

---

Subject: Re: Re: pspace name

Posted by [Cedric Le Goater](#) on Mon, 11 Sep 2006 10:37:44 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Herbert Poetzl wrote:

> On Thu, Sep 07, 2006 at 01:52:39PM -0600, Eric W. Biederman wrote:

>> Sukadev Bhattiprolu <sukadev@us.ibm.com> writes:

>>

>>> I agree we should rename. I had suggested pid\_space to "rhyme" wiht

>>> pidmap, but received no ack/nack. I meant to send another mail,

>>> specially after the is\_init() collision recently.

>>> |

>>> | 'namespace' should probably be renamed to something like

>>> | 'mnt\_namespace' ?

>

>>> While at it, should we change CLONE\_NEWNS to CLONE\_MNTNS ?

>> We need to retain the NEW in the clone flags. CLONE\_NEWMNTNS perhaps.

>> Otherwise with clone at least we get impression of backward semantics.

>

> hmm, got no comment to my suggestion to use VFS instead

> of MNT or mount, was it silently ignored, disliked, or

> just lost?

mount point namespace is probably a bit more 'intuitive' than VFS namespace ?

C.

---