
Subject: Re: [RFC][PATCH 1/2] add user namespace [try #2]

Posted by [ebiederm](#) on Mon, 11 Sep 2006 11:16:51 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Cedric Le Goater <clg@fr.ibm.com> writes:

> Herbert Poetzl wrote:

>>

>> resource (could be limits and/or accounting),

>> lightweight-net, (maybe fs in contrast to vfs)

>

> I guess we're reaching the limits anyway and it would not leave much room

> in the clone flags for other features not related to containers.

>

> It's not like we're adding one or two, we would take at least 6 : uts, ipc,

> user, pid, net, time, etc. I'm sure ideas to extend the list will come when

> this is in use ...

I think the resource is possibly real, as at least ubc introduces
a new set of global names, and yet another global namespace sucks.
Something I now need to challenge the implementors on.

If we do a lightweight net I don't think it will be a namespace.
Because isolation does needs separate names, just some sort of filtering
mechanism.

I think being tight here is in some sense a virtue, as it forces
us to think very carefully about adding yet another namespace :)

Eric
