

---

Subject: Re: Re: [ckrm-tech] [PATCH] BC: resource beancounters (v4) (added user memory)

Posted by [kir](#) on Fri, 15 Sep 2006 21:20:27 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Rohit Seth wrote:

> On Fri, 2006-09-15 at 13:26 +0400, Kirill Korotaev wrote:

>

> <...skipped...>

>

>> for VMware which can reserve required amount of RAM for VM.

>>

>

> It is much easier to provide guarantees in complete virtual  
> environments. But then you pay the cost in terms of performance.

>

"Complete virtual environments" vs. "containers" is not [only] about performance! In the end, given a proper set of dirty and no-so-dirty hacks in software and hardware, their performance will be close to native.

Containers vs. other virtualization types is more about utilization, density, scalability, portability.

Speaking of guarantees, yes, guarantees is easy, you just reserve such amount of RAM for your VM and that is all. But the fact is usually some part of that RAM will not be utilized by this particular VM. But since it is reserved, it can not be utilized by other VMs -- and we end up just wasting some resources. Containers, given a proper resource management and configuration, can have some guarantees and still be able to utilize all the RAM available in the system. This difference can be metaphorically expressed as a house divided into rooms. Dividing walls can either be hard or flexible. With flexible walls, room (container) owner have a guarantee of minimal space in your room, but if a few guests come for a moment, the walls can move to make more space (up to the limit). So the flexibility is measured as the delta between a guarantee and a limit.

This flexibility leads to higher utilization, and this flexibility is one of the reasons for better density (a few times higher than that of a paravirtualization solution).

I will not touch scalability and portability topics here to make things simpler.

> I think we should punt on hard guarantees and fractions for the first  
> draft. Keep the implementation simple.

>

Do I understand it right that with hard guarantees we loose the flexibility I have just described? If this is the case, I do not like it.

---