
Subject: Re: [ckrm-tech] [PATCH] BC: resource beancounters (v4) (added user memory)

Posted by [Rohit Seth](#) on Tue, 12 Sep 2006 17:22:32 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Tue, 2006-09-12 at 16:14 +0530, Srivatsa Vaddagiri wrote:

> On Mon, Sep 11, 2006 at 12:10:31PM -0700, Rohit Seth wrote:

> > It seems that a single notion of limit should suffice, and that limit

> > should more be treated as something beyond which that resource

> > consumption in the container will be throttled/not_allowed.

>

> The big question is : are containers/RG allowed to use *upto* their

> limit always? In other words, will you typically setup limits such that

> sum of all limits = max resource capacity?

>

If a user is really interested in ensuring that all scheduled jobs (or containers) get what they have asked for (guarantees) then making the sum of all container limits equal to total system limit is the right thing to do.

> If it is setup like that, then what you are considering as limit is

> actually guar no?

>

Right. And if we do it like this then it is up to sysadmin to configure the thing right without adding additional logic in kernel.

-rohit
