Subject: Re: Re: [RFC] network namespaces
Posted by Mishin Dmitry on Sun, 10 Sep 2006 07:45:35 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On Sunday 10 September 2006 06:47, Herbert Poetzl wrote:
> well, I think it would be best to have both, as
> they are complementary to some degree, and IMHO
> both, the full virtualization _and_ the isolation
> will require a separate namespace to work,
[snip]
> I do not think that folks would want to recompile
> their kernel just to get a light-weight guest or
> a fully virtualized one
In this case light-weight guest will have unnecessary overhead.
For example, instead of using static pointer, we have to find the required
common namespace before. And there will be no advantages for such guest over
full-featured.

>
> best,
> Herbert
>
> > --
> > Thanks,
> > Dmitry.

--
Thanks,
Dmitry.