Subject: Re: [ckrm-tech] [PATCH] BC: resource beancounters (v4) (added user memory) Posted by Chandra Seetharaman on Tue, 19 Sep 2006 00:05:59 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message On Mon, 2006-09-18 at 12:56 +0400, Pavel Emelianov wrote: <snip> > The same for the limiting - either do not start new container, or > recalculate limits to meet new requirements. You may not take care of > guarantees as weel and create an overcommited configuration. > > And one more thing. We've asked it many times and I ask it again -

Why do we want the capability to be snipped at the infrastructure level. Let the controller writers decide how they want to provide the capability and the users to decide if they want to use the feature at a price.

> please, show us the other way for providing guarantee rather than

> -----> Using Tomcat but need to do more? Need to support web services, security? > Get stuff done quickly with pre-integrated technology to make your job easier > Download IBM WebSphere Application Server v.1.0.1 based on Apache Geronimo http://sel.as-us.falkag.net/sel?cmd=lnk&kid=120709&b id=263057&dat=121642 > ckrm-tech mailing list > https://lists.sourceforge.net/lists/listinfo/ckrm-tech Chandra Seetharaman Be careful what you choose.... - sekharan@us.ibm.com |you may get it.

> limiting or reserving.