
Subject: Re: [patch02/05]: Containers(V2)- Generic Linux kernel changes

Posted by [Rohit Seth](#) on Wed, 20 Sep 2006 16:44:29 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Wed, 2006-09-20 at 13:27 +0200, Andi Kleen wrote:

> Rohit Seth <rohitseth@google.com> writes:

> > */

> > +`#ifdef CONFIG_CONTAINERS`

> > + `struct container_struct *ctn; /* Pointer to container, may be NULL */`

> > +`#endif`

>

> I still don't think it's a good idea to add a pointer to struct page for this.

I thought last time you supported adding a pointer to struct page (when you mentioned next gen slab will also consume page->mapping). May be I missed your point.

> This means any kernel that enables the config would need to carry this significant overhead, no matter if containers are used to not.

>

Sure this is non-zero overhead but I think this is the logical place to track the memory.

> Better would be to store them in some other data structure that is only allocated on demand or figure out a way to store them in the sometimes not all used fields in struct page.

>

which one...I think the fields in page structure are already getting doubly used.

> BTW your patchkit seems to be also in wrong order in that when 02 is applied it won't compile.

Not sure if I understood that. I've myself tested these patches on 2.6.18-rc6-mm2 kernel and they apply just fine. Are you just trying to apply 02....if so then that wouldn't suffice.

-rohit
