

---

Subject: Re: [patch00/05]: Containers(V2)- Introduction  
Posted by [Nick Piggin](#) on Wed, 20 Sep 2006 05:39:00 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Rohit Seth wrote:

>Containers:

>

>

[...]

>This is based on lot of discussions over last month or so. I hope this  
>patch set is something that we can agree and more support can be added  
>on top of this. Please provide feedback and add other extensions that  
>are useful in the TODO list.  
>

Hi Rohit,

Sorry for the late reply. I was just about to comment on your earlier patchset but I will do so here instead.

Anyway I don't think I have much to say other than: this is almost exactly as I had imagined the memory resource tracking should look like. Just a small number of hooks and a very simple set of rules for tracking allocations. Also, the possibility to track kernel allocations as a whole rather than at individual callsites (which shouldn't be too difficult to implement).

If anything I would perhaps even argue for further cutting down the number of hooks and add them back as they prove to be needed.

I'm not sure about containers & workload management people, but from a core mm/ perspective I see no reason why this couldn't get in, given review and testing. Great!

Nick

--

Send instant messages to your online friends <http://au.messenger.yahoo.com>

---