Subject: Re: [ckrm-tech] [patch00/05]: Containers(V2)- Introduction Posted by Chandra Seetharaman on Wed, 20 Sep 2006 18:34:00 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On Wed, 2006-09-20 at 09:25 -0700, Christoph Lameter wrote: > On Tue, 19 Sep 2006, Rohit Seth wrote:

>

> > For example, a user can run a batch job like backup inside containers.

> > This job if run unconstrained could step over most of the memory present

> > in system thus impacting other workloads running on the system at that

> > time. But when the same job is run inside containers then the backup

> > job is run within container limits.

>

I just saw this for the first time since linux-mm was not cced. We have
 discussed a similar mechanism on linux-mm.

>

> We already have such a functionality in the kernel its called a cpuset. A

Christoph,

There had been multiple discussions in the past (as recent as Aug 18, 2006), where we (Paul and CKRM/RG folks) have concluded that cpuset and resource management are orthogonal features.

cpuset provides "resource isolation", and what we, the resource management guys want is work-conserving resource control.

cpuset partitions resource and hence the resource that are assigned to a node is not available for other cpuset, which is not good for "resource management".

chandra PS: Aug 18 link: http://marc.theaimsgroup.com/?l=linuxkernel&m=115593114408336&w=2

Feb 2005 thread: http://marc.theaimsgroup.com/?l=ckrm-tech&m=110790400330617&w=2

> container could be created simply by creating a fake node that then

> allows constraining applications to this node. We already track the

> types of pages per node. The statistics you want are already existing.

> See /proc/zoneinfo and /sys/devices/system/node/node*/*.

>

> > We use the term container to indicate a structure against which we track

> > and charge utilization of system resources like memory, tasks etc for a

> > workload. Containers will allow system admins to customize the

> > underlying platform for different applications based on their

> > performance and HW resource utilization needs. Containers contain

 > enough infrastructure to allow optimal resource utilization without > bogging down rest of the kernel. A system admin should be able to > create, manage and free containers easily. 	
 > Right thats what cpusets do and it has been working fine for years. Maybe > Paul can help you if you find anything missing in the existing means to > control resources. > 	
 	
> ckrm-tech mailing list > https://lists.sourceforge.net/lists/listinfo/ckrm-tech 	
Chandra Seetharaman Be careful what you choose - sekharan@us.ibm.com you may get it.	

Page 2 of 2 ---- Generated from OpenVZ Forum