone/node-based memory controller vs multiple LRU controller, > CPU masks vs a properly QoS-based CPU scheduler, etc) >
> Or more specifically, what would need to be added to cpusets to make > it possible to bolt the CKRM/RG resource controllers on to it?
Paul,
We had this discussion more than 18 months back and concluded that it is not the right thing to do. Here is the link to the thread:
http://marc.theaimsgroup.com/?t=109173653100001&r=1& w=2
chandra >
> Paul >
>

> opinions on IT & business topics through brief surveys -- and earn cash

> Join SourceForge.net's Techsay panel and you'll get the chance to share your

>	nttp://www.techsay.com/default.php?page=join.php&p=sourc eforge&CID=DEVDEV
>	
>	krm-tech mailing list
>	ttps://lists.sourceforge.net/lists/listinfo/ckrm-tech
	Chandra Seetharaman Be careful what you choose
	- sekharan@us.ibm.com you may get it.
	- Seknaran & us.ibin.com you may get it.